

1 Alan Harris (SBN 146079)
 2 David Garrett (SBN 160274)
 3 Priya Mohan (SBN 228984)
 4 HARRIS & RUBLE
 5 655 North Central Avenue 17th Floor
 6 Glendale California 91203
 7 Tel: 323.962.3777
 8 Fax: 323.962.3004
 9 harrisa@harrisandruble.com
 10 dgarrett@harrisandruble.com
 11 pmohan@harrisandruble.com

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Peter Yauri*

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Los Angeles

07/15/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. Morales Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PETER YAURI, an individual, individually and
 on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

GE PROJECTS, INC., a California Corporation;
 GABRIEL HILL, an individual; ONE THIRTY
 EIGHT PRODUCTIONS, LLC, a California
 Limited Liability Company; SIMON
 MALIVINDI, an individual, DOE 1 through
 and including DOE 10,

Defendants.

Case No: 21STCV31556
 Related to 22STCV04260

*Assigned to the Hon. Samantha P. Jessner,
 Dept. 7*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL
 APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
 SETTLEMENT, MOTION FOR AWARD OF
 ATTORNEY'S FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF
 COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARD**

Date: July 14, 2026

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept.: 7

Spring Street Courthouse
 312 N. Spring Street
 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Compl. Filed: August 24, 2021

FAC Filed: September 2, 2021

SAC Filed: February 2, 2023

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND
MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY’S FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS AND
INCENTIVE AWARDS**

On July 14, 2026, the Court heard Plaintiff’s unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Motion for Award of Attorney’s Fees, Reimbursement of Costs and Incentive Award in the above-entitled action (the “Action”). The Court has considered all papers filed, other information presented, and based on those papers and any other information presented, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Court grants final approval of the settlement based upon the terms set forth in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (“Settlement” or “Settlement Agreement”) between Plaintiff Peter Yauri (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants GE Projects, Inc., Gabriel Hill, One Thirty Eight Productions, LLC and Simon Malivindi (collectively “Defendants”).

2. Capitalized terms used in this Order are as defined in the Settlement.

3. The Court further finds, for settlement purposes only, that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.760 *et seq.* are satisfied. Therefore, the Court certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Class as defined in the Settlement:

All persons issued wage statements on account of services provided for GE Projects, Inc., in California during the Class Period.
Settlement, at ¶ 1.5.

4. The Court finds that the proposed Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation, given the risks relating to liability and damages and, therefore, meets the requirements for final approval. Based on the record, the Court finds that the Parties engaged in extensive investigation and research allowing them to reasonably evaluate their respective positions and that at this time would avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Class Action. The Settlement was reached as a result of extensive, arms-length negotiations utilizing an experienced third party neutral and after a full day of mediation.

1 5. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Rule 3.769 of the California Rules
2 of Court, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. For
3 settlement purposes only, the Court finds that Plaintiff Peter Yauri is an adequate representative of the Class
4 and appoints him as such. For settlement purposes only, the Court further finds that Alan Harris and Priya
5 Mohan of Harris & Ruble ("Class Counsel") have adequately represented the Class and are appointed as
6 Class Counsel.

7 6. The Court determines that the Parties substantially complied with the distribution of the
8 Class Notice to the Class in the manner and form set forth in the October 3, 2024, Preliminary Approval
9 Order and March 6, 2026 Order granting the Parties' Stipulation to Continue the Hearing on Final Approval,
10 and that the Class Notice provided to the Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and
11 constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to such notice. The Court confirms Simpluris, Inc.
12 ("Simpluris") as the Settlement Administrator. The procedures for paying the settlement administration costs,
13 as set forth in the Settlement are approved. Simpluris is directed to perform all responsibilities of the
14 Settlement Administrator as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

15 7. The Court determines that the procedures required by the Preliminary Approval Order have
16 been carried out and satisfy due process requirements such that all absent Class Members have been
17 given the opportunity to participate fully in the approval process.

