

BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.
Mehrddad Bokhour, CA Bar No. 285256
mehrdad@bokhourlaw.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 520
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (310) 975-1493; Fax: (310) 675-0861

FALAKASSA LAW, P.C.
Joshua S. Falakassa, CA Bar No. 295045
josh@falakassalaw.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 520
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (818) 456-6168; Fax: (888) 505-0868

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF YOLO

STEVEN VAZQUEZ, on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffss,

v.

G & B FULFILLMENT, LLC., a Delaware
Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-50,
inclusive.

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV2025-1035

**DECLARATION OF JOSHUA
FALAKASSA IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

HEARING INFORMATION

Date: March 26, 2026

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: 11

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2

3
4
5
6

7
8
9

10
11
12
13
14

15
16
17
18
19
20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 7. I was selected as a “Super Lawyer Rising Star” in Employment Litigation during the
2 years 2017 through 2025, a distinction that is reserved for the top 2.5 % of lawyers in the practice
3 area. I have also been recognized by Topverdicts.com for achieving Top 1, Top 20 and Top 50 wage
4 and hour class and/or PAGA action settlements in California in 2021-2024.

5 8. My firm has the experience, resources, and means necessary to allow us to provide
6 adequate representation to all Settlement Class Members in this Action.

7 9. Currently, my firm is acting as counsel in at least thirty wage-and-hour class actions

8 10. Through a contested but productive mediation, Plaintiffs and Defendants Grant &
9 Bowman, Inc. and G&B Fulfillment, LLC (“Defendants”) (collectively, the “Parties”) entered into a
10 Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement resolving the wage and hour claims of the Settlement
11 Class (“Settlement Agreement” and/or “Settlement”), and Class Notice which is attached as **Exhibit**
12 **“A”** to the accompanying Declaration of Mehrdad Bokhour. The Settlement Agreement seeks to fully
13 release and discharge Defendants from the claims brought against it in this matter in exchange for
14 Defendants’ agreement to pay \$375,000 on a non-reversionary, non-claim made basis (each
15 Settlement Class Member will receive his/her share of the Net Settlement Amount without having to
16 submit a claim form or taking action, except for those who opt-out).

17 11. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Defendants will not oppose Class
18 Counsel’s application for an award of attorneys’ fees not to exceed \$125,000, representing one third
19 of the Gross Settlement Amount, reimbursement of Class Counsel’s litigation expenses not to exceed
20 \$25,000, Settlement Administration Expenses not to exceed \$8,000, PAGA penalties in the total
21 amount of \$20,000, of which \$15,000 or 75 percent will be paid to the California Labor and
22 Workforce Development Agency and \$5,000 or 25 percent will be paid to the PAGA Members, and
23 Class Representative Service Payments not to exceed \$10,000 to each Plaintiff.

24 12. Based on Defendants’ records, Class Members collectively worked approximately
25 8,123 Workweeks during the Class Period. After deductions approved by the Court, the Net
26 Settlement Amount will be distributed on a pro rata basis according to each Participating Class
27 Member’s number of Workweeks. Based on these figures, the average Individual Class Payment is
28 conservatively estimated to be approximately \$250 to \$350. A Class Member who worked the entire

1 Class Period would receive the highest Individual Class Payment, estimated at approximately \$4,000
2 to \$6,000. Class Members who worked fewer Workweeks will receive proportionally smaller
3 payments.

4 13. The Settlement is non-reversionary, and Class Members will not be required to submit
5 claim forms to receive payment, but each Class Member will be mailed the Notice containing detailed
6 information about his/her share, the opportunity to dispute the number of Compensable Workweeks
7 worked by the Class Member, and the opportunity to opt out or object to the Settlement. All Class
8 Members who do not affirmatively opt out will receive a settlement check upon the Court granting
9 final approval of the Settlement.

10 14. Because the Settlement is fair and reasonable and was negotiated at arms length by
11 experienced counsel and a mediator after an appropriate exchange of information, Plaintiffs
12 respectfully request that the Court enter an order preliminarily approving the Settlement,
13 conditionally certifying the Settlement Class under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 for
14 settlement purposes, approving the proposed Class Notice, appointing Class Counsel, appointing
15 Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as Settlement Administrator, and setting a hearing
16 for final approval.

17 15. As further detailed in the accompanying Declaration of Mehrdad Bokhour, given the
18 realistic value of Plaintiffs' claims, the \$375,000 Settlement Amount is an excellent result for the
19 Class, considering that the Settlement Amount totals approximately 69% of Plaintiffs' risk-adjusted
20 value of the case. Preliminary approval is appropriate since the Settlement will provide significant
21 monetary relief to the Class.

