

Electronically Received 07/31/2024 10:47 AM

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

08/02/2024

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: N. DiGiambattista Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

ERIKA GONZALEZ, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

OSI OPTOELECTRONICS, INC; OSI
SYSTEMS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. No. 22STCV29235
Assigned to the Hon. Elaine Lu

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PAGA
SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING
JUDGMENT**

1 The Court has received and considered the Settlement entered into between Plaintiff Erika
2 Gonzalez (“Plaintiff”), as an individual, and on behalf of the people of the State of California and
3 as an “aggrieved employee” acting as a private attorney general under the Labor Code Private
4 Attorneys General Act of 2004, § 2699, *et seq.* (“PAGA”) and Defendant OSI Optoelectronics,
5 Inc. (“Defendant”) (collectively, the “Parties”) in the above-captioned action.

6 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

7 This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the STIPULATION OF
8 SETTLEMENT OF PAGA ACTION AND RELEASE OF PAGA CLAIMS (“Settlement”
9 or “Settlement Agreement”), and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set
10 forth in the Settlement Agreement.

11 The Court hereby approves the Parties’ Settlement and hereby enters FINAL
12 JUDGMENT based on the terms set forth in the Settlement in favor of Plaintiff and the PAGA
13 Settlement Members in the Total Settlement Amount of \$360,000.00. Of this, 33.3% is awarded
14 as attorney fees paid to Plaintiff’s Counsel, \$12,064.80 is awarded as costs paid to Plaintiff’s
15 Counsel, \$10,000 is awarded to Plaintiff for her General Release Payment, and \$3,250.00 is
16 awarded as administrative costs paid to the Settlement Administrator.

17 This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition in its entirety of the above captioned
18 action. Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction
19 of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and
20 enforcement of the Settlement pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 Dated: 08/02/2024

24 
Hon. Rolf M. Treu
Judge of the Superior Court, County of Los Angeles