18 8. There was one request for exclusion from the Settlement in response to the Class Notice. The
19 name of the single Opt Out is Fred W. Mitchell.

20 9. The participating Class Members, including Plaintiffs, shall be deemed conclusively to have
21 made the following releases set forth in ¶ 5.2 of the Settlement Agreement:

22 All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present
23 representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors and assigns, release Released
24 Parties from (i) all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the
25 Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint including but not limited to, e.g., (a) any
26 and all claims involving any alleged failure to pay (a) final wages; (b) any and all claims
27 involving any alleged failure to pay overtime wages; (c) any and all claims involving any
28 alleged failure to pay minimum wages; (d) any and all claims involving any alleged
failure to provide meal breaks; (e) any and all claims involving any alleged failure to
provide rest breaks; and (f) any and all claims involving any alleged failure to provide
employment records. The released claims include all claims based on the Class Period
facts stated in the Operative Complaint arising under the California Labor Code
(including sections 201, 201.3, 201.5, 201.6, 202, 203, 204, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226(b),
226.7, 226.8, 510, 512, 515, 558, 558.1, 1174, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1198.5,

and 2810.3); the Wage Orders of the California Industrial Welfare Commission; and California Business and Professions Code section 17200 *et seq.* Except as set forth in Section 5.3 of this Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period. With respect to the Releasees One Thirty-Eight Productions, Inc., One Thirty-Eight Productions, LLC, and Simon Malivindi, this Participating Class Member Release will become effective on final approval of the settlement of the related Markham case, Markham v. One Thirty Eight Productions, Inc., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV04260.

Settlement at ¶ 5.2.

10. Plaintiff shall be deemed to release all claims against Defendants and the Released Parties, including all Unknown Claims, as set forth in paragraphs 5.1 and 5.1.1 of the Settlement Agreement:

Plaintiff's Release. Plaintiff and his, respective former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors and assigns generally, release and discharge Released Parties from all claims, transactions or occurrences that occurred during the Class Period, including, but not limited to: (a) all claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged, based on the facts contained, in the Operative Complaint and (b) all PAGA claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged based on facts contained in the Operative Complaint, Plaintiff's September 3, 2020, PAGA Notice. ("Plaintiff's Release.") Plaintiff's Release does not extend to any claims or actions to enforce this Agreement, or to any claims for vested benefits, unemployment benefits, disability benefits, social security benefits, workers' compensation benefits that arose at any time, or based on occurrences outside the Class Period. Plaintiff acknowledges that Plaintiff may discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or law that Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true but agrees, nonetheless, that Plaintiff's Release shall be and remain effective in all respects, notwithstanding such different or additional facts or Plaintiff's discovery of them. With respect to the Releasees One Thirty-Eight Productions, Inc., One Thirty-Eight Productions, LLC, and Simon Malivindi, this Plaintiff's Release will become effective on final approval of the settlement of the related Markham case, Markham v. One Thirty Eight Productions, Inc., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV04260

Plaintiff's Waiver of Rights Under Civil Code Section 1542. For purposes of Plaintiff's Release, Plaintiff expressly waives and relinquishes the provisions, rights, and benefits, if any, of section 1542 of the Civil Code, which reads:

A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, and that if known by him or her would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or Released Party.

With respect to the Releasees One Thirty-Eight Productions, Inc., One Thirty-Eight Productions, LLC, and Simon Malivindi, this Plaintiff's Release will become effective on final approval of the settlement of the related Markham case, Markham v. One Thirty Eight Productions, Inc., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV04260.

