

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

JUN 05 2024

E. Escobedo

CM

JUN 06 2024

21

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

JANAY SCOTT, individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CALIFORNIA DRUG CONSULTANTS,
INC.; INTEGRATED CARE
COMMUNITIES, INC.; and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CVRI2202861

*Assigned for All Purposes to:
Hon. Harold Hopp. Dept.: 1*

**AMENDED ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
GRANTING MOTION FOR APPROVAL
OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL
ACT REPRESENTATIVE ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

Date: June 4, 2024
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: 1
Res.: 588315340348

AMENDED ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS
GENERAL ACT REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

1 The Court has received and considered the Private Attorneys General Act Settlement
2 Agreement and Release of All Claims ("Settlement") of Plaintiff Janay Scott ("Plaintiff"), as an
3 individual, and on behalf of the people of the State of California and as an "aggrieved employee"
4 acting as a private attorney general under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004,
5 § 2699, *et seq.* ("PAGA") and Defendants California Drug Consultants, Inc. and Integrated Care
6 Communities, Inc. ("Defendants") in the above-captioned action filed on July 12, 2022.

7 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

8 This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement, and all terms
9 defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement.

10 The Court hereby approves the Parties' proposed Settlement and hereby enters FINAL
11 JUDGMENT based on the terms set forth in the Parties' Settlement in favor of Plaintiff and the
12 PAGA Aggrieved Employees in the amount of \$150,000.00. Of this, \$50,000.00 is awarded as
13 attorney fees paid to PAGA Counsel, \$16,795.75 is awarded as costs paid to Plaintiff's Counsel,
14 \$7,500.00 is awarded to Plaintiff in exchange for a general release of claims, and \$3,995.00 is
15 awarded as administrative costs paid to the Settlement Administrator.

16 The Court hereby approves Phoenix Settlement Administrators, Inc. as the Settlement
17 Administrator, who shall act in accordance with the Settlement. The Settlement Administrator
18 shall mail a reminder postcard to any Class Member whose settlement check has not been
19 negotiated within 60 days after the date of mailing. For all funds not claimed within 180 days of
20 the mailing of payment, the Settlement Administrator shall send those funds to the California
21 State Controller's Office Unclaimed Property Fund with an identification of the amount of
22 unclaimed funds attributable to each Aggrieved Employee, as specified in the Settlement.

23 The Court directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The
24 Settlement Administrator shall send each PAGA Aggrieved Employee their pro rata share of the
25 PAGA Employee Fund calculated by (a) dividing the amount of the PAGA Aggrieved
26 Employees' 25% share of PAGA Penalties by the total number of PAGA Period Pay Periods
27 worked by all PAGA Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the
28


1 result by each PAGA Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Period Pay Periods, as specified in the
2 Settlement.

3 Plaintiff shall submit a copy of this Order to the LWDA within ten calendar days after
4 entry of the Order. Plaintiff shall provide proof to the Court that he has done so at the final
5 accounting hearing, set for ~~December 15, 2024~~ at 8:30 A.M. [, or a later date that is convenient
6 with the Court, on March 11th, 2025 at 8:30 AM Plaintiff shall file a report concerning
7 the amount of money distributed no later than five (5) court days prior to the final accounting
8 hearing.

9 This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition in its entirety of the above captioned
10 action. Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction
11 of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and
12 enforcement of the Settlement pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14
15 Dated: 6/4/24


16 Hon. Harold Hopp
17 Judge of the Superior Court
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28