

1 Raul Perez (SBN 174687)
Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com
2 Arnab Banerjee (SBN 252618)
Arnab.Banerjee@capstonelawyers.com
3 Brandon Brouillette (SBN 273156)
Brandon.Brouillette@capstonelawyers.com
4 Ariel Harman-Holmes (SBN 315234)
Ariel.Harman-Holmes@capstonelawyers.com
5 Capstone Law APC
1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000
6 Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 556-4811
7 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Roya Mousseau and Mosaic Solomon

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
11

12 ALEJANDRO VALENZUELA, MOSAIC
13 SOLOMON, and ROYA MOUSSEAU, as
aggrieved employees pursuant to the Private
14 Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"),

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 URGP, LLC, a California limited liability
company; A.F. RESTAURANT
18 CONSULTING GROUP, INC., a California
corporation; COMESA 92626, LLC, a
California limited liability company; RAIDER
19 94612, LLC, a California limited liability
company; ROSEY SPOT 91105, LLC, a
California limited liability company; TALK
20 SHOW 91505, LLC, a California limited
liability company; TOAKS 91362, LLC, a
California limited liability company; U-KO
21 GROVE, LLC, a California limited liability
company; UMAMI BURGER ANAHEIM,
22 LLC, a California limited liability company;
UMAMI BURGER HOLLYWOOD
23 RESTAURANT, LLC, a California limited
liability company; UMAMI BURGER
24 HOLLYWOOD, LLC, a California limited
liability company; UMAMI BURGER
25 LICENSING USA, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company; UMAMI BURGER SANTA
26 MONICA, LLC, a California limited liability
company; UMAMI BURGER SF, LLC, a
27 Delaware limited liability company; UMAMI
28 Delaware limited liability company; UMAMI

~~Courtesy Chamber Copies~~
~~Court transaction #~~
~~19LA00894954~~

RECEIVED
JUL 16 2019
DEPT. 15

Case No. BC622088

[AMENDED PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
JUL 18 2019
Sheri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
by: [Signature]

1 BURGER URBAN JUNGLE, LLC, a
2 California limited liability company; UMAMI
3 BURGER VALLI, LLC, a California limited
4 liability company; UMAMI BURGER, LLC, a
5 California limited liability company; UMAMI
6 HERMOSA, LLC, a California limited liability
7 company; UMAMI LAGUNA, LLC, a
8 California limited liability company; UMAMI
9 RESTAURANT GROUP, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company;
10 UMAMICATESSEN, LLC, a California limited
liability company; UMAMICATESSEN
11 HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability
12 company; UMAMI-LEVITY, LLC, a California
13 limited liability company; URGP SFV, LLC, a
14 California limited liability company; and DOES
15 1 through 10, inclusive,

16 Defendants.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the Order Approving PAGA Settlement Agreement (the "Order"), it is hereby
ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. Judgment in this matter is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order and the Parties' PAGA Settlement Agreement. Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined by the Parties' PAGA Settlement Agreement.

2. This Judgment shall be binding on all Aggrieved Employees and the State of California, who are hereby barred by the doctrine of res judicata from re-litigating the Settled Claims. *See Arias v. Superior Court*, 46 Cal. 4th 969, 986 (2009) (holding that a judgment in a representative action brought by an aggrieved employee under PAGA is binding not only on the named employee plaintiff but also on state labor law enforcement agencies and any aggrieved employee not a party to the proceeding).

3. Without affecting the finality of the Judgment, the Court shall retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the above-captioned action and the parties for purposes of enforcing the terms of the Judgment entered herein.

4. Neither this Judgment, the Order, the Parties' PAGA Settlement Agreement, any document referred to herein, any exhibit to any document referred to herein, any action taken to carry out the settlement, nor any negotiations or proceedings related to the settlement are to be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, or an admission or concession with regard to, the denials or defenses of Defendant (or any settling party), and shall not be offered in evidence in any proceeding against the Parties hereto in any Court, administrative agency, or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of the Order and Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date

July 18, 2019

Richard L. Fruin

Hon. Richard L. Fruin

Los Angeles County Superior Court Judge

Matthew Krout

From: One Legal <noreply@onelegal.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 12:28 PM
To: Matthew Krout
Subject: eFiling & eServe confirmation for ALEJANDRO VALENZUELA VS URGP LLC ET AL

eFiling Under Court Clerk Review / eServe Complete

Order # 13504085
Submitted 7/16/2019 12:27 PM PT by Matthew Krout
Case ALEJANDRO VALENZUELA VS URGP LLC ET AL
#BC622088
Court Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County
(Central District)
Client billing Valenzuela v URGP
Court transaction # 19LA00894954

Documents

- Judgment [Amended Proposed]
- Order ([Amended Proposed] Approving PAGA Settlement...)
- Proof of Service (not Summons and Complaint)

What happens next?

The court has received your filing. You will receive an email immediately upon completion of the court clerk's review. Although court processing times vary, the court filing date for accepted filings will reflect the date this order was submitted.

You can check the [status of your order](#) at any time in your One Legal account.

Thank you,
The One Legal Team

How are we doing? Share your feedback.

You are receiving this email in response to an order that was placed on www.onelegal.com
Get help on our Support Center or by email at support@onelegal.com.
© 2018 One Legal, LLC - 504 Redwood Blvd, Novato, CA 94947