Settlement at ¶¶ 5.1, 5.1.1

1 11. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendants, nor is this Order a finding of the
2 validity of any claim or allegation in the Action of any wrongdoing by Defendants. Neither the
3 Settlement, nor any document referenced therein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement, will
4 be (a) construed as or used as an admission, concession or indication by or against Defendants of any
5 fault, wrongdoing or liability, including any concession that certification of a class other than for
6 purposes of settlement would be appropriate in this Action or in any other case or an admission that any
7 of Defendants' defenses in the Action are without merit, or (b) disclosed, referred to, or offered in
8 evidence against Defendants in any proceeding except for purposes of effectuating the Settlement.
9 However, the Settlement may be admitted in evidence and otherwise used in any proceeding to enforce
10 its terms, or in defense of any claims released or barred by the Settlement.

11 12. The Court has reviewed all documentation submitted in support of the request for an
12 Incentive Award for Plaintiff for his efforts in bringing and prosecuting this case, and the final risk
13 undertaken in bringing the action. Plaintiff has provided a general release and waiver under Code of
14 Civil Procedure section 1542. Applying these standards, the Court approves a class representative
15 Incentive Award in the amount of \$5,000 to the Plaintiff, which the Court determines to be fair and
16 reasonable.

17 13. The Court awards \$71,295 in attorney's fees and \$23,625 in actual costs to Class Counsel,
18 which the Court determines to be fair and reasonable.

19 14. The Court hereby approves a payment of \$15,151 to Simpluris for its services as settlement
20 administrator.

21 15. The Court hereby approves a payment of \$15,000 to California's Labor & Workforce
22 Development Agency for the settlement allocated to alleged penalties under the California Labor Code's
23 Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"). Cal. Lab. Code §§ 2699, 2699.3 and 2699.5.

24 16. In sum, the Court awards the following: (1) \$71,295 for attorneys' fees; (2) \$23,625 in
25 attorneys' costs; (3) \$5,000 to Plaintiff as an incentive award; (4) \$15,151 for settlement administration
26 costs; (5) \$15,000 to California's Labor & Workforce Development Agency as the settlement amount
27 allocated to alleged penalties under the California Labor Code's Private Attorneys General Act of 2004
28 ("PAGA"); and (6) \$5,000 to be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees. The gross settlement amount

1 is \$213,885 and the net amount to be distributed to the Class Members is \$78,814.

2 17. The Parties shall bear all their own costs and attorneys' fees, except as otherwise set forth in
3 the Settlement or this Order.

4 18. The Court directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to the terms of the
5 Settlement. For any Class Member whose check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the
6 Administrator shall redistribute the funds represented by such checks among the remaining Class
7 Members. For any subsequent checks that are uncashed and cancelled, the Administrator shall transmit
8 the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in the
9 name of the Class Member.

10 19. A non-appearance date for submission of a final report is set for July 14, 2027, at 9:00 a.m. A
11 supplemental declaration from the Administrator, summarizing all distributions made pursuant to the
12 approved Settlement, must be filed five court days in advance of July 14, 2027.

13
14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16
17 DATED: 07/15/2026



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Samantha P. Jessner".

Samantha Jessner / Judge

The Honorable Samantha P. Jessner
Los Angeles Superior Court Judge

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am an attorney for the Plaintiff herein, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Harris & Ruble, 655 North Central Avenue, 17th Floor, Glendale, California 91203. On July 14, 2026, I served the within documents:

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARD

Hand Delivery: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand in person to:

N/A

Facsimile: I caused such envelope to be delivered by e-mail or fax to:

N/A

Electronic Service: Based on a court order, I cause the above-entitled document(s) to be served through Case Anywhere addressed to all parties appearing on the electronic service list for the above-entitled case and on the interested parties in this case:

LEECH TISHMAN NELSON HARDIMAN
Eric J. Wu (ewu@leechtishman.com)
Damian J. Martinez (dmartinez@leechtishman.com)
1100 Glendon Avenue, 15th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90024

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
Scott Witlin (scott.witlin@btlaw.com)
2029 Century Park East, Ste. 300
Los Angeles, CA 90067

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed on July 14, 2026, at Los Angeles, California.



Priya Mohan