

Adam M. Rose (210880)
adam@frontierlawcenter.com
Theodore Tang (313294)
theodore@frontierlawcenter.com
Manny Starr (319778)
manny@frontierlawcenter.com
FRONTIER LAW CENTER
23901 Calabasas Road, #2074
Calabasas, California 91302
Telephone: (818) 914-3433
Facsimile: (818) 914-3433

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Tasja Gray

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE**

TASJA GRAY, on behalf of herself and all
aggrieved employees,

Plaintiffs,

v.

G&M HIRE ENTERPRISES LLC, DR. ROB
FARMS INC., and DOES 1 to 100,

Defendants.

Case No. CVPS2204289

**DECLARATION OF MANNY STARR IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR APPROVAL OF PAGA
SETTLEMENT**

Date: June 21, 2023

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: PS2

Complaint Filed: October 28, 2022

Trial: None set

DECLARATION OF MANNY STARR

I, Manny Starr, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law duly admitted to practice before all courts in the State of California and am a member of the law firm Frontier Law Center. I am one of the attorneys of record for Plaintiff Tasja Gray (“Plaintiff”), on behalf of himself and all aggrieved employees, in the above-entitled action. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called upon as a witness to testify thereto, I could and would competently do so.

CASE SUMMARY

2. On June 8, 2022, Plaintiff filed a PAGA notice letter with the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) and sent the same via certified mail to the Defendant (LWDA Case No. LWDA-CM-900224-22). In this letter, Plaintiff asserted that Defendant failed to comply with Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1197, 1197.1, and 2802. Specifically, the letter alleged: that G&M implemented a policy of requiring employees to go through security checkpoints to enter or leave and did not pay for this time. The letter alleged that as a result of this policy, GM failed to pay the Aggrieved Employees of all time worked, failed to pay all overtime owed, and discouraged Aggrieved Employees from taking meal and rest breaks.

3. Thereafter, On October 28, 2022, Plaintiff filed this action. The complaint had a single cause of action seeking PAGA penalties for Defendant's alleged Labor Code violations. After answering the complaint, Defendant and Plaintiff discussed the possibility of resolution by early mediation.

4. After the filing of the Complaint, Plaintiff and Defendants (the “Parties”) began to discuss the possibility of informal resolution of the litigation through mediation. In connection with the mediation, the Parties engaged in informal discovery to allow Plaintiff to assess the claims and liability, as well as analyze the maximum exposure of penalties. Defendants provided Plaintiff’s counsel with informal data and information pertaining to the number of Aggrieved Employees and the number of pay periods at issue. Defendant also

1 provided a sampling of wage and time records for the Aggrieved Employees, as well as
2 documents and information pertaining to Defendant's policies and practices around breaks.
3 Based on the data provided by Defendants, Plaintiff's counsel conducted an analysis of
4 liability and potential maximum exposure for the PAGA penalties.

5 5. The Parties conducted a full-day mediation with experienced employment law
6 mediator Linda Quinn on March 6, 2023, and reached an agreement at mediation.

7 6. After thorough investigation and evaluation of the facts and claims, and as a
8 result of the arm's-length negotiations and a mediator's proposal, the Parties and their counsel
9 ultimately reached the current settlement, for the Gross Amount of \$50,000. In resolution of
10 Plaintiff's PAGA claims in this lawsuit, the Parties entered into the Joint Stipulation And
11 Settlement of PAGA Action ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement") on April 21, 2023. A
12 true and correct copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached as **Exhibit A**.

13 7. The Parties recognize the risk, expense, and delay in continuing litigation with
14 the class and PAGA claims, and believe the Settlement to be fair, reasonable, and adequate.

15 8. Each side has apprised the other of their respective factual contentions, legal
16 theories, and defenses, resulting in negotiations taking place between the Parties.

17
18 **THE SETTLEMENT IS FAIR, REASONABLE, AND ADEQUATE**

19 9. Based on the class data provided by Defendants, Plaintiff estimated that
20 Defendant would face potential liability of up to approximately \$1,304,800 for Plaintiff's
21 PAGA claims if Plaintiff succeeded at trial. Plaintiff was able to estimate the potential PAGA
22 penalties based on data provided by Defendants. Specifically, Plaintiff calculated PAGA
23 penalties under based on 161 Aggrieved Employees over 3,636 aggregated work weeks.

24 10. The Gross Settlement Amount is \$50,000.00, which is approximately **4%** of the
25 maximum PAGA penalties.

26 11. Although Plaintiff believes in the merits of the alleged claims and maintains that
27 there is no reason for reducing PAGA penalties, Plaintiff is aware that Defendants may raise
28 defenses to the claims and further with respect to the reduction of PAGA penalties and that

1 they could be persuasive to the Court.

2 12. It is Defendant's position that their meal and rest break policies are facially
3 compliant. Defendant contends that Plaintiff's claims are supported only by their own
4 statements, contradicted by both Defendant's written policies. Defendant further contends that
5 even if Plaintiff could prevail on his claims for herself individually, there is no practical way to
6 try this as a PAGA case.

7 13. Moreover, even if Plaintiff were to successfully prove her claims at trial, there is
8 a possibility that the Court may only award a small percentage of PAGA penalties, as the
9 Court has discretion to do so. The Gross Settlement Amount was premised with this risk in
10 mind, associated with the possibility of the Court significantly reducing Plaintiff's PAGA
11 penalties, along with other attendant risks, even if Plaintiff was to prevail at trial.

12 14. Although Plaintiff believes that the case can be tried on a representative basis,
13 Plaintiff also believes in the fairness of the settlement that is based on factoring in the
14 uncertainty and risks to Plaintiff involved in not prevailing on one or more of the theories
15 alleged in the operative Complaint, the possibility of dismissal based on unmanageability, and
16 the potential for appeals.

17 15. On a raw average, each Aggrieved Employee may potentially recover
18 approximately \$42.52, taken by dividing 25% of the total PAGA penalties by the total number
19 of Aggrieved Employees.

20 16. I have spent significant time researching and pursuing the claims that have been
21 alleged in this action. The Parties engaged in informal discovery and participated in the
22 exchange of sample data and Defendants' pertinent policies and practices. In addition, my
23 office drafted pre-litigation requests for records as well as the PAGA notice. Based on my
24 review of all the documents and information, and based on my experience in complex
25 representative employment litigation, I believe this settlement to be fair, adequate, and
26 reasonable.

