



JUSTICE FOR WORKERS

3600 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1815, LOS ANGELES, CA 90010

TEL: (323) 922-2000

FAX: (323) 922-2000

www.JusticeForWorkers.com

March 20, 2025

VIA PAGA ONLINE FILING ONLY

California Labor and Workforce Development Agency

Attn: PAGA Administrator

455 Golden Gate Avenue, 9th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94102

<https://dir.tfaforms.net/308>

Re: *Jerome Warthen, Jr., et al. v. Home Express Delivery Service, LLC*
Amended Notice of Private Attorneys General Act Claim
LWDA Case No. LWDA-CM-1072487-25

Dear PAGA Administrator:

This office has been retained by aggrieved employees Jerome Warthen, Jr. and Claudia Echeverria (“Plaintiffs”) concerning a representative action pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”) against Home Express Delivery Service, LLC (including any and all affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, directors, officers, managing agents, and executive employees) (collectively referred to as “Defendants”) for violations of California wage and hour laws. Plaintiffs seek civil penalties for violations of the Labor Code and all other remedies available under PAGA.

Plaintiffs seek these remedies on behalf of the State of California and “aggrieved employees,” as defined herein. This letter is sent in compliance with the notice requirements of Labor Code § 2699.3.

Defendants own and operate a furniture delivery and installation company that contracts with Home Depot California. During the relevant time period, Defendants employed Plaintiff Warthen as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee and technician in Los Angeles County and employed Plaintiff Echeverria as an hourly-paid non-exempt employee and customer service representative in Carson, California. The “aggrieved employees” that Plaintiffs seek civil penalties on behalf of are all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for any of the above-referenced entities within the State of California.

Based on the following facts and theories, Defendants violated and/or continue to violate, among other provisions of the Labor Code and applicable wage law, California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.2, 226.7, 246, 256, 510, 512, 516, 558, 558.1, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, and IWC Wage Orders.

Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 provide the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage than the minimum so fixed is unlawful. The applicable Wage Order defines “hours worked” as “the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so.” *See* §2 of all applicable Wage Orders. Therefore, employers are required to pay employees for all time spent subject to the control of the employer and all time the employee is suffered or permitted to work. During the relevant time period, Defendants required Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees to perform work before clocking in, after clocking out, and/or during off-the-clock meal breaks, and failed to compensate aggrieved employees for this time.

Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198 require employers to pay time-and-a-half or double time overtime wages, and make it unlawful to work employees for hours longer than eight hours in one day and/or over forty hours in one week without paying the premium overtime rates at one-and-one-half times or double the regular rate of pay, including additional remuneration. During the relevant time period, Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees worked in excess of 8 hours in a day and 40 hours in a week but were not paid for all hours worked before clocking in, after clocking out, and/or during off-the-clock meal breaks. Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees were not paid overtime compensation at the regular rate of pay because Defendants failed to incorporate multiple rates of pay and/or all non-discretionary remuneration into the regular rate of pay.

Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 516, and the Wage Orders require employers to pay an employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each meal or rest period that is not provided. During the relevant time period, Defendants required Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees to work during meal and rest periods and failed to compensate them properly and at the regular rate of compensation for non-compliant meal and rest periods including, *inter alia*, short, late, interrupted, and missed meal and rest periods and by failing to incorporate multiple rates of pay and/or all non-discretionary remuneration into the regular rate of compensation.

Labor Code § 246 mandates employers to comply with various requirements with respect to the provision of paid sick leave to employees. During the relevant time period, Defendants improperly calculated the sick leave rate of pay owed to Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees by failing to incorporate multiple rates of pay and/or all non-discretionary remuneration into the sick leave pay rate calculation.

Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at

the time of quitting. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

Labor Code § 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month. Labor Code § 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by Labor Code § 204, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

Labor Code § 226 requires employers to make, keep and provide complete and accurate itemized wage statements to their employees. During the relevant time period, Defendants did not provide Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees with complete and accurate itemized wage statements. The wage statements they received from Defendants were in violation of Labor Code § 226(a). The violations include, but are not limited to, the failure to include the total hours worked, including time spent working off the clock and during meal and rest periods, failure to state the correct gross and net wages earned for all time worked, and failure to state all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

Labor Code § 1174 requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to keep accurate and complete payroll records showing the actual hours worked daily and the wages earned by Plaintiffs and other aggrieved employees, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

Labor Code § 226.2 applies to employees who are compensated on a piece-rate basis for any work performed during a pay period and requires employers to establish compensation and wage statement requirements for rest and recovery periods and “other nonproductive time” for piece-rate employees. During the relevant time period, Defendants compensated Plaintiffs and aggrieved employees in part on a piece rate compensation. However, Defendants failed to comply with the requirements of Labor Code section 226.2. The violations include, but are not limited to, failing to calculate the correct regular rate of pay for rest and recovery period wages.

Therefore, on behalf of all aggrieved employees, Plaintiffs seek all applicable penalties arising out of the above-referenced wage, hour, and payroll practices, or which could be assessed

and collected by the LWDA, for violation of the Labor Code pursuant to PAGA. Labor Code § 2699.3 requires that a claimant send a certified letter (i.e. this letter) to the employer in question and file an online claim to the LWDA setting forth the claims and the basis for the claims, thereby giving the LWDA and employer an opportunity to investigate the claims and/or take any action they deem appropriate. If the LWDA and Defendants elect not to take any action with respect to any of the foregoing claims, Plaintiffs will seek these penalties in a civil action on behalf of all aggrieved employees within one year of the date of this letter as allowed by law.

If you have any questions, please contact me at the phone number or address below:

William C. Sung
JUSTICE FOR WORKERS, P.C.
9575 Bolsa Avenue
Westminster, CA 92683
Office: 323-922-2000
Fax: 323-922-2000
Email: william@justiceforworkers.com

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,



William C. Sung
JUSTICE FOR WORKERS, P.C.

Cc:

**Via USPS Certified Mail –
Return Receipt Requested**

Home Express Delivery Service, LLC
c/o CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service
Agent for Service of Process
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
Sacramento CA 95833

Courtesy Copy Via Email

SEYFARTH SHAW
Kamran Mirrafati
kmirrafati@seyfarth.com
Laura E. Heyne
lheyne@seyfarth.com
Reiko Furuta
rfuruta@seyfarth.com