

LAW OFFICES OF
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. OLYMPIC BLVD., SUITE 200
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90211
TELEPHONE: (310) 432-0000
FACSIMILE: (310) 432-0001
WWW.LELAWFIRM.COM

April 23, 2025

**NOTICE OF LABOR CODE VIOLATIONS PURSUANT TO
LABOR CODE SECTION 2699.3**

To: California Labor and Workforce Development Agency
Attn. PAGA Administrator
1515 Clay Street, Suite 801
Oakland, California 94612
Via Online Submission Only

<u>ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC</u> Registered Agent for Process Service c/o C T Corporation System 330 North Brand Blvd, Ste. 700 Glendale, CA 91203 <u>Certified No.: 9589 0710 5270 1859 0109 26</u>	<u>ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC</u> Attn.: Legal Department 14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 477 Sugar Land, TX 77478 <u>Certified No.: 9589 0710 5270 1859 0111 21</u>
<u>ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC</u> Attn.: Legal Department 14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 425 Sugar Land, TX 77478 <u>Certified No.: 9589 0710 5270 1859 0110 84</u>	<u>ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC</u> Attn.: Legal Department 135 N Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90012 <u>Certified No.: 9589 0710 5270 1859 0112 06</u>

From: MARIA E RODRIGUEZ, on behalf of herself and all other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees of ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC.

c/o Joseph Lavi, Esq.
Vincent C. Granberry, Esq.
Jeffrey D. Klein, Esq.
Gregory K. Okada, Esq.
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. Olympic Boulevard, Suite 200
Beverly Hills, California 90211
Email: jlavi@lelawfirm.com
vgranberry@lelawfirm.com
jklein@lelawfirm.com
gokada@lelawfirm.com

Our firm has been retained by aggrieved employee MARIA E RODRIGUEZ concerning a representative action pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.* ("PAGA") against her employers, ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC.

We are filing this written notice with the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") pursuant to the provisions of the California Labor Code Sections 2699, 2699.3, and 2698, *et seq.* The purpose of this letter is to inquire whether LWDA intends to investigate and seek civil penalties associated with my client's allegations of violations of the Labor Code by her Employer. In addition to submitting this letter and the \$75.00 filing fee via the online PAGA filing system, I am causing a copy of this letter to be mailed to the Department of Industrial Relations – Accounting Unit.

FACTUAL STATEMENT AND THEORIES OF LABOR CODE VIOLATIONS

Aggrieved employee MARIA E RODRIGUEZ ("Plaintiff" or "Employee") was employed by ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC ("Defendants" or "Employers") as an hourly non-exempt employee from in or around May 1, 2024, until on or about currently. Plaintiff believes Defendant(s) employed more than one hundred (100) employees in total during the period covered by this notice, and Labor Code section 2999.3(c)(2) does not apply.

1. Failure to Pay the Minimum Wage Rate of Pay for All Hours Worked in Violation of Labor Code Sections 1194 and 1197

Employee alleges that during her employment, Employer failed to pay her and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees with compensation at the minimum wage rate of pay for all the hours that they worked in violation of Labor Code sections 1194 and 1197. Labor Code section 1197 provides, "The minimum wage for employees fixed by the commission is the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a less wage than the minimum so fixed is unlawful." Labor Code section 1194 states, "...any employee receiving less than the legal minimum wage or the legal overtime compensation applicable to the employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the unpaid balance of the full amount of this minimum wage or overtime compensation, including interest thereon, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs of suit."

Employee alleges that during her employment, Employer failed to pay her and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees all wages at the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked due to Employer's policies, practices, and/or procedures including, but not limited to:

- Requiring Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees to use personal cellphone to use facial recognition technology to confirm Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees' identity, prior to clocking in for the start of their shift.

- Requiring Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees to remain on-duty during their off-the-clock meal breaks because Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees were required to keep their employer-issued radio on them at all times during their shift to monitor and respond to calls from Employers, including during their off-the-clock meal breaks.

Employee and other Aggrieved Employees personally suffered these violations. Employee is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Employer's conduct above that gave rise to such violations was malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees were not paid for this time resulting in Employer's failure to pay minimum wage for all the hours Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees worked.

Employer's aforementioned policies, practices, and/or procedures resulted in time each day in which Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees were subject to the control of Employer without being compensated for that time.

