E-Served: Oct 8 2026 10:26AM PDT Via Case Anywhere

Electronically Received 07/31/2026 05:06 PM

John G. Yslas (SBN 187324)
john.yslas@wilshirelawfirm.com
Jose Maria D. Patino Jr. (SBN 270194)
jose.patino@wilshirelawfirm.com
Brian Louis (SBN 353058)
brian.louis@wilshirelawfirm.com
Roger Ricardez (SBN 365036)
roger.ricardez@wilshirelawfirm.com
Lucy H. Nguyen (SBN 338783)
lucy.nguyen@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
10/05/2026
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
By: E. Martinez Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CARLOS VEGA, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VERONICA'S AUTO INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 25STCV05278

Assigned for all purposes to:
Hon. Timothy Patrick Dillon, Dept. 15

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

Preliminary Approval Hearing:
Date:
Time:
Dept.:

Complaint Filed: February 25, 2025
FAC Filed: May 2, 2025

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

Having reviewed Plaintiff Carlos Vega's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion"), the Declaration of John G. Yslas, Plaintiff's Declaration, and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant Veronica's Auto Insurance Services, Inc. ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement as **Exhibit 2**.

2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of $378,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to Class Members who do not validly opt out; (b) a $25,000.00 allocation toward civil penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), 65% of which ($16,250.00) will be paid to California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency and 35% of which ($8,750.00) will be paid to Aggrieved Employees; (c) a Class Representative Service Payment of up to $7500 ~~10,000.00~~ to Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount (currently estimated to be $126,000.00), and up to $30,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to $11,950.00.

3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant informal discovery,

investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

4. A final approval hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees for their share of the settlement of claims for penalties under PAGA, and the Class Representative Service Payment should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Class"): "all hourly, non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked for Defendant in California during the Class Period."

6. "Class Period" means February 25, 2021, through the date the Court grants Preliminary Approval or the date on which no more than 48,300 Workweeks are released, whichever is earlier.

7. "Aggrieved Employees" means Class Member who worked for Defendant during the PAGA Period.

8. "PAGA Period" means the period from February 25, 2024, through the date the Court grants Preliminary Approval or the date on which no more than 48,300 pay periods are released, whichever is earlier.

9. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class

Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

10. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Carlos Vega. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request a Class Representative Service Award up to $7500 ~~10,000.00~~.

11. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, John G. Yslas, Jose Maria D. Patino Jr., Brian Louis, Roger Ricardez, and Lucy H. Nguyen of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount (currently estimated to be $126,000.00), and costs not to exceed $30,000.00.

12. The Court appoints Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs not to exceed $11,950.00.

13. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice attached to the Settlement Agreement as **Exhibit A.** The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the plan for distribution of the Class Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

14. The Parties and the Administrator are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

15. Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

16. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Event	Timing
Class Data: Last day for Defendant to provide Class Data to the Administrator	3 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement

Class Notice: Last day for Administrator to mail the Class Notice to Class Members	14 days after receipt of the Class Data
Response Deadline: Last day for Class Members to submit Requests for Exclusion, Objections to the Settlement, and challenges to Workweeks and/or Pay Periods	45 days after Class Notice is mailed out by the Administrator (with an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice was remailed)
Filing Deadline: Last day to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff	16 court days before the Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	February 02, 2027, ~~2026~~, at 10:00 a.m./~~p.m.~~ in Dept. 15 of the above-referenced Court

17. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10/05/2026

HON. TIMOTHY PATRICK DILLON
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Timothy Patrick Dillon / Judge

** Escalator clause is not triggered. **