

AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC

SAMUEL A. WONG, State Bar No. 217104

KASHIF HAQUE, State Bar No. 218672

JESSICA L. CAMPBELL, State Bar No. 280626

JOSEPH M. SZILAGYI, State Bar No. 317450

9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100

Irvine, California 92618

Telephone: (949) 379-6250

Facsimile: (949) 379-6251

Email: jcampbell@aegislawfirm.com

Email: jszilagyi@aegislawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Charlene C. Olivares,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

DEC 06 2024

BY Chris Goldstein
CHRIS GOLDSTEIN, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

CHARLENE C. OLIVARES,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HOMETIME WINDOWS & DOORS,
INC.; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CIVSB2215873

*Assigned for all purposes to:
Dept. S26*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT
GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

1 This matter came on for hearing on October 17, 2024 in Department S26 of the above-
2 captioned Court on the unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement
3 pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval
4 filed May 21, 2024 and the Class, Collective, and Representative Settlement Agreement
5 ("Settlement Agreement"), a copy of which was filed in conjunction with the Plaintiffs' Motion
6 for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

7 Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by
8 the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the
9 unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement heard May 21, 2024 and
10 the instant Motion for Final Approval, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and
11 **HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:**

12 1. Pursuant to the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, a Notice Packet was sent to
13 each Class Member by first-class mail. These papers informed the Class of the terms of the
14 Settlement, their right to receive an Individual Settlement Payment, their right (a) to comment on
15 or object to the Settlement, (b) to request exclusion from the Settlement and pursue their own
16 remedies, and (c) of their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and
17 to be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by
18 each of these procedures. No member of the Class filed written objection to the proposed
19 Settlement as part of this notice process or stated an intention to appear at the final approval
20 hearing.

21 2. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate
22 protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision
23 regarding approval of the Settlement based on the responses of the Class. The Court finds and
24 determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied
25 the requirements of law and due process.

26 3. With respect to the Class and for purposes of approving this Settlement only, this
27 Court finds and concludes that: (a) the members of the Class are ascertainable and so numerous
28 that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the

1 Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among members of the Class with respect
2 to the subject matter of the Action; (c) the claims of Class Representative Sigifredo Perez Jr. are
3 typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and
4 adequately protected the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to
5 other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of
6 record for the Class Representative, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for
7 Plaintiff in their individual and representative capacities for the Class.

8 4. The Court has certified a Class, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the
9 Settlement Agreement as all current or former non-exempt employees of Defendant Hometime
10 Windows & Doors, Inc. ("Defendant") who worked in the State of California at any time during
11 the Class Period. This includes either a Participating Class Member or Non-Participating Class
12 Member (including a Non-Participating Class Member who qualifies as an Aggrieved
13 Employee). of July 22, 2018 to September 29, 2023, and the Court deems this definition sufficient
14 for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.765(a).

15 5. The Court hereby confirms Aegis Law Firm, PC as Class Counsel.

16 6. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Charlene C. Olivares as the Class
17 Representative in this Action.

18 7. The Court finds and determines that the terms set forth in the Settlement
19 Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement
20 according to its terms, having found that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and
21 non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds
22 that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys
23 were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that the
24 Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs,
25 as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has
26 reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant
27 value accorded to the Class.

1 8. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair,
2 reasonable and adequate to the Class and to each Participating Class Member and that the
3 Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement should
4 be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.

5 9. The Court hereby approves the Gross Settlement Amount of \$250,000.00.

6 10. The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Payments to be paid
7 to Participating Class Members as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The
8 Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the
9 Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

10 11. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and
11 Workforce Development Agency of \$15,000.00 as its share of the settlement of civil penalties in
12 this case is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders
13 that the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

14 12. The Court finds and determines that the fees and expenses in administrating the
15 Settlement incurred by Phoenix Settlement Administrators in the amount of \$6,000.00, are fair
16 and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that
17 amount in accordance with the Settlement.

18 13. The Court finds and determines the Class Representatives Enhancement Award
19 of up to \$10,000 for Plaintiff is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator
20 to make this payment to the Plaintiff/Class Representative in accordance with the terms of the
21 Settlement Agreement.

22 14. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and
23 argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees
24 in the sum of \$87,500.00 and litigation costs of \$16,185.07. The Court finds such amounts to be
25 fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these
26 payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

27
28

1 15. Without affecting the finality of this order or the entry of judgment in any way,
2 the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration,
3 implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.

4 16. Neither Defendant nor any related persons or entities shall have any further
5 liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability,
6 except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.

7 17. Neither the making of the Settlement Agreement nor the entry into the Settlement
8 Agreement constitutes an admission by Defendant, nor is this order a finding of the validity of
9 any claims in this case or of any other wrongdoing. Further, the Settlement Agreement is not a
10 concession, and shall not be used as an admission of any wrongdoing, fault, or omission of any
11 entity or persons; nor may any action taken to carry out the terms of the Settlement Agreement
12 be construed as an admission or concession by or against Defendant or any related person or
13 entity.

14 18. Nothing in this order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations
15 under the Settlement or under this order, including the requirement that Defendant make
16 payment to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.

17 19. This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition in its entirety of the above
18 captioned action. Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court retains
19 jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation,
20 effectuation, and enforcement of the Settlement pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6.

21 20. Upon completion of administration of the Settlement, the Settlement Administrator
22 will provide written certification of such completion to the Court and counsel for the Parties
23 which shall be filed with the Court five (5) court days before the non-appearance compliance
24 hearing set for 9/29, 2025 at 8:30 a.m./p.m. in Dept. 26.

25 21. The Court hereby enters final judgment in accordance with the terms of the
26 Settlement Agreement, the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement,
27 and this Order.

1 22. The Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise
2 provided by this Court's Order awarding Class Counsels' Award for attorneys' fees and
3 litigation costs.

4
5
6 DATED: 12.6.2024

C. Towns
Honorable **Christian Towns**
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT