



April 30, 2025

BY ONLINE SUBMISSION

California Labor & Workforce Development Agency
PAGAfilings@dir.ca.gov

**Re: THE SAN FRANCISCO PARTICULAR COUNCIL OF THE SOCIETY OF
ST. VINCENT DE PAUL; THE SOCIETY OF ST. VINCENT DE PAUL;
SOCIETY OF ST. VINCENT DE PAUL, DISTRICT COUNCIL OF SAN
FRANSISCO**

Dear Representative:

We have been retained to represent Troy Savoy against The San Francisco Particular Council of the Society of St. Vincent de Paul, The Society of St. Vincent de Paul, and Society of St. Vincent de Paul, District Council of San Francisco (including any and all affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, directors, officers, agents, and executive employees) (collectively referred to as "SSVDP") for violations of California wage-and-hour laws. Mr. Savoy seeks penalties for violations of the California Labor Code, which are recoverable under California Labor Code section 2698, et seq., the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") and all other remedies available under PAGA.

Mr. Savoy seeks these remedies on behalf of the State of California and "aggrieved employees," as defined herein. This letter is sent in compliance with the reporting requirements of California Labor Code section 2699.3.

SSVDP employed Mr. Savoy as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee from approximately March 2024 to approximately October 2024, in the State of California.

The "aggrieved employees" that Mr. Savoy may seek penalties on behalf of are all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for any of the above-referenced entities within the State of California.

Based on the following facts and theories, SSVDP has violated and/or continues to violate, among other provisions of the California Labor Code and applicable wage law, California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 551, 552, 558, 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800 and 2802, and IWC Wage Orders including *inter alia*, Wage Orders 4-2001, and 5-2001.

SSVDP required Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees to perform work before their scheduled shifts, after their scheduled shifts, during off-the-clock meal breaks, and/or during rest breaks and failed to compensate Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees for this time. Such

work included, but was not limited to, responding to work related communications, answering phone calls and text messages, going through security checks, completing COVID-19 testing procedures, and completing other regular tasks/duties.

California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 require employers to pay time-and-a-half or double time overtime wages, and make it unlawful to work employees for hours longer than eight hours in one day and/or over forty hours in one week without paying the premium overtime rates at one-and-one-half times or double the regular rate of pay, including additional remuneration. During the relevant time period, Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees worked in excess of 8 hours in a day and 40 hours in a week. Therefore, Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive certain wages for overtime compensation, but they were not paid for all overtime hours worked.

California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 require employers to pay an employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate for each meal or rest period that is not provided. During the relevant time period, SSVDP required Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees to work during meal and rest periods and failed to compensate them properly for non-compliant meal and rest periods including, *inter alia*, short, late, interrupted, and missed meal and rest periods.

California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting. During the relevant time period, SSVDP failed to pay Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code sections 201 and 202, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code section 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month. California Labor Code section 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, SSVDP failed to pay Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code section 204, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code section 226 requires employers to make, keep and provide complete and accurate itemized wage statements to their employees. During the relevant time period, SSVDP did not provide Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees with complete and accurate itemized wage statements. The wage statements they received from SSVDP were in violation of California

Labor Code section 226(a). The violations include, but are not limited to, the failure to include the total hours worked by Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees, including time spent working off-the-clock and during meal and rest periods as discussed above.

California Labor Code sections 551 and 552 require that every person employed in any occupation of labor is entitled to one day's rest in a seven-day workweek, that no employer of labor shall cause his employees to work more than six days in a workweek, and that an employer shall pay a civil penalty in the amounts of fifty dollars (\$50) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation and one hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation. During the relevant time period, Mr. Savoy and the aggrieved employees were required to regularly and/or consistently work in excess of six (6) days in a workweek. During the relevant time period, Mr. Savoy and the aggrieved employees were required to work in excess of thirty (30) hours in a week and/or six (6) hours in any one (1) day thereof, during workweeks in which they were required to work in excess of six (6) days. During the relevant time period, Mr. Savoy and the aggrieved employees were required to work in excess of six (6) days in a workweek without accumulating or being provided the opportunity to take at least one (1) day of rest, and when Mr. Savoy and the aggrieved employees accumulated days of rest, they were not actually provided the opportunity to take the equivalent of one (1) day's rest in seven (7) during each calendar month.

