

Benjamin H. Haber (SBN 315664)
benjamin.haber@wilshirelawfirm.com
Arrash T. Fattahi (SBN 333676)
arrash.fattahi@wilshirelawfirm.com
Daniel J. Kramer (SBN 314625)
daniel.kramer@wilshirelawfirm.com
Emily Borman (SBN 303180)
emily.borman@wilshirelawfirm.com
Arman A. Salehi (SBN 351112)
arman.salehi@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

CHELSEA OLSON, individually, and on behalf
of all others similarly situated, and on behalf of
the State of California and other aggrieved
persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL AVC, LLC DBA THRIVE PET
HEALTHCARE, a limited liability company;
PATHWAY VET ALLIANCE LLC, a limited
liability company; and DOES 1 through 10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2022-00030058-CU-OE-CTL

CLASS & REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

*[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Michael
D. Washington, Dept. C-73]*

**AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION AND PAGA
SETTLEMENT**

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING:

Date: June 12, 2026

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: C-73

Complaint filed: July 29, 2022

FAC filed: May 15, 2023

SAC filed: May 20, 2026

1 The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement came
2 before this Court on June 12, 2026, at 9:00 a.m., in Department C-73 of the Superior Court of
3 California, County of San Diego, located 330 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101.
4 The Court, having considered the proposed Class and Representative Action Settlement
5 Agreement and Class Notice entered into by and between Plaintiffs Chelsea Olson, Anthony
6 Banuelos, and Dylan Lane (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendants National AVC, LLC (“National AVC”) and
7 Pathway Vet Alliance LLC (“Pathway,” together with National AVC, the “Defendants;”
8 Plaintiffs and Defendants collectively, the “Parties”), attached as **Exhibit 3** to the Declaration
9 of Arrash T. Fattahi in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
10 and PAGA Settlement (hereinafter collectively, the “Settlement” or “Settlement Agreement”);
11 having considered the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement;
12 having considered the points and authorities and declaration submitted by the Parties in support
13 thereof; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

14 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
15 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
16 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon
17 the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between the Parties.

18 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
19 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
20 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
21 approval by this Court. Defendants have agreed to pay \$4,500,000.00 to cover (a) Individual
22 Class Payments to Participating Class Members (class members who do not validly opt out); (b)
23 Individual FLSA Payments; (c) Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) Penalties in the
24 amount of \$200,000.00 with 75% (\$150,000.00) allocated to the California Labor & Workforce
25 Development Agency (“LWDA”) and 25% (\$50,000.00) allocated to the Individual PAGA
26 Payments to be paid to aggrieved employees; (c) Class Representative Service Payments of up
27 to \$15,000.00 to each named Plaintiff (\$45,000.00 total); (d) Class Counsel Fees Payment of
28 not more than one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$1,500,000.00) and Class

Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment up to \$45,000.00 for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Administrator Expenses Payment not to exceed \$23,950.00.

3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

4. A final approval hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the LWDA and aggrieved employees for their share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the PAGA, and the Class Representative Service Payments should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Class Members"): all non-exempt persons who were employed by National AVC, LLC in the State of California at any time from July 29, 2018 through May 5, 2022 and all non-exempt persons who were employed by Pathway in the State of California at any time between June 29, 2019 and May 23, 2024.

6. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the FLSA Subclass: all non-exempt persons who were employed by National AVC in the State of California at any time between July 29, 2019 and May 5, 2022 and all non-exempt persons who were employed

1 by Pathway in the State of California at any time between June 29, 2020 and May 23, 2024.

2 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
3 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
4 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
5 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
6 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the
7 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiffs and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
8 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
9 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

10 8. The Court appoints as Class Representatives, for settlement purposes only,
11 Plaintiffs Chelsea Olson, Anthony Banuelos, and Dylan Lane. The Court further preliminarily
12 approves Plaintiffs' ability to request Class Representative Service Payments of up to
13 \$15,000.00 per named plaintiff (\$45,000.00 total).

14 9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Benjamin H. Haber, Arrash T.
15 Fattahi, Daniel J. Kramer, Emily Borman, and Arman A. Salehi of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as
16 Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request
17 attorneys' fees of not more than one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount
18 (\$1,500,000.00), and costs not to exceed \$45,000.00.

19 10. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with
20 reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$23,950.00.

21 11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the
22 Settlement Agreement as Exhibit A. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the plan for
23 distribution of the Class Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the
24 best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to
25 all persons entitled thereto.

26 12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the
27 Settlement Agreement.

28 ///

1 13. Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the
2 Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

3 14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

4

Event	Timing
5 6 Class Data: Last day for Defendants to 7 provide Class Data to the Administrator	15 business days after the Court initially 8 granted Preliminary Approval of the 9 Settlement
10 Class Notice: Last day for Administrator to 11 mail the Class Notice to Class Members	25 business days after the Court initially 12 granted Preliminary Approval of the 13 Settlement
14 Response Deadline: Last day for Class 15 Members to submit written objections, 16 challenges to workweeks and/or pay periods, 17 and requests for exclusion	45 days after Class Notice was mailed out by the Administrator
18 Filing Deadline: Last day to file Motion for 19 Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees 20 and Costs, and Class Representative Service 21 Payments to Plaintiffs	16 court days before the Final Approval Hearing
22 Final Approval Hearing	November 20, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. C- 23 73 of the above-referenced Court. 24

25

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings
2 in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 DATE: _____

6 HON. MICHAEL D. WASHINGTON
7 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28