

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court

DEC 22 2023

By: A. Wagoner

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

JACQUELINE CORONADO, individually,
and on behalf of other members of the general
public similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

v.

FASHIONPHILE GROUP, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company; and DOES 1
through 100, inclusive;

Defendants.

Case No.: 37-2022-00022669-CU-OE-NC

Assigned for All Purposes to:
Honorable Blaine K. Bowman
Department N-31

CLASS ACTION

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER OF FINAL
APPROVAL AND JUDGMENT**

Hearing Date: December 22, 2023
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.
Hearing Place: Department N-31

Complaint Filed: June 8, 2022
FAC Filed: April 12, 2023
Trial Date: None Set

1 The Court, having read the papers filed regarding Plaintiffs Jacqueline Coronado and
2 Eliza Sweet's ("Plaintiffs," "Plaintiff Coronado," and "Plaintiff Sweet") Motion for Final
3 Approval of Class Action Settlement, and considering the papers submitted in support of the
4 motion, including the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement,"
5 "Settlement," or "Agreement"), hereby **FINDS AND ORDERS**:

6 Plaintiffs and Defendant Fashionphile Group, LLC ("Defendant") entered the Settlement
7 Agreement on or about May 10, 2023 to settle this lawsuit.

8 The Court entered an order dated July 21, 2023 preliminarily approving the settlement of
9 this lawsuit ("Preliminary Approval Order"), consistent with the Code of Civil Procedure section
10 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, ordering notice to be sent to Class Members, providing
11 Class Members with an opportunity to object to the Settlement or exclude themselves from the
12 Class, and scheduling a Final Approval Hearing.

13 The Court held a Final Approval Hearing on December 22, 2023 to determine whether to
14 give final approval to the Settlement of this lawsuit.

15 1. Incorporation of Other Documents. This Order of Final Approval and Judgment
16 ("Order and Judgment") incorporates the Settlement Agreement. Unless otherwise provided
17 herein, all capitalized terms in this Order and Judgment shall have the same meaning as set forth
18 in the Settlement Agreement.

19 2. Jurisdiction. Because adequate notice has been disseminated and the Class has
20 been given the opportunity to request exclusion, the Court has personal jurisdiction with respect
21 to the claims of all Class Members. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this lawsuit,
22 including jurisdiction to approve the Settlement and grants final certification of the Class.

23 3. Final Class Certification. The Court finds the Class satisfies all applicable
24 requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and due
25 process. The Court certifies the Class consisting of all non-exempt, hourly employees who were
26 employed by Defendant in California at any time during the period from December 11, 2017
27 through June 2, 2023 ("Class," "Class Members," and "Class Period"). There are five hundred
28

thirty-seven (537) Class Members who did not submit valid and timely Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement ("Participating Class Members").

4. Adequacy of Representation. Class Counsel fully and adequately represented the Class for purposes of entering and implementing the Settlement and satisfied the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 382.

5. Class Notice. The Court finds the Court Approved Notice of Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Court Approval ("Class Notice") and its distribution to Class Members were implemented pursuant to the Settlement and this Court's Preliminary Approval Order. The Court also finds the Class Notice:

- a. constitutes notice reasonably calculated to apprise Class Members of: (i) pendency of this lawsuit; (ii) material terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement and their rights; (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement Agreement; (iv) their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Agreement; (v) their right to receive settlement payments; (vi) their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; and (vii) binding effect of the orders and judgment in this lawsuit on all Participating Class Members;
- b. constitutes notice that fully satisfied the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and due process;
- c. constitutes the best practicable notice to Class Members under the circumstances of this lawsuit; and
- d. constitutes notice reasonable, adequate, and sufficient to Class Members.

6. Final Settlement Approval. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement have been entered into good faith and are the product of arm's-length negotiations by experienced counsel who have done a meaningful investigation of the claims. The Settlement Agreement and all its terms and provisions are fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Parties. The Parties are hereby directed to implement the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions.

1 7. Binding Effect. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement and this
2 Order and Judgment are binding on Plaintiffs, Participating Class Members, Aggrieved
3 Employees, and their spouses, heirs, registered domestic partners, executors, administrators,
4 successors, and assigns. In addition, those terms shall have res judicata and other preclusive
5 effect in all pending and future claims, lawsuits, or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf
6 of any such persons to the extent those claims, lawsuits, or other proceedings involve matters
7 that were or could have been raised in this lawsuit and are encompassed by the Released Class
8 Claims and Released Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") Claims. The Settlement
9 Agreement will have no binding effect upon, and provide no res judicata preclusion to, those
10 Class Members who have submitted timely requests for exclusion.

11 8. Enforcement of Settlement. Nothing in this Order and Judgment shall preclude
12 any action to enforce the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

13 9. Release by Participating Class Members Who Are Not Aggrieved Employees.
14 Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement Amount and funds
15 all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portions of the Individual Class Payments, all
16 Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their former and present
17 representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release the
18 Released Parties from the Released Class Claims.