27 17. Our office received a bid from Phoenix Settlement Administrators to administer
28 the settlement for \$2,950. The quote includes all necessary mailing, processing, and tax

1 reporting, as well as 1 round of remailing and skip-tracing for returned check and notice
2 packets that come back without a forwarding address. Given the PAGA only nature of the
3 settlement and the number of Aggrieved employees, I believe this to be a fair and reasonable
4 amount.

5 18. I was retained based upon a contingency fee arrangement wherein Plaintiff's
6 counsel agreed to advance all costs and receive no fee unless a recovery was accomplished.
7 Specifically, had Plaintiff failed to prevail in this case, counsel for Plaintiff would have spent a
8 significant amount of time, money and other resources without any benefit or return. In
9 addition, had Plaintiff also failed to prevail in the present case, Defendants would have been
10 able to seek costs in connection with their defense of the case.

11 19. Our office has spent \$3,027.85 in expenses pursuing this case. These costs
12 include filing fees (with the Court and the LWDA), costs of service, mediation, and other
13 reasonably necessary costs expended in prosecuting this action. This excludes any costs
14 incurred for filing the present motion. A true and correct copy of our expenses report
15 (reformatted for attachment as an exhibit) is attached as **Exhibit B**. Note that half of the cost
16 of mediation listed on the report is attributable to Plaintiff's FEHA claims, which are not part
17 of this case.

18 20. Our office uses a case management system that automatically and
19 contemporaneously records time spent on a case for tasks such as drafting and reviewing
20 documents, sending emails, and other tasks that interact with computer files and
21 communications. In addition, attorneys can manually enter time hours for legal research and
22 offline activities. It is our firm's policy that these hours are, whenever possible, to be entered
23 in contemporaneously with, or immediately after, finishing each task.

24 21. I am familiar with the work done on this case and I have reviewed the records we
25 have collected. They are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Based on the records,
26 our office has spent approximately 73.8 hours litigating the present case for a lodestar of
27 \$30,852.50. A true and correct copy of our billing report (reformatted for attachment as an
28 exhibit) is attached as **Exhibit C**.

1 22. Contemporaneously with filing this motion, I am uploading a copy to the
2 LWDA's online PAGA portal,

3 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the above is true and
4 correct.

5 Executed on 05/12/23 | 12:11 PDT in Austin _____, Texas.


6
7 DocuSigned by:
8 
9 82E9DC9ABF854A6...
10 Manny Starr

EXHIBIT A

PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT (LABOR CODE §§ 2698 ET SEQ.)
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT & RELEASE

Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al.
Riverside County Superior Court
Case Number CVPS2204289

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the undersigned Parties, subject to the approval of the Court, that the settlement of the above-listed action with respect to various claims brought in a representative capacity pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) (Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*) shall be effectuated upon and subject to the following terms and conditions. Capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings set forth in Article I or elsewhere in this PAGA Settlement Agreement (“PAGA Settlement” or “Agreement”).

This Agreement is made by and between Defendant G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC (“G&M” or “Defendant”), on the one hand, and Plaintiff Tasja Gray (“Plaintiff”), on the other hand, for herself, the Aggrieved Employees (defined below), and on behalf of the State of California. Defendant and Plaintiff, as defined herein, are sometimes collectively referred to as the “Parties.” This Agreement shall be effective upon the last date upon which it is signed and exchanged between the Parties.

The Parties agree that all representative PAGA claims brought, or that could have been brought, based upon the facts alleged in Riverside County Superior Court Case No. CVPS2204289 (the “Action”) in which Plaintiff alleges Defendant, *inter alia*, (1) failed to pay Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees all wages owed, including minimum and overtime wages; (2) failed to provide Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees compliant meal and rest periods or pay meal and rest period premiums in lieu thereof; (3) failed to issue Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees accurate itemized wage statements and/or maintain accurate records; (4) failed to timely pay all wages owed during and upon separation of employment; and (5) failed to provide reimbursement for necessary business expenses, shall be, and hereby are, fully, completely, and forever ended, settled, compromised, resolved, and concluded in exchange for and by agreement of Defendant to pay the gross sum of Fifty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$50,000.00) (hereinafter referred to as the “PAGA Settlement Sum”), subject to the payment provisions described herein, in full and final settlement of all PAGA civil penalties sought in the Action, the amount of which shall be inclusive of any and all attorney fees and costs for Plaintiff’s Counsel, in connection with this Agreement and releases by Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees.

Article I.

Definitions

Section 1.01 Definitions.

Unless otherwise defined herein, the following terms used in this Agreement shall have the meanings ascribed to them as set forth below:

- (a) “Action” means *Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al.*, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. CVPS2204289
- (b) “Aggrieved Employees” means all individuals who are, or previously were, employed by G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC and Dr. Robb Farms at DHS Lot 11 Holdings, LLC’s location in Desert Hot Springs, California and classified as a non-exempt employee during the PAGA Period.
- (c) “Complaint” means the operative complaint in the Action, which was filed by Plaintiff on October 28, 2022 in Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. CVPS2204289, inclusive of the PAGA notice submitted to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) by Plaintiff on or around August 8, 2022, the filing of which was a procedural prerequisite for Plaintiff to pursue recovery of civil penalties under PAGA.
- (d) “Court” means the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Riverside.
- (e) “Defendant’s Counsel” or “Counsel for Defendant” are:
 - Brian D. Martin, Esq.
 - Geoff D. La Val, Esq.
 - Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo
 - 4275 Executive Square, Suite 700
 - La Jolla, California 92037
- (f) “Effective Date” means the latter of:
 - i. if no objections to the motion to approve this Agreement are made in writing or at the hearing for Court approval, the latter of: (1) the date the Court enters an order granting approval of the Parties’ PAGA Settlement; and (2) the date Defendant’s Counsel is served with a copy of the Court’s order granting approval of the Parties’ PAGA Settlement;
 - ii. if objection is made in writing or at the hearing on the motion to approve the PAGA Settlement and overruled, and no appeal is taken of the Order granting approval or no motion to intervene or motion to vacate judgment is filed, then the Effective Date shall be three (3) calendar days after the deadline to file an appeal of the Order granting approval; or
 - iii. if one or more appeals are taken from the Court’s overruling any objection to the PAGA Settlement in addition to an appeal of an order denying either intervention or a motion to vacate judgment, then the Effective Date shall be three (3) calendar days after any appeal is dismissed or the Order granting approval of the PAGA Settlement is affirmed after an appeal, and the Order granting approval is not subject to further review and becomes final.

- (g) “PAGA Settlement Sum” means the total, maximum, all-in settlement amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$50,000.00) to be paid by Defendant in full satisfaction of all Settlement Claims arising from the Action.
- (h) “Pay Periods” means any pay period in which an Aggrieved Employee performed work for Defendant at DHS Lot 11 Holdings, LLC’s location in Desert Hot Springs, California.
- (i) “Plaintiff’s Counsel” are:
 - Manny Starr, Esq.
 - Joseph Gross, Esq.
 - Theodore Tang, Esq.
 - FRONTIER LAW CENTER
 - 23901 Calabasas Road, #2074
 - Calabasas, California 91302
 - Telephone: (818) 914-3433
 - Facsimile: (818) 914-3433
- (j) “Release Period” or “PAGA Period” means the time period of August 24, 2021 through the date the Court grants approval of the PAGA Settlement.
- (k) “Settlement Administrator” means Phoenix Settlement Administrators.

Article II.

Background.

Section 2.01 Procedural Background.

- (a) On October 10, 2022, Plaintiff filed a PAGA representative action complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Riverside entitled *Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al.* Case Number CVPS2204289, which seeks recovery of civil penalties for Defendant’s alleged violation of the California Labor Code, including sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, and 2802.
- (b) During the course of the Action, the Parties engaged in informal discovery and investigation into Plaintiff’s claims. The Parties reached this PAGA Settlement only after such discovery and investigation was performed, and after the Parties engaged in a full-day, arm’s-length mediation before Hon. Linda Quinn (Ret.), an experienced wage and hour mediator.
- (c) In connection with this PAGA Settlement, Plaintiff’s representative PAGA claims that were, or could have been brought, alleging violations of California Labor Code, including sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, and 2802, will be dismissed with prejudice through the entry of the Order granting approval of the Parties’ Settlement in the Action.

- (d) This PAGA Settlement contemplates the entry of an order by the Court approving the payment allocated for settlement of the PAGA penalties sought in the Action and dismissal of the Action with prejudice.

Article III.

Approval and Implementation of Settlement.

Section 3.01 Court Approval of the PAGA Settlement; Mutual Cooperation.

Subject to Defendant's Counsel's review and approval prior to filing, Plaintiff's Counsel shall be responsible for preparing and filing a Motion for Approval of the PAGA Settlement ("Motion"). Plaintiff's Counsel will provide Defendant's Counsel with a copy of the Motion no later than three (3) business days prior to the deadline for submission and an opportunity to propose revisions to the Motion before it is filed. The Parties will cooperate in obtaining, through an unopposed motion, an Order from the Court approving the payment of the PAGA Settlement Sum as described in this Agreement at the earliest possible date.

Concurrently with the submission of this Agreement to the Court, Plaintiff shall submit it to the LWDA, as required by PAGA. The Parties agree to use their best efforts to expedite the preparation and submission of the settlement approval documents. The Parties further agree to fully cooperate in the drafting and/or filing of any further documents reasonably necessary to be prepared or filed in order to effectuate the approval and implementation of the PAGA Settlement and shall take all steps that may be requested by the Court relating to or that are otherwise necessary to obtain such approval, as described in this Agreement.

The Court's approval of the payment of the PAGA Settlement Sum as described in this Agreement shall be embodied in a written Order, which shall be transmitted to the LWDA by Plaintiff promptly upon entry, as required by PAGA. Should the Court not grant approval of the payment of the PAGA Settlement Sum as described in this Agreement or decline to dismiss the Action with prejudice, as agreed by the Parties, Plaintiff shall be permitted to file a successive Stipulation and/or Motion for Court Approval altering the allocation of the Settlement Sum funds; however, the PAGA Settlement Sum of \$50,000 will never be increased. Should the Court not grant approval of payment of the PAGA Settlement Sum on a successive Motion for Court Approval, this Agreement shall be null and void and have no further effect. The Parties shall be returned to their prior positions, with any and all rights and arguments intact and undisturbed.

Section 3.02 Settlement Payment Procedures.

(a) Payment of PAGA Settlement Sum.

- (1) Defendant agrees to pay the total, all in, maximum amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$50,000.00) to fully and finally settle and compromise the Action. The PAGA Settlement Sum shall be paid as described in Section 3.02(a)(2) below, shall cover, resolve, and fully and completely satisfy any and all amounts owed to the State of California and Aggrieved Employees in the Action, including all amounts allocated to Plaintiff's attorney fees and costs, and in settlement of all

of Plaintiff's claims pursuant to PAGA based on Plaintiff's allegation that Defendant violated the California Labor Code, including but not limited to Sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, and 2802, and all related or associated claims which were asserted, or which could have been asserted based upon the facts alleged, in the Complaint. In the event this Agreement is canceled, rescinded, terminated, voided or nullified, however that may occur, or the settlement is barred by operation of law, invalidated, or ordered not to be carried out by a court of competent jurisdiction, Defendant shall have no obligation to pay any of the PAGA Settlement Sum. The Parties agree there are no circumstances that would require Defendant to pay any amount greater than the PAGA Settlement Sum in connection with this Agreement.

- (2) Defendant's funding of the PAGA Settlement Sum is conditioned upon the Court's approval of the PAGA Settlement and dismissal of the Action, with prejudice, after which Defendant shall deposit the total amount of the PAGA Settlement Sum with the Settlement Administrator no later than twenty (20) calendar days after the Effective Date. Defendant's deposit of the PAGA Settlement Sum shall be performed either by mailing a check to the Settlement Administrator's designated address, or by wire transfer to the Settlement Administrator's designated account. The date Defendant mails or wires the PAGA Settlement Sum to the Settlement Administrator shall be considered the date of payment.
- (3) Within twenty (20) calendar days of the Effective Date, Defendant will send a list to the Settlement Administrator which will contain each Aggrieved Employee's: (1) full name; (2) full social security number; (3) last-known address; and (4) number of Pay Periods worked during the PAGA Period.
- (4) The "Net Settlement Fund" or "Net PAGA Penalties" shall be calculated by deducting from the PAGA Settlement Sum the following: (1) up to, but not exceeding \$16,666.00 for the payment of Plaintiff's Counsel's attorney fees; (2) up to, but not exceeding, \$3,000.00 for the payment of Plaintiff's Counsel's costs; and (3) up to, but not exceeding, \$2,950.00 for the payment of the Parties' chosen and approved Settlement Administrator. The Net PAGA Penalties are currently estimated to total approximately \$27,384.00. The Net PAGA Penalties will be allocated and distributed pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(i) with 75% (i.e. \$20,538.00) paid to the LWDA and 25% (i.e. \$6,846.00) paid to the Aggrieved Employees on a pro-rata basis according to the number of Pay Periods they worked in the PAGA Period. If the Court does not approve the full amount of any of the items in this paragraph, such amount(s) not approved shall be re-allocated into the Net PAGA Penalties for distribution to the LWDA and the Aggrieved Employees consistent with PAGA.