Based on these violations, Employee intends to seek civil penalties pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), California Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.*, and Labor Code section 1197.1, subdivisions (a)(1)-(2), on behalf of the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") against Employer if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California. As a derivative claim of Employer's failure to pay wages at a minimum wage rate for all hours worked, Employee will also seek civil penalties for Defendant's violations of Labor Code section 226(a) under Labor Code sections 226.3 and/or 2699(f)(2) because the failure to pay such minimum wages for all hours worked rendered Employee's and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees' pay calculations inaccurate and resulted in their wage statements being inaccurate with respect to gross wages earned, total hours worked, net wages earned and all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of Labor Code sections 226(a)(1, 2, 5 & 9).

2. *Failure to Pay Overtime Wages for Overtime Hours Work and/or Failure to Pay Overtime wages at the Proper Overtime Rate of Pay in Violation of Labor Code Section 510 and 1194*

Employee alleges that during her employment, Employer failed to pay her and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees for the overtime hours that they worked at their overtime rate of pay.

Labor Code section 510, subdivision (a), states in relevant part:

“Eight hours of labor constitutes a day’s work. Any work in excess of eight hours in one workday and any work in excess of 40 hours in any one workweek and the first eight hours worked on the seventh day of work in any one workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for an employee. Any work in excess of 12 hours in one day shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay for an employee. In addition, any work in excess of eight hours on any seventh day of a workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of pay of an employee.”

Further, Labor Code section 1198 provides,

The maximum hours of work and the standard conditions of labor fixed by the commission shall be the maximum hours of work and the standard conditions of labor for employees. The employment of any employee for longer hours than those fixed by the order or under conditions of labor prohibited by the order is unlawful.

Labor Code section 1194 provides, “...any employee receiving less than the legal minimum wage or the legal overtime compensation applicable to the employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the unpaid balance of the full amount of this minimum wage or overtime compensation, including interest thereon, reasonable attorney’s fees, and costs of suit.”

Employee alleges that during her employment, Employer failed to pay her and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees overtime wages for overtime hours worked due to Employer’s policies, practices, and/or procedures including, but not limited to:

- Requiring Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees to use personal cellphone to use facial recognition technology to confirm Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees’ identity, prior to clocking in for the start of their shift.
- Requiring Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees to remain on-duty during their off-the-clock meal breaks because Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees were required to keep their employer-issued radio on them at all times during their shift to monitor and respond to calls from Employers, including during their off-the-clock meal breaks.

Employee and other Aggrieved Employees personally suffered these violations. Employee is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Employer’s conduct above that gave rise to such violations was malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees were not paid for this time resulting in Employers' failure to pay minimum wage for all the hours Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees worked.

Employer's aforementioned policies, practices, and/or procedures resulted in time each day in which Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees were subject to the control of Employer without being compensated for that time. To the extent that the foregoing unpaid time resulted from Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees being subject to the control of Employer when they worked more than eight (8) hours in a workday, more than forty (40) hours in a workweek, and/or seven days in a workweek, Employer failed to pay them at their overtime rate of pay for all the overtime hours they worked.

Employer's foregoing policies, practices, and/or procedures resulted in Employer failing to pay Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees at their overtime rate of pay for all overtime hours worked, in violation of Labor Code sections 510, 1194, 1198, and the Wage Order.

Furthermore, overtime is based upon an employee's regular rate of pay. "The regular rate at which an employee is employed shall be deemed to include all remuneration for employment paid to, or on behalf, of the employee." See Division of Labor Standards Enforcement – Enforcement Policies and Interpretations Manual, Section 49.1.2.

In this case, Employee alleges that when she and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees earned overtime wages, Employers failed to pay them overtime wages at the proper overtime rate of pay due to Employers' failure to include all remuneration when calculating the overtime rate of pay. Specifically, Employers maintained a policy, practice, and/or procedure of failing to include all remuneration such as shift differentials pay for example, when calculating Employee's and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees' regular rate of pay for the purpose of paying overtime.