19 a. Release by Non-Participating Class Members Who Are Aggrieved
20 Employees. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire
21 Gross Settlement Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes owed on
22 the Wage Portions of the Individual Class Payments, all Non-
23 Participating Class Members, who are Aggrieved Employees, are deemed
24 to release, on behalf of themselves and their former and present
25 representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and
26 assigns, the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims.

27 ///

28 ///

1 b. Plaintiffs' Release. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the
2 entire Gross Settlement Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes
3 owed on the Wage Portions of the Individual Class Payments, Plaintiffs
4 and their former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys,
5 heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release and
6 discharge Released Parties from Plaintiffs' Release. Furthermore,
7 Plaintiffs expressly waive and relinquish the provisions, rights, and
8 benefits, if any, of section 1542 of the Civil Code.

9 c. Released Parties. The Released Parties include Defendant and any and all
10 parent and/or subsidiary corporations, divisions, and other affiliated or
11 related entities of Defendant, past and present, and past or present
12 employees, officers, directors, agents, attorneys, representatives,
13 consultants, insurers, successors, and assigns of each.

14 10. Class Representative Service Payments. The Court finds the Class Representative
15 Service Payments of \$10,000, to be paid by Defendant to each Plaintiff (totaling \$20,000), out of
16 the Gross Settlement Amount, to be reasonable and appropriate. The Class Representative
17 Service Payments are to be paid pursuant to the terms and provisions set forth in the Agreement.

18 a. The rationale for making enhancement payments is class representatives
19 should be compensated for the expense and risk they incurred in
20 conferring a benefit on the Class. Criteria courts consider include: (1) risk
21 to the class representatives in commencing suit; (2) notoriety and personal
22 difficulties; (3) amount of time and effort spent by the class
23 representatives; (4) duration of the litigation; and (5) personal benefit (or
24 lack thereof) enjoyed by class representatives.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

1 b. The Court reviewed Plaintiffs' declarations outlining their involvement.
2 Given the risks inherent in the services as the class representatives,
3 duration of the case and time involved, and benefits created for the Class,
4 the Court approves the payment of the Class Representative Service
5 Payments of \$10,000 to each Plaintiff.

6 11. Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment.

7 The Court finds the Class Counsel Fees Payment of \$346,500, to be paid by Defendant to Class
8 Counsel out of the Gross Settlement Amount, to be reasonable and appropriate. In addition, the
9 Court finds the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment as reimbursement for actual
10 litigation costs incurred of \$18,055.16, to be paid by Defendant to Class Counsel out of the
11 Gross Settlement Amount, to be reasonable and appropriate. Such fees and costs are to be paid
12 pursuant to the terms and provisions set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Defendant shall not
13 be required to pay for any other attorneys' fees and expenses, costs, or disbursements incurred by
14 Class Counsel or any other counsel representing Plaintiffs or Class Members. Defendant shall
15 also not be required to pay for any other attorneys' fees and expenses, costs, or disbursements
16 incurred by Plaintiffs or Class Members in connection with or related in any manner to this
17 lawsuit, Settlement Agreement, settlement administration, Released Class Claims, and Released
18 PAGA Claims.

19 a. The Court has an independent right and responsibility to review the Class
20 Counsel Fees Payment and only award so much as it determines
21 reasonable. (See *Garabedian v. Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Co.*
22 (2004) 118 Cal.App.4th 123, 127-28.) The Class Counsel Fees Payment of
23 \$346,500 is thirty-five percent (35%) of the common fund created for the
24 benefit of the Class and is supported by use of the percentage-fee method.
25 (See *Laffitte v. Robert Half International, Inc.* (2016) 1 Cal.5th 480, 504.)
26 Considering the results achieved, financial risk undertaken, difficult nature
27 of this litigation, skills required, percentage fees award in previous and
28 other cases, and contingent fees charged in the marketplace, the Court

1 finds the Class Counsel Fees Payment is consistent with the marketplace,
2 is reasonable, and is approved.

- 3 b. The Court reviewed the declaration of Douglas Han regarding the costs
4 expended in prosecuting this case. Under the terms of the Settlement,
5 Class Counsel may seek reimbursement of up to \$25,000 in litigation
6 costs. The Court finds Class Counsel expended \$18,055.16 in litigation
7 costs, and such costs were reasonable. The Court approves the payment of
8 the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment of \$18,055.16 from the
9 common fund for the reimbursement of Class Counsel's litigation costs.

10 12. Administration Expenses Payment. The Court finds Administration Expenses
11 Payment of \$11,000, to be paid by Defendant to the Administrator out of the Gross Settlement
12 Amount, to be reasonable and appropriate. The Administration Expenses Payment are to be paid
13 pursuant to terms and provisions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 14 a. The Court reviewed the declaration of Lluvia Islas from Phoenix Class
15 Action Administration Solutions, the Administrator. The Court finds
16 notice was provided to the Class pursuant to the Preliminary Approval
17 Order, constitutes the best practicable notice to the Class, and satisfied due
18 process. Thus, the Court approves the payment of the Administration
19 Expenses Payment of \$11,000 for the Administrator's services in
20 administering the Settlement.