(b) Distribution of the PAGA Settlement Sum.

- (1) Distribution of the PAGA Settlement Sum shall be conditioned upon the Effective Date first occurring, then it will proceed as follows:

- a) The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the amounts described in paragraph 3.02(a)(4) within fifteen (15) calendar days of Defendant's payment of the PAGA Settlement Sum.
 - i) The 25% portion of the Net PAGA Penalties to be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees will be divided pro-rata among and paid to all Aggrieved Employees according to the total number of Pay Periods that each Aggrieved Employee worked during the PAGA Period.
 - ii) All interest accrued on the PAGA Settlement Sum shall be distributed 75% to the LWDA and 25% to the Aggrieved Employees. The Settlement Administrator shall transmit the settlement checks to the Aggrieved Employees, with no claims process required, by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, to the last known address of each recipient with directions to the Postmaster to return all undeliverable settlement checks.
- (2) For each settlement check that is returned as undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly attempt to locate the Aggrieved Employee by using an address refresher database. Any settlement checks that remain uncashed more than 180 calendar days after issuance shall be paid to the California State Controller, with the identity of the Aggrieved Employee(s) to whom the funds belong, to be held for the Aggrieved Employee(s) per the California Unclaimed Property Law, in the interest of justice. The money paid to the State Controller will remain each of the Aggrieved Employee's property to the extent permitted by law.
- (3) The entire amount of the PAGA Settlement Sum is considered penalties or attorney fees/costs and will not be subject to local, state, or federal tax withholdings. All recipients of payments under this PAGA Settlement shall have their payments reported on an IRS Form 1099 via the Settlement Administrator if required.

Article IV.

Limitations On Use Of This Settlement.

Section 4.01 No Admission.

This Agreement, and compliance with this Agreement, shall not be construed as an admission by Defendant of any liability whatsoever, or as an admission by Defendant of any violations of Plaintiff's or Aggrieved Employees' rights, violation of any order, law, statute, duty or contract whatsoever. Defendant specifically disclaims any liability to Plaintiff or any other allegedly Aggrieved Employee for any alleged violation of his/her rights, or for any alleged violation of any order, law, statute, duty or contract. Defendant further denies, for any purpose other than settling these matters, that this action is appropriate for representative treatment.

Section 4.02 No Use; Non-Evidentiary Use.

Neither this Agreement, nor any of its terms, nor any of the negotiations connected with it or proceedings relating to this Agreement, payouts or anything else associated with it, shall be

publicized, marketed, reported, published, posted, or advertised by Plaintiff or Plaintiff's Counsel, nor offered or used as evidence by any of the Parties or their respective counsel in the Action or in any other action or proceeding, including to establish any liability or admission on the part of Defendant or any Released Parties (defined below in Section 5.01) or to establish the existence of any condition constituting a violation of, or noncompliance with, federal, state, local or other applicable law; provided, however, this shall not prevent this Agreement from being used, offered, or received in evidence in any proceeding to enforce, construe, or finalize this Agreement. Defendant and the Released Parties may also use this Agreement as an affirmative defense and bar, in whole or in part, to any future or subsequent claims or suits by the Aggrieved Employees, or others, in whole or in part, to the extent applicable. Neither this Agreement, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations connected with it or proceedings relating to this Agreement, payouts or other events associated with it, shall be construed as an admission or concession by Defendant or any Released Parties or establishment of any such violation(s) or failure(s) to comply with any applicable law. Defendant specifically retains the right to defend, on the merits, against any non-settled claims brought by any allegedly Aggrieved Employees.

Section 4.03 Nullification.

Invalidation of any material provision of this Agreement shall invalidate this Agreement in its entirety unless the Parties subsequently agree in writing that the remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

Article V.

Release and Waiver of Claims; Non-Disparagement.

Section 5.01 Release of Claims by Aggrieved Employees.

Upon approval of the PAGA Settlement by the Court, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and her heirs, executors, and assigns, and the State of California, fully release and discharge Defendant, and each of its present and former parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, successors, predecessors, and assigns, and each of its respective past and present owners, officers, directors, operators, managing agents, members, shareholders, partners, employees, agents, franchisors, franchisees, attorneys, insurers, successors, assigns, representatives, accountants, payroll providers, benefits providers, and all persons acting jointly, under, by, through, or in concert with any of them, including DR ROBB FARMS and DHS LOT 11 HOLDINGS, LLC (collectively, the "Released Parties") from any and all claims under PAGA which were asserted in the Action, or which could have been asserted in the Action based on the facts pleaded in the Complaint, for and relating to the Released Parties' alleged violations of California Labor Code, including sections 201, 202, 203, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, and 2802 ("Released Claims") that accrued during the PAGA Period.

As a result of this release, the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees will be unable to bring or recover a claim against any of the Released Parties under the California Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code section 2698 et seq., for any violations of the Released Claims that took place during the Release Period. Defendant and the Released

Parties shall have no liability for payment of civil penalties arising out of the Released Claims in this Action except as set forth in this Agreement.

Section 5.02 Non-Disparagement by Plaintiff.

Plaintiff agrees she will not, orally or in writing, publicly or privately, post, publish, make or express any comment, view or opinion which criticizes, is adverse to, brings disrepute in the eyes of the public, defames, derogates or disparages Defendant or the Released Parties in any manner, nor shall Plaintiff authorize any agent or representative to make or express any such comment, view or opinion.

Article VI.

Miscellaneous Provisions.

Section 6.01 Drafting.

The Parties have had a full opportunity to negotiate the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, this Settlement Agreement will not be construed more strictly against one party merely by virtue of the fact that it may have been prepared by counsel for one of the Parties, it being recognized that, because of the arm's-length negotiations between the Parties, all Parties have contributed to the preparation of this Settlement Agreement.

Section 6.02 No Impact on Benefit Plans.