Based on these violations, Employee intends to seek civil penalties pursuant to PAGA, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.*, under Labor Code sections 2699(f)(2) and 558, subdivisions (a)(1)-(2) on behalf of LWDA against Employer if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California. As a derivative claim of Employer's failure to pay overtime wages at the lawful overtime rate for all overtime hours worked, Employee will also seek civil penalties for Defendant's violations of Labor Code section 226(a) under Labor Code sections 226.3 and/or 2699(f)(2) because the failure to pay such overtime wages for all overtime hours worked rendered Employee's and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees' pay calculations inaccurate and resulted in their wage statements being inaccurate with respect to gross wages earned, total hours worked, net wages earned and all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of Labor Code sections 226(a)(1, 2, 5 & 9).

3. *Failure to Authorize or Permit Meal Periods and/or Failure to Pay Meal Period Premiums in Violation of Labor Code Sections 512 and 226.7*

Employee alleges that during her employment, Employer failed to authorize or permit all legally required and compliant meal periods and/or pay meal period premiums. California law requires an employer to provide an employee an uninterrupted meal period of no less than thirty (30) minutes in which the employee is relieved of all duties and the employer relinquishes control over the employee's activities prior to the employee's sixth hour of work. Labor Code §§226.7, 512; Wage Order 5, §11; *Brinker Rest. Corp. v. Super Ct. (Hohnbaum)* (2012) 53 Cal.4th 1004. An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the employee with a second such meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes prior to the start of the eleventh hour of work. *Id.* If the employer requires the employee to remain at the work site or facility during the meal period, the meal period must be paid. This is true even where the employee is relieved of all work duties during the meal period. *Bono Enterprises, Inc. v. Bradshaw* (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 968. If an employer fails to provide an employee a meal period in accordance with the law, the employer must pay the employee one (1) hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a legally required and compliant meal period was not provided. Labor Code §226.7; Wage Order 5, §11.

Employee alleges that Employer failed to authorize or permit her and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees to take all legally required and compliant meal periods due to Employer's policies, practices, and/or procedures including, but not limited to, the following:

- Requiring Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees to travel to a designated area away from their workstations during their meal periods. Employers did not elongate or otherwise extend Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees meal period times to account for travel time between their workstations and the designated break area resulting in meal periods less than 30 minutes.
- Requiring Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees to remain on-duty during their off-the-clock meal breaks because Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees were required to keep their employer-issued radio on them at all times during their shift to monitor and respond to calls from Employers, including during their off-the-clock meal breaks.

Employee and other Aggrieved Employees personally suffered these violations. Employee is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Employer's conduct above that gave rise to such violations was malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

Additionally, Employee alleges that Employer maintained a policy, practice, and/or procedure whereby they failed to pay Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees a meal period premium wage of one (1) additional hour of pay at their regular rate of compensation for each workday that they did not receive all legally required and compliant meal periods, in violation of Labor Code section 226.7 and Wage Order 5 at § 11.

Finally, on occasions when Employers paid Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees a “premium” wage for late, missed, short, on-premise, on-duty, and/or interrupted meal periods, Employers failed to pay the one (1) additional hour of pay at Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees regular rate of compensation. Specifically, Employers maintained a policy, practice, and/or procedure of failing to include all remuneration such as shift differentials pay for example, when calculating Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees regular rate of pay for the purpose of paying meal period premium wages.

Based on these violations, Employee intends to seek civil penalties pursuant to PAGA, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.*, under Labor Code sections 558 and/or Labor Code section 2699(f)(2) on behalf of LWDA against Employer if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California. As a derivative claim of Employer’s failure to authorize or permit all legally required and compliant meal periods and/or failure to pay meal period premium wages, Employee will also seek civil penalties for Defendant’s violations of Labor Code section 226(a) under Labor Code sections 226.3 and/or 2699(f)(2) because the failure to pay meal period premiums and/or pay meal period premiums at the proper regular rate of pay rendered Employee’s and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees’ pay calculations inaccurate and resulted in their wage statements being inaccurate with respect to gross and net wages earned, in violation of Labor Code sections 226(a)(1 & 5).