22 16. The Settlement was the product of extensive arm's length negotiations between
23 counsel and was facilitated by an experienced wage-and-hour class action mediator. Though cordial
24 and professional, the Settlement negotiations were adversarial and non-collusive in nature. The
25 Settlement reached is the product of substantial effort by the Parties and their counsel. Although
26 Plaintiffs and their counsel believe that there was a possibility of certifying the claims, they
27 recognized the potential risk, expense, and complexity posed by litigation, such as unfavorable
28 decisions on class certification, summary judgment, at trial and/or on the damages awarded, and/or

1 on an appeal that can take several more years to litigate. In addition, if Defendants prevailed on any
2 of their defenses, the employees may not have received any substantial monetary recovery.

3 17. The Settlement will result in a fair payment to each Settlement Class Member based
4 on the number of Weeks Worked during the Class Period. As set forth hereinabove, Plaintiffs and
5 their counsel have diligently investigated the claims and defenses on behalf of the Settlement Class
6 Members and worked to achieve a resolution of the claims to maximize the recovery by avoiding
7 further expenditure of Attorneys' Fees and Costs. After evaluating the significant risks attendant with
8 further litigation described above and weighing them against the benefits of a known compromise
9 and settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, settlement on the terms agreed to is believed
10 to be in the best interest of Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class, and is a fair and reasonable resolution
11 of the claims alleged.

12 18. Plaintiffs' counsel brought to bear a great deal of collective experience in negotiating
13 the settlement of this case. As detailed herein, Plaintiffs's counsel engaged in necessary informal
14 discovery, investigation, sampling, and expert evaluation, making it possible to exercise informed
15 judgment throughout the settlement process, including significant document review (e.g., documents
16 pertaining to Defendants's policies and procedures, personnel documents, wage statements, time
17 records, among others) and conducted an extensive analysis and extrapolation of the sampling of
18 class-wide data provided by Defendants.

19 19. Based on our experience in other cases, including wage and hour matters, I believe my
20 office, along with The Bokhour Law Group, P.C, are qualified to evaluate the class claims and
21 viability of defenses in this class action. In this case, the recovery for each Settlement Class Member
22 is reasonable, fair, and adequate, and a settlement at this time is in the best interest of the Settlement
23 Class. The Settlement provides each Settlement Class Member with compensation for the alleged
24 violations when viewed in relation to the costs and risks inherent in obtaining class certification and
25 proceeding to trial.

26 20. Plaintiffs' counsel, as well as Defendants' counsel, fully endorse the terms and
27 conditions of the Settlement as set forth in the above-mentioned Settlement Agreement.

28 21. Of course, the Settlement Amount represents a compromise figure, taking into account

1 the various risks surrounding class certification and the merits of Defendants' asserted defenses.
2 Nevertheless, the Settlement provides for fair and adequate payments to the Settlement Class
3 Members, with no reversion to Defendants.

4 22. When this case was taken on a contingency fee basis, with our firm agreeing to assume
5 responsibility for all litigation costs, the ultimate result was far from certain. In the course of this
6 litigation, we paid all costs, including the filing fees, mediation costs, and expert costs. There was
7 never a guarantee that our firms would recoup these expenditures. In fact, had Plaintiffs lost, he could
8 have been ordered to pay Defendants' costs

9 23. It is our experience that attorneys' fee awards of one-third of a common fund
10 settlement are the standard in California. Plaintiffs' counsel agreed to seek one-third of the Settlement
11 Amount for Attorneys' Fees in this case. Defendants do not oppose this fee request. The fee is further
12 warranted because it is within the range of reasonableness typically awarded in class actions. Our
13 firm's Costs will not exceed \$25,000 and will be based on the actual amount incurred at the time of
14 final approval.

15 24. In compliance with California Rules of Professional Conduct section 1.5.1, Plaintiffs
16 signed a fee split agreement that provides fees will be allocated between Class Counsel as follows:
17 fifty percent (50%) to the Bokhour Law Group, P.C., and fifty percent (50%) to the Falakassa Law,
18 P.C.

19 25. For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the Court grant preliminary
20 approval of the Settlement as being fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class,
21 and to preliminarily approve the notice plan and set a final approval hearing. Plaintiffs will seek final
22 approval of attorneys' fees, costs, and service awards at the final approval stage.

23 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and
24 correct on this 4th day of March 2026, in Los Angeles, California.

25
26 By: 
Joshua Falakassa
27
28