Neither the Settlement Agreement nor any amounts paid to Plaintiff or any Aggrieved Employee thereunder will modify any previously credited hours or service under any employee benefit plan, policy, or bonus program sponsored by Defendant. Such amounts will not form the basis for additional contributions to, benefits under, or any other monetary entitlement under Defendant's sponsored benefit plans, policies, or bonus programs. The payments made under the terms of this Settlement Agreement shall not be applied retroactively, currently, or on a going forward basis, as salary, earnings, wages, or any other form of compensation for the purposes of any of Defendant's benefit plans, policy, or bonus program. Defendant retains the right, if necessary, to modify the language of its benefit plans, policies and bonus programs to effect this intent, and to make clear that any amounts paid pursuant to this Settlement Agreement are not for "hours worked," "hours paid," "hours of service," or any similar measuring term as defined by applicable plans, policies and bonus programs for purposes of eligibility, vesting, benefit accrual, or any other purpose, and that additional contributions or benefits are not required by this Settlement Agreement.

Section 6.03 Acknowledgement that the Settlement is Fair and Reasonable.

The Parties believe this Settlement Agreement is a fair, adequate, and reasonable settlement of the Action and have arrived at this Settlement Agreement after arm's-length negotiations by experienced counsel. The Parties further acknowledge that they are each represented by competent counsel and that they have had an opportunity to consult with their

counsel regarding the fairness and reasonableness of this Settlement Agreement.

Section 6.04 Mutual Preparation.

The Parties have had a full opportunity to negotiate the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, this Settlement Agreement will not be construed more strictly against one party merely by virtue of the fact that it may have been prepared by counsel for one of the Parties; it being recognized that, because of the arm's length negotiations between the Parties, all Parties have contributed to the preparation of this Settlement Agreement.

Section 6.05 Representation By Counsel.

The Parties acknowledge that they have been represented by counsel throughout all negotiations that preceded the execution of this Settlement Agreement, and that this Settlement Agreement has been executed with the consent and advice of counsel, and reviewed in full.

Section 6.06 Waiver of Right to Appeal.

Provided that the Court's Order granting approval of this PAGA Settlement is consistent with the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff, Aggrieved Employees, the LWDA, and Defendant, inclusive, hereby waive any and all rights to appeal in connection with any issue in the Court's Order, including, but not limited to, all rights to any post-judgment proceeding and/or appellate proceeding, such as a motion to vacate or set-aside judgment, a motion for new trial, and any extraordinary writ, and the Order will become final and non-appealable at the time it is entered. This provision does not in any way impact Plaintiff's, Defendant's, nor Plaintiff's Counsel's right to appeal any adverse rulings entered as a result of any objections and/or other collateral attacks.

Section 6.07 Voluntariness.

This Agreement is executed voluntarily and without duress or undue influence on the part of, or on behalf of, any of the Parties, or of any other person, firm or other entity.

Section 6.08 Amendments.

The terms and provisions of this Agreement may be amended only by a written agreement that is both: (a) signed by the Plaintiff and Defendant; and (b) approved by the Court. Any waiver of any provision of this Agreement shall not constitute a waiver of any other provision of this Agreement unless expressly so indicated otherwise.

Section 6.09 Assignment.

None of the rights, commitments, or obligations recognized under this Agreement may be assigned by any Party, Aggrieved Employee, without the express written consent of each other Party and their respective counsel hereto. The representations, warranties, covenants, and agreements contained in this Agreement are for the sole benefit of the Parties under this Agreement and shall not be construed to confer any right or to avail any remedy to any other

person. Plaintiff expressly warrants that she has not transferred to any person or entity any right or cause of action, or claim released by this Agreement.

Section 6.10 Governing Law.

This Agreement shall be governed, construed, and interpreted, and the rights of the Parties shall be determined, in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

Section 6.11 Entire Agreement.

This Agreement contains the entire understanding of the Parties hereto with respect to the subject matter contained herein. This Agreement supersedes all prior agreements and understandings among the Parties hereto with respect to the settlement of the Action.

Section 6.12 Waiver of Compliance.

Any failure of any Party hereto to comply with any obligation, covenant, agreement, or condition herein may be expressly waived in writing, to the extent permitted under applicable law, by the Party or Parties hereto entitled to the benefit of such obligation, covenant, agreement, or condition. A waiver or failure to insist upon strict compliance with any representation, warranty, covenant, agreement, or condition shall not operate as a waiver of, or estoppel with respect to, any subsequent or other failure.

Section 6.13 Counterparts.

The Parties hereby acknowledge that this Agreement may be executed in counterpart originals with like effect as if executed in a single original document. The Parties also agree that a facsimile, scanned, or electronically signed copy (provided it complies with California Civil Code sections 1633.1, *et seq.* and the party provides appropriate verification) of the signed Agreement shall have the same force and effect as an original signed Agreement.

Section 6.14 Attorney Fees and Costs.

With respect to this Agreement, neither Plaintiff's Counsel nor any other attorneys acting for, or purporting to act for the Aggrieved Employees, or Plaintiff may recover, or seek to recover, any amounts for fees, costs, or disbursements from the Released Parties or the PAGA Settlement Sum except as expressly provided herein. Defendant shall bear its own attorney fees and costs, and neither Plaintiff's Counsel, the Aggrieved Employees, or Plaintiff shall be responsible for Defendant's attorney fees and costs. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Agreement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6; if any party moves the Court to enforce this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover from the other party all attorney fees and costs incurred to enforce this Agreement.

Section 6.15 Dispute(s).

Should any dispute(s) arise among the Parties or their respective counsel regarding the implementation or interpretation of this Agreement, the Parties shall submit any such dispute(s) to the Court. To the extent any Party seeks to enforce any of the terms of this Agreement in

Court or before the Court, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorney fees and costs.

Section 6.16 No Other Claims or Actions; Defendant's Right to Cancel If Plaintiff's Counsel Files Action Against Released Parties

Plaintiff represents that she has not filed any complaints, claims, or actions against Defendant or the Released Parties with any court or administrative agency other than the Action, and the related notice(s) filed with the LWDA. If any agency or court has or assumes jurisdiction of any complaint, claim, or action against Defendant or the Released Parties on behalf of Plaintiff, Plaintiff will request that agency or court to withdraw from or dismiss the matter. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel agree to fully defend this Agreement and this Settlement in the event that a third party objects to, undermines, attacks, or attempts to otherwise intervene or interfere with the process and approval of this settlement or this Agreement.

Plaintiff and her Counsel further represent and warrant they have no other claims or actions pending or which they intend to make against Defendant or the Released Parties, nor do they represent or currently intend to represent any other parties on behalf of whom such other claims or actions may be made. If Plaintiff's Counsel, on behalf of Plaintiff or any other third party, files any action on behalf of Plaintiff or any other third party against Defendant or any of the Released Parties within 180 days of having signed this Agreement, Defendant shall have the unilateral right to cancel this Agreement and this Settlement.