4. *Failure to Authorize or Permit Rest Periods and/or Failure to Pay Rest Period Premiums in Violation of Labor Code Section 226.7*

Employee alleges that during her employment, Employer failed to authorize or permit all legally required and compliant rest periods and/or failed to pay rest period premiums. California law requires that “[e]very employer shall authorize and permit all employees to take rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle of each work period. The authorized rest period time shall be based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes net rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof...” Wage Order 5, § 12. “Employees are entitled to 10 minutes’ rest for shifts from three and one-half to six hours in length, 20 minutes for shifts of more than six hours up to 10 hours, 30 minutes for shifts of more than 10 hours up to 14 hours, and so on.” *Brinker Restaurant Corp. v. Sup. Ct. (Hohnbaum)* (2012) 53 Cal.4th 1004, 1029; Labor Code §226.7. Additionally, the rest period requirement “obligates Employer to permit – and authorizes employees to take – off-duty rest periods.” *Augustus v. ABM Security Services, Inc.* (2016) 5 Cal.5th 257, 269. That is, during rest periods an employer must relieve employees of all duties and relinquish control over how employees spend their time. *Id.* If the employer fails to authorize or

permit a required rest period, the employer must pay the employee one (1) hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of pay for each workday the employer did not authorize or permit a legally required rest period. Labor Code section 226.7; Wage Order 5 at § 12.

Employee alleges that Employer failed to authorize or permit her and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees to take all legally required and compliant rest periods due to Employer's policies, practices, and/or procedures including, but not limited to, the following:

- Failing to provide Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees with net ten (10) minute rest breaks for every four (4) hours worked or major fraction thereof, instead Employers combined Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees rest breaks into one thirty (30) minute rest break after 4:00 PM.

Employee and other Aggrieved Employees personally suffered these violations. Employee is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Employer's conduct above that gave rise to such violations was malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

Additionally, Employee alleges that Employer maintained a policy, practice, and/or procedure whereby they failed to pay Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees a rest period premium wage of one (1) additional hour of pay at their regular rate of compensation for each workday that they did not receive all legally required and compliant rest periods, in violation of Labor Code section 226.7 and Wage Order 4 at § 12.

Finally, on occasions when Employers did pay Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees a "premium" wage for late, missed, short, on-premise, on-duty, and/or interrupted rest periods, Employers failed to pay the one (1) additional hour of pay at Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees regular rate of compensation. Specifically, Employers maintained a policy, practice, and/or procedure of failing to include all remuneration such shift differential pay for example, when calculating Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees regular rate of pay for the purpose of paying rest period premiums.

Based on these violations, Employee intends to seek civil penalties pursuant to PAGA, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.*, under Labor Code section 2699(f)(2), on behalf of LWDA against Employer if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California. As a derivative claim of Employer's failure to authorize or permit all legally required and compliant rest periods and/or failure to pay rest period premium wages, Employee will also seek civil penalties for Defendant's violations of Labor Code section 226(a) under Labor Code sections 226.3 and/or 2699(f)(2) because the failure to pay rest period premiums and/or pay rest period premiums at the proper regular rate of pay rendered Employee's and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees' pay calculations inaccurate and

resulted in their wage statements being inaccurate with respect to gross and net wages earned, in violation of Labor Code sections 226(a)(1 & 5).

5. *Failure to Indemnify Employees for Employment-Related Expenditures in Violation of Labor Code Section 2802*

Employees allege that during their employment, Employers failed to indemnify them and other current and former California-based employees for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by them in the discharge of their duties. Labor Code section 2802(a) states, “An employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer...”

Employees allege that during their employment, Employers failed to indemnify them and other current and former California-based employees for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by them in the discharge of their duties due to Employers’ policies, practices, and/or procedures including, but not limited to, the following:

- Requiring Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based employees to use or purchase their own tools and/or resources in order to work for Employers, including but not limited to personal cellphone usage for work-related purposes - without reimbursing Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based employees for such use.

Employee and other Aggrieved Employees personally suffered these violations. Employee is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Employer’s conduct above that gave rise to such violations was malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

Employers’ aforementioned policies, practices, and/or procedures resulted in Employees and other current and former aggrieved California-based employees not receiving indemnification for employment-related expenditures, in violation of Labor Code 2802.

Based on this violation, Employees will seek both restitution for themselves and other current and former aggrieved California-based employees for Employers’ violations of Labor Code section 2802 and will seek civil penalties under PAGA, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.*, on behalf of the LWDA against Employers if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California.