21 13. PAGA Penalties. The Court finds the PAGA Penalties of \$100,000, seventy-five
22 percent (75%) of which (\$75,000) will be paid to the LWDA out of the Gross Settlement
23 Amount and twenty-five percent (25%) of which (\$25,000) will be distributed to Aggrieved
24 Employees, on a pro rata basis, to be reasonable and appropriate. The PAGA Penalties is to be
25 paid pursuant to the terms and provisions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 14. Funding the Settlement. Defendant shall fund the Gross Settlement Amount by
2 transmitting the funds to the Administrator no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the
3 Effective Date. Within fourteen (14) calendar days after the full funding of the Gross Settlement
4 Amount, the Administrator will mail settlement checks to the appropriate persons and entities.

5 15. Fairness of the Settlement. As noted in the Preliminary Approval Order, the
6 Settlement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. In the moving papers, Plaintiffs contend the
7 Settlement was the product of arm's-length negotiations following extensive litigation,
8 discovery, and exchange of documentation. The negotiations were facilitated with the aid of Lisa
9 Klerman, an experienced and well-respected mediator.

10 a. The fairness of the Settlement is demonstrated by there being no
11 objections to and no requests for exclusion from the Settlement.

12 b. The fairness of the Settlement is further illustrated by the gross *average*
13 Individual Class Payment being approximately \$967.31, and the gross
14 *highest* Individual Class Payment being about \$4,739.51.

15 16. Uncashed Checks. Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees must
16 cash or deposit their settlement checks within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after the
17 checks are mailed by the Administrator to them. Uncashed settlement checks will be canceled
18 and transmitted to the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund.

19 17. Modification of Settlement Agreement. The Participating Class Members are
20 hereby authorized to agree to and adopt amendments to or modifications of the Settlement
21 Agreement by an express written instrument signed by all Parties or their representatives and
22 approved by the Court. Such amendments or modifications shall be consistent with this Order
23 and Judgment and cannot limit the rights of Participating Class Members under the Settlement.

24 18. Final Accounting and Compliance. The Court sets a compliance hearing for
25 August 30, 2024 in Department N-31. ^{10:30am} Within five (5) court days before this hearing, Plaintiffs
26 shall file a compliance status report. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 384, the
27 compliance status report shall specify the total amount paid to Participating Class Members and
28

1 the residual of the unclaimed settlement funds that will be paid to the entity identified as the
2 recipient of such funds in the Settlement Agreement.

3 19. Retention of Jurisdiction. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and
4 Judgment. This Court expressly retains jurisdiction for the administration, interpretation,
5 effectuation, and/or enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and of this Order and Judgment,
6 and for any other necessary purpose, including, without limitation:

- 7 a. enforcing the terms and provisions of the Settlement and resolving any
8 disputes, claims, or causes of action in this lawsuit that, in whole or in
9 part, are related to or arise out of the Settlement or this Order and
10 Judgment;
- 11 b. entering such additional orders as may be necessary or appropriate to
12 protect or effectuate this Order and Judgment approving the Settlement,
13 and permanently enjoining Plaintiffs from initiating or pursuing related
14 proceedings, or to ensure the fair and orderly administration of the
15 Settlement; and
- 16 c. entering any other necessary or appropriate orders to protect and effectuate
17 this Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction.

18 The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Class Counsel Fees Payment,
19 Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and Class Representative Service Payments is
20 GRANTED. The Administrator is directed to carry out the terms of the Agreement forthwith.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

1 THE PARTIES ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO COMPLY WITH THE TERMS OF
2 THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT
3 3.769, THE COURT HEREBY ENTERS FINAL JUDGMENT BASED UPON THE TERMS
4 OF THIS ORDER AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND, WITHOUT AFFECTING THE
5 FINALITY OF THIS MATTER, RETAINS EXCLUSIVE AND CONTINUING
6 JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE THIS ORDER, THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND
7 THE JUDGMENT THEREON.

8
9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11 DATED: 12-22-23


HONORABLE BLAINE K. BOWMAN
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE
1013A(3) CCP

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 751 N. Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 101, Pasadena, CA 91103 and my electronic service address is manderson@justicelawcorp.com

On December 27, 2023, I served the foregoing document described as

ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL AND JUDGMENT

for the following case: **Coronado v. Fashionphile Group, LLC**
LWDA/Court Case No.: **LWDA Case No.: CM- 907807-22**
Court Case No.: 37-2022-00022669-CU-OE-NC
San Diego County Superior Court

on interested parties in this action by electronically submitting as follows:

State of California
Labor & Workforce Development Agency
800 Capitol Mall, MIC-55
Sacramento, California 95814

[X] BY ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION

Pursuant to California Senate Bill No. 836, I caused the documents described above to be electronically submitted by and through the procedure stated on the website of the State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency.

[X] STATE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 27, 2023, at Pasadena, California.



Mariah Anderson