Section 6.17 Binding.

This Agreement shall bind and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and to their respective successors, assigns, legatees, heirs, and personal representatives.

Section 6.18 Default, Notice and Cure; Time is of the Essence.

If Defendant fails to timely make any payment required herein, it shall be in default. Plaintiff shall give Counsel for Defendant notice of the default by e-mail and Defendant shall have fifteen (15) business days thereafter to cure the default. The Parties agree that time is of the essence with respect to all provisions of this Agreement.


THIS SECTION INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Private Attorneys General Act Settlement Agreement on the date set forth below.

APPROVED AND ACCEPTED.


Dated: 4/24/2023

G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC

DocuSigned by:

By B88AC7F7FA214F5...
Title CFO

Dated: 04/21/23 | 2:16 PDT


TASJA GRAY

DocuSigned by:

1D3F2A1CB1F348E...
Plaintiff Tasja Gray

**APPROVED AS TO FORM AND
ATTORNEY OBLIGATIONS ONLY**

Dated: 04/21/23 | 3:04 PDT

FRONTIER LAW CENTER

DocuSigned by:

82E9DC9ABF854A6...
Manny Starr
Joseph Gross
Theodore Tang

Attorney for Plaintiff Tasja Gray

Dated: April 24, 2023

**ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, RUUD &
ROMO LLP**

By 
Brian D. Martin
Geoffrey D. La Val

Attorney for G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC

EXHIBIT B

ExpenseDate	Staff	Subject	Quantity	Price	Amount
4/14/2023	Mary Mars	One Legal CC sale: 04908211	1	18.27	18.27
4/5/2023	Mary Mars	One Legal CC sale: 04880050	1	17.24	17.24
3/21/2023	Manny Sta	Downloading related case docs	1	12.5	12.5
3/21/2023	Manny Sta	Mailed 2 Records requests	1	14.66	14.66
3/21/2023	Manny Sta	Downloading case docs	1	26	26
3/21/2023	Manny Sta	Mailing - Demand and Paga Letters	1	29.32	29.32
3/21/2023	Manny Sta	PAGA Filing Fee	1	75	75
3/6/2023	Taylor May	mediation lunch cost	1	60	60
2/8/2023	Kirsten Sta	Judicate West - Inv. No. 576749 - Gray v. GM Hire	1	4325	4325
1/14/2023	Taylor May	InfoTrack - CA: File To Existing - #23RSCR00309929 - GRAY vs G&M HIRE ENTERPRISES LLC.: Proof of Service (1 filing)	1	16.3	16.3
11/23/2022	Mary Mars	7918786 UL service	1	58	58
11/23/2022	Mary Mars	UL Service for G&M	1	58	58
10/31/2022	Mary Mars	One Legal CC Sale: 04492364	1	480.06	480.06

EXHIBIT C

FeeDate	Staff	Subject	Hours	Rate	Amount
5/6/2023	Theodore Tang	Starr Decl iso PAGA Settlement edited	0.7	550	385
5/6/2023	Theodore Tang	Mx to approve PAGA With Tables edited	1.9	550	1045
5/5/2023	Theodore Tang	Mx to approve PAGA With Tables edited	1.5	550	825
5/4/2023	Theodore Tang	Mx to approve PAGA With Tables edited	2.2	550	1210
5/3/2023	Theodore Tang	Mx to approve PAGA With Tables edited and reviewed	1.8	550	990
5/3/2023	Theodore Tang	Gray- PAGA Letter reviewed	0.1	550	55
5/3/2023	Theodore Tang	Mediation notes edited	0.1	550	55
5/3/2023	Theodore Tang	GRAY - _PAGA_Settlement_Agreement_FINAL signed PLT reviewed	0.1	550	55
5/1/2023	Joseph Gross	CMC - DATE OF INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (/) (PS2) attended	0.5	425	212.5
4/26/2023	Theodore Tang	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Timeframes for Payment	0.4	550	220
4/26/2023	Theodore Tang	Matter admin	0.1	550	55
4/26/2023	Theodore Tang	GRAY - PAGA Settlement Agreement FINAL reviewed	0.1	550	55
4/26/2023	Theodore Tang	GRAY - CONFIDENTIAL SAR FINAL reviewed	0.2	550	110
4/26/2023	Theodore Tang	Mx to approve PAGA With Tables edited	0.2	550	110
4/26/2023	Theodore Tang	Mediation notes reviewed	0.1	550	55
4/24/2023	Manny Starr	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	650	65
4/24/2023	Theodore Tang	Gray- PAGA Letter reviewed	0.1	550	55
4/24/2023	Theodore Tang	Mx to approve PAGA With Tables edited	1	550	550
4/24/2023	Theodore Tang	3314_001 reviewed	0.1	550	55
4/24/2023	Theodore Tang	Gray-Demand reviewed	0.1	550	55
4/24/2023	Theodore Tang	Final writeup reviewed	0.1	550	55
4/24/2023	Theodore Tang	Complaint for Paga Penalties reviewed	0.1	550	55
4/21/2023	Manny Starr	GRAY - PAGA Settlement Agreement FINAL created	0.1	650	65
4/21/2023	Manny Starr	20230421 Gray v. G&M Hire PAGA Administration Manny - Frontier 161 created	0.1	650	65

4/21/2023	Manny Starr	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	650	65
4/21/2023	Manny Starr	GRAY - CONFIDENTIAL SAR FINAL created	0.1	650	65
4/21/2023	Manny Starr	20230421 Gray v. G&M Hire PAGA Administration Manny - Frontier 161 created	0.1	650	65
4/21/2023	Mary Mars	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	225	22.5
4/21/2023	Collette Navasarte	Services provided on 4/21/2023	0.2	225	45
4/21/2023	Manny Starr	Final review and finalization of SAR	1	650	650
4/20/2023	Manny Starr	GRAY CONFIDENTIAL MEDIATION BRIEF 2.28 reviewed	0.1	650	65
4/20/2023	Manny Starr	Settlement Details reviewed	0.1	650	65
4/20/2023	Manny Starr	Gray- PAGA Letter reviewed	0.1	650	65
4/20/2023	Manny Starr	Review and revise settlement agreement	2	650	1300
4/13/2023	Manny Starr	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	650	65
4/13/2023	Mary Mars	CMS Submitted for filing attended	0.5	225	112.5
4/13/2023	Mary Mars	Complaint for Paga Penalties reviewed	0.1	225	22.5
4/13/2023	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	225	22.5
4/13/2023	Mary Mars	Memo edited - 4/3 Case Review Notes	0.1	225	22.5
4/13/2023	Mary Mars	Notice of Case Management Conference Complaint for Other Employment (Over \$25,000) reviewed	0.1	225	22.5
4/13/2023	Joseph Gross	Gray- CMS Draft 4.13.23 created	0.1	425	42.5
4/13/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Gray CMS	0.1	425	42.5
4/13/2023	Joseph Gross	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.3	425	127.5