6. *Failure to Timely Pay Earned Wages During Employment in Violation of Labor Code Section 204*

Employee alleges that during her employment, Employer failed to timely pay Employee’s and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees’ earned wages. In California, wages must be paid at least twice during each calendar month on days designated in advance by the employer as regular paydays, subject to some exceptions. Labor Code §204(a). Wages earned between the 1st and 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month must be

paid between the 16th and the 26th day of that month and wages earned between the 16th and the last day, inclusive of any calendar month must be paid between the 1st and 10th day of the following month. *Id.* Other payroll periods such as those that are weekly, biweekly, or semimonthly, must be paid within seven (7) calendar days following the close of the payroll period in which wages were earned. Labor Code §204(d).

As a derivative of Employee's claims above, Employee alleges that Employer failed to timely pay her and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees' earned wages (including minimum wages, overtime wages, meal period premium wages, and/or rest period premium wages), in violation of Labor Code section 204. Employer's aforementioned policies, practices, and/or procedures resulted in Employer failing to pay Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees their earned wages within the applicable time frames outlined in Labor Code 204. Plaintiff also believes these failures were malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

Based on these violations, Employee intends to seek civil penalties pursuant to PAGA, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.*, on behalf of LWDA against Employer if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California.

7. *Secret Payment of Wages Lower Than Designated by Statute, in Violation of Labor Code Section 223*

Labor Code section 223 provides that, where any statute or contract requires an employer to maintain the designated wage scale, it shall be unlawful to secretly pay a lower wage while purporting to pay the wage designated by statute or contract. By engaging in the unlawful conduct alleged herein, including by failing to pay wages no less than the applicable legal minimum and overtime wages, and also meal and rest break premiums, as established by Labor Code sections 226.7, 510, 1194, 1197 and sections 11 and 12 of the applicable IWC Wage Orders, as incorporated by Labor Code section 1198, the Employer secretly paid a lower wage than designated by statute while purporting to pay the wages designated by statute, in violation of Labor Code section 223. The Employee will therefore pursue the civil penalties established by Labor Code section 225.5 for all such violations of Labor Code section 223, on behalf of the LWDA and against Employer if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California. Plaintiff also believes these failures were malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

8. *Failure to Provide Complete and Accurate Wage Statements in Violation of Labor Code 226*

Labor Code section 226(a) states that "[a]n employer, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, shall furnish to his or her employee...an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee...(3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for

which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer...and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee....”

Employee alleges that as a derivative of Employee’s claims above, Employer failed to provide accurate wage and hour statements to Employee and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees who were subject to Employer’s control for uncompensated time and who did not receive all their earned wages (including minimum wages, overtime wages, meal period premium wages, and/or rest period premium wages), as such failures rendered Employee’s and other current and former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees’ pay calculations inaccurate and resulted in their wage statements being inaccurate with respect to gross wages earned, total hours worked, net wages earned and all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of Labor Code sections 226(a)(1, 2, 5 & 9). Plaintiff believes these failures were willful and intentional. Plaintiff also believes these failures were malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

Based on these violations, Employee will seek civil penalties pursuant to PAGA, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.*, under Labor Code section 226.3 and/or Labor Code section 2699(f)(2) on behalf of LWDA, against Employer if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California.

9. *Failure to Timely Pay all Earned Wages and Final Wages due at Time of Separation of Employment in Violation of Labor Code Sections 201, 202, 203*

Labor Code section 201(a) states that “[i]f an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.” Labor Code section 202(a) continues, “[i]f an employee not having a written contract for a definite period quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than 72 hours thereafter....” Finally, Labor Code section 203(a) provides, “[i]f an employer willfully fails to pay...any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days....”

As a result of the aforementioned violations of the Labor Code, Employee alleges that she was not paid her final wages in a timely manner as required by Labor Code section 203. Earned wages (including minimum wages, overtime wages, meal period premium wages, and/or rest period premium wages) (all described above), were not paid at the time of Employee’s separation of employment. Employee is informed and believes and thereon alleges that former aggrieved California-based hourly non-exempt employees who separated from Employer, whether voluntarily or involuntarily, were not paid all wages due in a timely manner, as required by Labor Code sections 201, 202, and 203. Plaintiff believes these failures were willful and intentional.

Labor & Workforce Development Agency
Re: *MARIA E RODRIGUEZ v. ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC*
April 23, 2025
Page 12 of 12

Plaintiff also believes these failures were malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive. Employer would further be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B)(ii).

