

Raul Perez (SBN 174687)
Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com
Bevin Allen Pike (SBN 221936)
Bevin.Pike@capstonelawyers.com
Daniel Jonathan (SBN 262209)
Daniel.Jonathan@capstonelawyers.com
Trisha K. Monesi (SBN 303512)
Trisha.Monesi@capstonelawyers.com
CAPSTONE LAW APC
1875 Century Park East, Suite 1860
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 556-4811
Facsimile: (310) 943-0396

Attorneys for Plaintiff Myiah Dorado

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court

OCT 03 2025

By: N. Calantoc, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

MYIAH DORADO, individually, and on behalf
of other members of the general public similarly
situated, and as an aggrieved employee pursuant
to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"),

Plaintiff,

vs.

LECORNU ENTERPRISES II, INC., a
California corporation; LECORNU
ENTERPRISES, INC., a California corporation;
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2022-00028430-CU-OE-CTL

Assigned to the Hon. James A Mangione

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER AND JUDGMENT
GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND
PAGA SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR
ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND A CLASS
REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT
PAYMENT**

Date: October 3, 2025

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Place: Department C-75

Complaint Filed: July 19, 2022

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and a Class Representative Enhancement Payment (collectively, the "Motions"). Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having reviewed the Motions, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and **GOOD CAUSE** appearing therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED AS FOLLOWS**:

1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, which are adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court have been satisfied.

2. This Order hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), together with the definitions and terms used and contained therein.

3. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.

4. The Class Notice fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to opt out or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California and due process. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

5. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly opt out of the settlement are bound by this Order.

6. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by

1 Plaintiff's Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length
2 negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and
3 reasonable.

4 7. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence
5 regarding the strength of Plaintiff's case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the
6 likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and
7 discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with
8 sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the
9 impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which
10 the Parties have agreed.

11 8. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the settlement as set forth in the Settlement
12 Agreement and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the
13 best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms,
14 conditions, and provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Court also finds that settlement now will
15 avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to
16 continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the
17 settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the settlement
18 provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.

19 9. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendants or by any other Released
20 Party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendants or
21 any other Released Party. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to
22 herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used
23 as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, waiver of defenses, or liability
24 whatsoever by or against Defendants or any of the other Released Parties.

25 10. Final approval shall be with respect to: All persons who were employed by Defendants
26 LeCornu Enterprises II, Inc. and LeCornu Enterprises, Inc. ("Defendants") in the State of California in
27 non-exempt, hourly positions at any time from July 19, 2018 to May 9, 2023.

28 11. Plaintiff Myiah Dorado is an adequate and suitable representative and is hereby appointed

1 the Class Representative for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Plaintiff's investment and
2 commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Settlement
3 Class, and that her interests are aligned with those of the Settlement Class.

4 12. The Court hereby awards Plaintiff a Class Representative Enhancement Payment of
5 \$10,000 for her service on behalf of the Settlement Class, and for agreeing to a general release of all claims
6 arising out of her employment with Defendants.

7 13. The Court finds that the attorneys at Capstone Law APC have the requisite qualifications,
8 experience, and skill to protect and advance the interests of the Settlement Class. The Court therefore finds
9 that counsel satisfy the professional and ethical obligations attendant to the position of Class Counsel, and
10 hereby appoints Capstone Law APC as counsel for the Settlement Class.

11 14. The settlement of civil penalties under PAGA in the amount of \$50,000 is hereby
12 approved. Seventy-Five Percent (75%), or \$37,500, shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce
13 Development Agency. The remaining Twenty-Five Percent (25%), or \$12,500, will be paid to PAGA
14 Members.

15 15. The Court hereby awards \$316,667 in attorneys' fees and \$8,446.23 in costs and expenses
16 to Capstone Law APC. The Court finds that the requested award of attorneys' fees is reasonable for a
17 contingency fee in a class action such as this; i.e., one-third of the common fund created by the settlement.
18 Counsel have also established the reasonableness of the requested award of attorneys' fees via their
19 lodestar crosscheck, and the Court finds that the attorney staffing, hours billed, and hourly rates are
20 reasonable.

21 16. The Court approves settlement administration costs and expenses in the amount of
22 \$14,000 to CPT Group, Inc.

23 17. All Class Members were given a full and fair opportunity to participate in the Approval
24 Hearing, and all members of the Settlement Class wishing to be heard have been heard. Members of the
25 Settlement Class also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed
26 settlement and the class. Accordingly, the terms of the Settlement Agreement and of the Court's Order and
27 Judgment shall be forever binding on all Participating Class Members. These Participating Class Members
28 have released and forever discharged the Released Parties for any and all Released Class Claims during

1 the Class Period:

2 All claims, rights, demands, liabilities, and causes of action, reasonably arising
3 from, or reasonably related to, the same set of operative facts as those set forth in
4 the operative Complaint during the Class Period, including: (a) all claims for
5 unpaid overtime (Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198); (b) all claims for meal and rest
6 period violations (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512(a), 516, and 1198); (c) all claims for
7 unpaid minimum wages (Labor Code §§ 1182.12, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, and 1198);
8 (d) all claims for the failure to timely pay wages upon termination (Labor Code §§
201 and 202); (e) all claims for the failure to timely pay wages during employment
(Labor Code § 204); (f) all claims for wage statement violations (Labor Code §§
226(a), 1174(d), and 1198); (g) all claims for failure to maintain payroll records
(Labor Code §§ 226(a), 1174(d), and 1198); (h) all claims for the failure to
reimburse for necessary business expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (i) all claims
asserted through California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

9 18. Additionally, all PAGA Members and the LWDA have released and forever discharged
10 the Released Parties for any and all Released PAGA Claims during the PAGA Period:

11 All claims, rights, demands, liabilities, and causes of action for civil penalties
12 under California Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*, that were alleged or could
13 reasonably have been alleged based on the facts alleged in Plaintiff's LWDA letter
14 during the PAGA Period, including but not limited to Labor Code §§ 201, 202,
204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 516, 558, 1174(d), 1182.12, 1194, 1197, 1197.1,
1198, 2802, 2810.5(a)(1).


15 19. Judgment in this matter is entered in accordance with the above findings.

16 20. Without affecting the finality of the Judgment, the Court shall retain exclusive and
17 continuing jurisdiction over the above-captioned action and the parties under Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 664.6,
18 including all Participating Settlement Members and PAGA Members, for purposes of enforcing the terms
19 of the Judgment entered herein.

20 21. This document shall constitute a judgment (and separate document constituting said
21 judgment) for purposes of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h).

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.**

23 Dated: 10.3.25

24 
HON. JAMES A. MANGIONE
25 San Diego County Superior Court Judge
26
27
28