4/11/2023	Joseph Gross	Settlement Details reviewed	0.3	425	127.5
4/11/2023	John Fraser	Services provided on 4/11/2023	0.1	225	22.5
4/10/2023	Joseph Gross	Phone Call To: Tasja Gray	0.1	425	42.5
4/10/2023	Joseph Gross	Memo edited - 4/3 Case Review Notes	0.1	425	42.5
4/10/2023	Joseph Gross	Mediation notes reviewed	0.1	425	42.5
4/10/2023	John Fraser	Services provided on 4/10/2023	0.1	225	22.5
4/8/2023	Joseph Gross	Phone Call To: Tasja Gray	0.1	425	42.5
4/7/2023	Mary Mars	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	225	22.5
4/7/2023	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	225	22.5
4/3/2023	Manny Starr	Settlement Details reviewed	0.1	650	65
4/3/2023	Mary Mars	Gray- Notice of Settlement 4.3.23 signed v2 created	0.1	225	22.5
4/3/2023	Mary Mars	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.- Service of Notice of Settlement	0.1	225	22.5
4/3/2023	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	225	22.5
3/30/2023	Theodore Tang	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.2	550	110
3/27/2023	Manny Starr	Matter admin	0.1	650	65
3/22/2023	Joseph Gross	2 internal messages sent/reviewed	0.2	425	85
3/22/2023	Mary Mars	Email with Kirsten and OC	0.1	225	22.5
3/21/2023	Manny Starr	Settlement Details created, then reviewed	0.2	650	130
3/21/2023	Manny Starr	17 internal messages sent/reviewed	0.1	650	65
3/21/2023	Theodore Tang	7 internal messages sent/reviewed	0.1	550	55
3/7/2023	Joseph Gross	Mediation notes created, then reviewed	0.8	375	300
3/6/2023	Manny Starr	Phone Call To: Tasja Gray	0.1	550	55
3/6/2023	Manny Starr	Phone Call To: Tasja Gray	0.1	550	55
3/6/2023	Manny Starr	Phone Call To: Tasja Gray	0.1	550	55
3/6/2023	Manny Starr	3315_001 reviewed	0.2	550	110
3/6/2023	Manny Starr	Gray-Demand reviewed	0.1	550	55

3/6/2023	Manny Starr	GRAY CONFIDENTIAL MEDIATION BRIEF 2.28 reviewed	0.4	550	220
3/6/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	550	55
3/6/2023	Manny Starr	Full intake 10-20-2021 Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. reviewed	0.1	550	55
3/6/2023	Manny Starr	Final writeup reviewed	0.1	550	55
3/6/2023	Joseph Gross	MEDIATION attended	8	375	3000
3/6/2023	Joseph Gross	Phone Call To: Tasja Gray	0.1	375	37.5
3/6/2023	Joseph Gross	1 message sent/reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
3/6/2023	Joseph Gross	Gray-Demand reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
3/3/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Gray v. GM Hire - Mediation Brief	0.1	150	15
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	Plaintiff Mediation Brief - v2 MS 2.28.23 created, then edited and reviewed	2.6	550	1430
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	Memo created - Prior mediation w/ same firm and mediator	0.1	550	55
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	Matter admin	0.1	550	55
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	Full intake 10-20-2021 Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. reviewed	0.1	550	55
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	Wage statement 10-22-2021 Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. reviewed	0.1	550	55
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	3315_001 reviewed	0.2	550	110
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	Memo edited - Case Review Meeting	0.1	550	55
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	3314_001 reviewed	0.1	550	55
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.4	550	220
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	GRAY_MEDIATION 000001 - GRAY_MEDIATION 000630 reviewed	0.2	550	110
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	Gray- PAGA Letter reviewed	0.1	550	55

2/28/2023	Manny Starr	ServicesAgreement_S295687 reviewed	0.1	550	55
2/28/2023	Manny Starr	data points email reviewed	0.2	550	110
2/28/2023	Taylor May	3315_001 reviewed	0.1	150	15
2/28/2023	Taylor May	GRAY CONFIDENTIAL MEDIATION BRIEF 2.28 created	0.1	150	15
2/28/2023	Taylor May	Matter admin	0.1	150	15
2/28/2023	Taylor May	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15
2/28/2023	Taylor May	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Gray v. G&M Hire Med. Brief	0.1	150	15
2/28/2023	Taylor May	Plaintiff Mediation Brief - v2 MS 2.28.23 reviewed	0.1	150	15
2/27/2023	Joseph Gross	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.6	375	225
2/27/2023	Joseph Gross	GRAY_MEDIATION 000001 - GRAY_MEDIATION 000630 reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
2/27/2023	Joseph Gross	Gray PAGA Complaint v1 JG 10.25.2022 reviewed	0.2	375	75
2/27/2023	Joseph Gross	3315_001 reviewed	0.2	375	75
2/27/2023	Joseph Gross	Plaintiff Mediation Brief - DRAFT FEHA ONLY created	7.5	375	2812.5
2/27/2023	Joseph Gross	Memo edited - Case Review Meeting	0.1	375	37.5
2/24/2023	Manny Starr	3315_001 reviewed	0.1	550	55
2/24/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Trail Mate Test Run	0.1	550	55
2/24/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.2	550	110
2/24/2023	Joseph Gross	3315_001 reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
2/24/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Trail Mate Test Run	0.1	375	37.5

2/24/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	375	37.5
2/23/2023	Joseph Gross	Reviewed and Analyzed Law for Mediation brief	7.5	375	2812.5
2/23/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	1	375	375
2/22/2023	Joseph Gross	Reviewed File for Mediation Brief	4.5	375	1687.5
2/22/2023	Manny Starr	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.3	550	165
2/22/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	550	55
2/22/2023	Manny Starr	GRAY_MEDIATION 000001 - GRAY_MEDIATION 000630 reviewed	0.1	550	55
2/22/2023	Taylor May	3315_001 reviewed	0.1	150	15
2/22/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	150	15
2/22/2023	Taylor May	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15