California Labor Code sections 1174(d) requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, SSVDP failed to keep accurate and complete payroll records showing the actual hours worked daily and the wages earned by Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 provide the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage than the minimum so fixed is unlawful. During the relevant time period, SSVDP did not provide Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees with the minimum wages to which they were entitled for work performed "off-the-clock."

California Labor Code section 1198 provides that "The employment of any employee ... under conditions of labor prohibited by the [Industrial Wage Orders] is unlawful." The applicable Industrial Wage Orders, including *inter alia*, Wage Orders 4-2001, and 5-2001, require that for each workday an employee is required to report for work and does report, but is not put to work or is furnished less than half said employee's usual or scheduled day's work, the employee shall be paid for half the usual or scheduled day's work, but in no event for less than two (2) hours nor more than four (4) hours, at the employee's regular rate of pay. Further, if an employee is required to report for work a second time in any one workday and is furnished less than two (2) hours of work on the second reporting, said employee shall be paid for two (2) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay. During the relevant time period, SSVDP failed to pay Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees half the usual or scheduled day's work in an amount no less than two (2) hours nor more than four (4) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay for workdays in which

Mr. Savoy and the other aggrieved employees reported to work and were furnished less than half the usual or scheduled day's work. During the relevant time period, SSVDP failed to pay Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees for two (2) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay on days in which Mr. Savoy and the other aggrieved employees were required to report for work a second time in one workday and were furnished less than two (2) hours of work upon the second reporting.

California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 require an employer to reimburse its employee for all necessary expenditures incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her job duties or in direct consequence of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer. During the relevant time period, Mr. Savoy and other aggrieved employees incurred necessary business-related expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by SSVDP. These costs include, but are not limited to, the use of personal phones for business-related purposes, parking fees, and the purchasing and maintenance of clothing and footwear in compliance with the policies and dress codes.

Therefore, on behalf of all aggrieved employees, Mr. Savoy seeks all applicable penalties arising out of the above-referenced wage, hour, and payroll practices, or which could be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, for violation of the California Labor Code pursuant to PAGA, including civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 558.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for your attention to this matter and the noble cause you advance each and every day.

With kind regards,



Arman Marukyan, Esq.

Cc: (By U.S. Certified Mail / Return Receipt Requested)

The San Francisco Particular Council
of the Society of St. Vincent de Paul
c/o Estella M Balauro
Agent for Service of Process
1175 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

Society of St. Vincent de Paul,
District Council of San Francisco
c/o Registered Agent Solutions, Inc.
Agent for Service of Process
720 14th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

The Society of St. Vincent de Paul
c/o Martin Duda
Agent for Service of Process
230 Turnberry Rd.
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

**LAWYERS FOR
JUSTICE[®]**
450 N. BRAND BLVD., SUITE 900 / GLENDALE, CA 91203



9589 0710 5270 0409 9575 99
9589 0710 5270 0409 9575 99



PITNEY BOWES
\$9.92
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
025W00002312048
2000152203
ZIP 91203
APR 30 2025

U.S. CE		PAGA Notice #1	
Domestic		Troy Savoy v. The Society of St. Vincent de Paul, et al.	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com ®.			
OFFICIAL USE			
Certified Mail Fee			
\$			
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)			
☐	Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$	
☐	Return Receipt (electronic)	\$	
☐	Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$	
☐	Adult Signature Required	\$	
☐	Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$	
Postmark Here			
The San Francisco Particular Council of the Society of St. Vincent de Paul c/o Estella M Balauro Agent for Service of Process 1175 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94103			
PS Form 3800, January 2023 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions			

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

The San Francisco Particular Council
of the Society of St. Vincent de Paul
c/o Estella M Balauro
Agent for Service of Process
1175 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94103



9590 9402 8701 3310 7900 70

2. Article Number (Transfer from carrier label)

9589 0710 5270 0409 9575 99

(over 5000)

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature ☒ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type