Based on these violations, Employee will seek civil penalties pursuant to PAGA, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.*, under Labor Code section 2699(f)(2) on behalf of LWDA, against Employer if authorized to file a representative action on behalf of the State of California.

CONCLUSION

Our client intends to file a representative action pursuant to PAGA concerning her wage claims as warranted by Employer's violations of Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 223, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197, 2802, and sections 3, 4, 11 and 12 of the applicable IWC Wage Orders. This letter is being sent to you as an inquiry into whether LWDA wishes to investigate my client's claims for civil penalties associated with Employer's violations of the Labor Code, as alleged herein. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at the above-listed information.

Very truly yours,
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gregory K. Okada', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Gregory K. Okada, Esq.

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
 Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$ _____

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$ _____
☐ Return Receipt (electronic)	\$ _____
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$ _____
☐ Adult Signature Required	\$ _____
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$ _____

Postage \$ _____

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
 Registered Agent for Process Service
 c/o C T Corporation System
 330 North Brand Blvd, Ste. 700
 Glendale, CA 91203

Postmark
Here

for Instructions

9589 0710 5270 1859 0109 26

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY														
■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC Registered Agent for Process Service c/o C T Corporation System 330 North Brand Blvd, Ste. 700 Glendale, CA 91203 9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 81 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	A. Signature X ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No 3. Service Type <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>☐ Adult Signature</td> <td>☐ Priority Mail Express®</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery</td> <td>☐ Registered Mail™</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ Certified Mail®</td> <td>☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery</td> <td>☒ Signature Confirmation™</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ Collect on Delivery</td> <td>☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ Insured Mail</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)	☐ Adult Signature	☐ Priority Mail Express®	☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	☐ Registered Mail™	☒ Certified Mail®	☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery	☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	☒ Signature Confirmation™	☐ Collect on Delivery	☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery	☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery		☐ Insured Mail	
☐ Adult Signature	☐ Priority Mail Express®														
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	☐ Registered Mail™														
☒ Certified Mail®	☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery														
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	☒ Signature Confirmation™														
☐ Collect on Delivery	☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery														
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery															
☐ Insured Mail															

9589 0710 5270 1859 0109 26

USPS TRACKING #



9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 81



First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

United States
Postal Service

• Send **LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP** this box*
8889 W. Olympic Boulevard
Suite 200
Beverly Hills, CA 90211
*34N615K1601VE: PABA LETTER
RODRIGUEZ V. ABM INDUSTRY (PABA)*

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
Registered Agent for Process Service
c/o C T Corporation System
330 North Brand Blvd, Ste. 700
Glendale, CA 91203

9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 81

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

9589 0710 5270 1859 0109 26

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail


- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

ured Mail Restricted Delivery
or \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

LAW OFFICES OF
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. OLYMPIC BLVD., SUITE 200
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90211

CERTIFIED MAIL®



9589 0710 5270 1859 0109 26

FIRST-CLASS

US POSTAGE



ZIP 90211
02 7H
0006127620

\$013.560

APR 23 2025

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
c/o C T Corporation System, Registered Agent
330 North Brand Blvd, Ste. 700
Glendale, CA 91203

9589 0710 5270 1859 0111 21

U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT <i>Domestic Mail Only</i>	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com ®.	
OFFICIAL USE	
Certified Mail Fee \$ _____	Postmark Here
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)	
☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$ _____	
☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$ _____	
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$ _____	
☐ Adult Signature Required \$ _____	
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$ _____	
Postage \$ _____	
ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC Attn.: Legal Department 14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 477 Sugar Land, TX 77478	
for Instructions	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.	A. Signature X ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee
1. Article Addressed to: ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC Attn.: Legal Department 14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 477 Sugar Land, TX 77478 9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 74	B. Received by (Printed Name) _____ C. Date of Delivery _____ D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 9589 0710 5270 1859 0111 21	3. Service Type ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☒ Certified Mail® ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Priority Mail Express® ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery ☒ Signature Confirmation™ ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

USPS TRACKING #



9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 74



First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

United States
Postal Service

• S

LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP

• In this box •

8889 W. Olympic Boulevard

Suite 200

Beverly Hills, CA 90211

JL/VB/JK/GO/VE: PAGA LETTER
RODRIGUEZ V. ABM INDUSTRY (PAGA)