2/22/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	375	37.5
2/22/2023	Joseph Gross	GRAY_MEDIATION 000001 - GRAY_MEDIATION 000630 reviewed	0.4	375	150
2/22/2023	Joseph Gross	3315_001 reviewed	0.6	375	225
2/22/2023	Joseph Gross	Gray-Demand reviewed	0.2	375	75
2/22/2023	Joseph Gross	3314_001 reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
2/22/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	375	37.5
2/21/2023	Manny Starr	Discussion Re: Mediation and Mediation Brief (Due: 2/27) attended	0.5	550	275
2/21/2023	Taylor May	Discussion Re: Mediation and Mediation Brief (Due: 2/27) attended	0.5	150	75
2/21/2023	Taylor May	GRAY_MEDIATION 000001 - GRAY_MEDIATION 000630 reviewed	0.1	150	15
2/21/2023	Joseph Gross	Discussion Re: Mediation and Mediation Brief (Due: 2/27) attended	0.5	375	187.5
2/20/2023	Manny Starr	GRAY MEDIATION DISCUSSION attended	0.5	550	275
2/20/2023	Taylor May	GRAY MEDIATION DISCUSSION attended	0.5	150	75
2/20/2023	Joseph Gross	GRAY MEDIATION DISCUSSION attended	0.5	375	187.5

2/20/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.2	375	75
2/17/2023	Taylor May	Matter admin	0.1	150	15
2/16/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15
2/15/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	550	55
2/15/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15
2/15/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15

2/8/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15
2/7/2023	Joseph Gross	1 internal message sent/reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
2/6/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	550	55
2/6/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	550	55
2/6/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	550	55
2/6/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15

2/6/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15
2/6/2023	Taylor May	data points email created	0.1	150	15
2/6/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, LLC, et al. -- Mediation Sampling [CONFIDENTIAL - Subject to MEDIATION PRIVILEGE - Evidence Code 1115, et seq. and 1152] [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	375	37.5
1/27/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	375	37.5
1/20/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15
1/20/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	150	15
1/18/2023	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	550	55
1/18/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	150	15

1/18/2023	Taylor May	Full intake 10-20-2021 Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. reviewed	0.1	150	15
1/18/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	375	37.5
1/18/2023	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	375	37.5
1/14/2023	Taylor May	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	150	15
1/3/2023	Joseph Gross	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.2	375	75
12/22/2022	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	375	37.5
12/9/2022	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	150	15
12/2/2022	Joseph Gross	Conference call with OC re Informal Discovery	1	375	375
12/1/2022	Manny Starr	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	550	55
12/1/2022	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.2	375	75
11/30/2022	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	150	15

11/30/2022	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.1	375	37.5
11/23/2022	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	150	15
11/15/2022	Manny Starr	1 internal message sent/reviewed	0.1	550	55
11/15/2022	Joseph Gross	4 internal messages sent/reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
11/15/2022	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (15257.1) - Notice of Representation [AALRR-Cerritos.015257.00014]	0.4	375	150
11/15/2022	Joseph Gross	Case strategy - Gray v. GM Hire Enterprises reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
11/10/2022	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	150	15
11/10/2022	Mary Mars	Proof of service 11.9.22 created	0.1	150	15
11/4/2022	Mary Mars	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. and Dr. Robb Farms, Inc.	0.1	150	15
11/4/2022	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.3	150	45
11/2/2022	Mary Mars	Credit Card Sale_04492364 created	0.1	150	15
11/2/2022	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Stark v. Safeway	0.1	375	37.5
11/1/2022	Manny Starr	3 internal messages sent/reviewed	0.1	550	55
11/1/2022	Joseph Gross	1 internal message sent/reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
10/31/2022	Mary Mars	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. dba AtWork Personnel Services and DR. ROBB FARMS, INC.- Service	0.1	150	15
10/31/2022	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	150	15
10/31/2022	Mary Mars	Summons Issued and Filed created	0.1	150	15
10/31/2022	Mary Mars	Civil Case Cover Sheet created	0.1	150	15
10/31/2022	Joseph Gross	2 internal messages sent/reviewed	0.1	375	37.5

10/28/2022	Mary Mars	Gray-CCCS v1 edited	0.1	150	15
10/28/2022	Mary Mars	Gray-CCCS v2 created	0.1	150	15
10/28/2022	Mary Mars	Gray- Summons v2 created	0.1	150	15
10/28/2022	Mary Mars	Gray PAGA Complaint v1 JG 10.25.2022 reviewed	0.1	150	15
10/28/2022	Mary Mars	Gray PAGA Complaint v2 JG 10.25.2022 created	0.1	150	15
10/28/2022	Mary Mars	Gray- Certificate of Counsel 10.27.22 v2 created	0.1	150	15
10/28/2022	Mary Mars	Matter admin	0.1	150	15
10/28/2022	Joseph Gross	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Stark v. Safeway	0.2	375	75
10/27/2022	Mary Mars	Gray-CCCS v1 created	0.1	150	15
10/27/2022	Mary Mars	Gray PAGA Complaint v1 JG 10.25.2022 created	0.1	150	15
10/27/2022	Mary Mars	Gray- Summons v1 created	0.1	150	15
10/27/2022	Mary Mars	Gray PAGA Complaint v1 JG 10.25.2022 edited	0.2	150	30
10/25/2022	Manny Starr	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. dba AtWork Personnel Services	0.1	550	55
10/25/2022	Manny Starr	Memo edited - Case Review Meeting	0.1	550	55
10/25/2022	Manny Starr	Case strategy - Gray v. GM Hire Enterprises reviewed	0.1	550	55
10/25/2022	Manny Starr	Email attachment reviewed - Gray PAGA Complaint v1 JG 10.25.2022	0.1	550	55
10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	Gray PAGA Complaint v1 JG 10.25.2022 edited and reviewed	0.2	375	75
10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	Judicate West Agreement created	0.1	375	37.5
10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	Gray- PAGA Letter reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. dba AtWork Personnel Services	0.1	375	37.5
10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Regarding: Tasja Gray vs. G&M Hire Enterprises, et al. (S295687)	0.1	375	37.5
10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	Wage statement 10-22-2021 Tasja Gray v. G&M Hire Enterprises LLC. reviewed	0.1	375	37.5

10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	Memo created, then edited - Case Review Meeting	0.1	375	37.5
10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	Gray-Demand reviewed	0.1	375	37.5
10/25/2022	Joseph Gross	Drafted PAGA Complaint	1.3	375	487.5
10/14/2022	Taylor May	Matter admin	0.1	150	15
Totals			73.8		30852.5