☐ Adult Signature ☐ Priority Mail Express®

☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail™

☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery

☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation™

☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery


☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

Certified Mail

- A receipt (this portion)
- A unique identifier
- Electronic verification
- A record of delivery
- Signature that is required for a specified period
- Important Reminders
- You may purchase First-Class Mail® or Priority Mail® service
- Certified Mail service
- International mail
- Insurance coverage with Certified Mail
- Insurance coverage of Certified Mail service
- For an additional fee, endorsement on the following service
- Return receipt service of delivery (includes delivery request)
- You can request electronic version of complete PS Form 3800, January

LAWYERS FOR JUSTICE
450 N. BRAND BLVD., SUITE 900 / GLENDALE, CA 91203



9589 0710 5270 0409 9575 68
9589 0710 5270 0409 9575 68



PITNEY BOWES
\$9.920
US POSTAGE
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002312159
2000152203
ZIP 91203
APR 30 2025

U.S. POSTAGE CERTIFIED MAIL Domestic		PAGA Notice #2 Troy Savoy v. The Society of St. Vincent de Paul, et al.	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com			
OFFICIAL USE			
Certified Mail Fee \$		Postmark Here	
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)			
☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$			
☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$			
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$			
☐ Adult Signature Required \$			
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$			
The Society of St. Vincent de Paul c/o Martin Duda Agent for Service of Process 230 Turnberry Rd. Half Moon Bay, CA 94019			
PS Form 3800, January 2023 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions			

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Addressee's name and address:

The Society of St. Vincent de Paul
c/o Martin Duda
Agent for Service of Process
230 Turnberry Rd.
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019



9590 9402 8701 3310 7900 63

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

9589 0710 5270 0409 9575 68 all Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature ☐ Agent ☒ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type


☐ Adult Signature ☐ Priority Mail Express®
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail™
☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation™
☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt


Certified Mail

- A receipt (this portion) that is retained by the addressee.
- Electronic verification of delivery.
- A record of delivery signature that is retained by the addressee for a specified period.
- Important Reminder: You may purchase Certified Mail, First-Class Mail®, Registered Mail, or Priority Mail® service with insurance coverage for Certified Mail, Registered Mail, or Priority Mail.
- Insurance coverage of Certified Mail service with Certified Mail insurance coverage.
- For an additional fee, endorsement on the return receipt service.
- Return receipt service of delivery (includes electronic version). You can request a complete PS Form 3800, January 2020, attach to the receipt.

**LAWYERS FOR
JUSTICE**
450 N. BRAND BLVD., SUITE 900 / GLENDALE, CA 91203



9589 0710 5270 0409 9575 75
9589 0710 5270 0409 9575 75




PITNEY BOWES
\$9.92
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS[®]
028W0002311248
2001452203
ZIP 91203
APR 30 2025

U.S. MAIL	
PAGA Notice #3	
Troy Savoy v. The Society of St. Vincent de Paul, et al.	
Domestic	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com	
OFFICIAL USE	
Certified Mail Fee	
\$	
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)	
☐	Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$
☐	Return Receipt (electronic) \$
☐	Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$
☐	Adult Signature Required \$
☐	Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$
Postmark Here	
Society of St. Vincent de Paul, District Council of San Francisco c/o Registered Agent Solutions, Inc. Agent for Service of Process 720 14th Street Sacramento, CA 95814	
PS Form 3800, January 2023 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions	

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

- | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|
| A. Signature | | ☒ Agent |
| | | ☐ Addressee |
| B. Received by (<i>Printed Name</i>) | C. Date of Delivery | |
| D. Is delivery address different from item 1?
If YES, enter delivery address below: | | |
| ☐ Yes
☐ No | | |

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No



2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

75
all Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

Certified Mail

- A unique identifier for your mail
- Electronic verification of delivery
- A record of delivery (in signature) that is retained for a specified period.
- Important Reminders
 - You may purchase Certified Mail service, First-Class Mail[®], First-Class Mail[®] International[®], or Certified Mail service International[®].
 - Insurance coverage is provided with Certified Mail sent by air.
 - Insurance coverage for certain Priority Mail[®] and First-Class Mail[®] is provided at no additional charge.
- Return receipt service available upon request. You can request a receipt for a complete version of the PS Form 3800, January 2002.