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
Attn.: Legal Department
14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 477
Sugar Land, TX 77478

9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 74

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

9589 0710 5270 1859 0111 21

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

- ☐ Agent
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
☒ Certified Mail®
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
☐ Insured Mail
☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)
☐ Priority Mail Express®
☐ Registered Mail™
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
☒ Signature Confirmation™
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

LAW OFFICES OF
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. OLYMPIC BLVD., SUITE 200
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90211

CERTIFIED MAIL®



9589 0710 5270 1859 0111 21

FIRST-CLASS

US POSTAGE PAID PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 90211 \$ 013.56⁰
02 7H
0006127620 APR 23 2025

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
Attn.: Legal Department
14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 477
Sugar Land, TX 77478

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$ _____	Postmark Here
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)	
☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$ _____	
☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$ _____	
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$ _____	
☐ Adult Signature Required \$ _____	
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$ _____	
Postage \$ _____	

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
 Attn.: Legal Department
 14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 425
 Sugar Land, TX 77478

for Instructions

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY		
■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC Attn.: Legal Department 14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 425 Sugar Land, TX 77478 9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 67 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 9589 0710 5270 1859 0110 84	A. Signature X ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No 3. Service Type <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td> ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☒ Certified Mail® ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Insured Mail ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) </td> <td> ☐ Priority Mail Express® ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery ☒ Signature Confirmation™ ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery </td> </tr> </table>	☐ Adult Signature ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☒ Certified Mail® ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Insured Mail ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)	☐ Priority Mail Express® ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery ☒ Signature Confirmation™ ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
☐ Adult Signature ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☒ Certified Mail® ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Insured Mail ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)	☐ Priority Mail Express® ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery ☒ Signature Confirmation™ ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery		

Domestic Return Receipt

USPS TRACKING #



9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 67



First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

United States
Postal Service

• S **LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP** in this box •
8889 W. Olympic Boulevard
Suite 200
Beverly Hills, CA 90211
JLNG/SL/60IVE: PAU4LETTER
RODRIGUEZ V. ABM INDUSTRY (PAGA)

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
Attn.: Legal Department
14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 425
Sugar Land, TX 77478

9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 67

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

9589 0710 5270 1859 0110 84

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail


- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Red Mail Restricted Delivery
r \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

LAW OFFICES OF
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. OLYMPIC BLVD., SUITE 200
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90211

CERTIFIED MAIL®



9589 0710 5270 1859 0110 84

FIRST-CLASS

US POSTAGE PAID PITNEY BOWES

ZIP 90211
02 7H
0006127620 APR 23 2025

\$ 013.560

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
Attn.: Legal Department
14141 Southwest Freeway, Suite 425
Sugar Land, TX 77478

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$ _____

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$ _____

☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$ _____

☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$ _____

☐ Adult Signature Required \$ _____

☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$ _____

Postage \$ _____

Postmark
Here

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC

Attn.: Legal Department

135 N Grand Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90012

for Instructions

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC Attn.: Legal Department 135 N Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90012 9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 50 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	A. Signature ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee X B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No
3. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 9589 0710 5270 1859 0112 06	3. Service Type ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☒ Certified Mail® ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail ☐ Priority Mail Express® ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery ☒ Signature Confirmation™ ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

USPS TRACKING#



9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 50



First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

United States
Postal Service

• Sender

LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP

is box•

8889 W. Olympic Boulevard

Suite 200

Beverly Hills, CA 90211

JHUG15K1601VE: PABA LETTER
RODRIGUEZ V. ABM INDUSTRY (PABA)

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
Attn.: Legal Department
135 N Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90012

9590 9402 9243 4295 6364 50

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

9589 0710 5270 1859 0112 06

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

☐ Agent

☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Registered Mail

☐ Priority Mail Express®

☐ Registered Mail™

☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery


☒ Signature Confirmation™

☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery (\$500)

LAW OFFICES OF
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. OLYMPIC BLVD., SUITE 200
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90211

CERTIFIED MAIL®




FIRST-CLASS

9589 0710 5270 1859 0112 06

US POSTAGE SM PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 90211 \$ 013.560
02 7H
0006127620 APR 23 2025



ABM INDUSTRY GROUPS, LLC
Attn.: Legal Department
135 N Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90012