



JUSTICE FOR WORKERS

3600 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1815, LOS ANGELES, CA 90010

TEL: (323) 922-2000

FAX: (323) 922-2000

www.JusticeForWorkers.com

May 15, 2025

VIA PAGA ONLINE FILING ONLY

California Labor and Workforce Development Agency

Attn: PAGA Administrator

455 Golden Gate Avenue, 9th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94102

<https://dir.tfaforms.net/308>

**Re: *Edwin Figueroa v. KIK Pool Additives, Inc.*
Notice of Private Attorneys General Act Claim**

Dear PAGA Administrator:

This office has been retained by aggrieved employee Edwin Figueroa (“Plaintiff”) concerning a representative action pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”) against KIK Pool Additives, Inc. (including any and all affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, directors, officers, managing agents, and executive employees) (collectively referred to as “Defendants”) for violations of California wage and hour laws. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties for violations of the Labor Code and all other remedies available under PAGA.

Plaintiff seeks these remedies on behalf of the State of California and “aggrieved employees,” as defined herein. This letter is sent in compliance with the notice requirements of Labor Code § 2699.3.

Defendants own and operate a pool care chemical production and distribution facility in Ontario, California. Defendants employed Plaintiff as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee via a staffing agency from approximately June 2024 to approximately January 24, 2025 as a laborer. The “aggrieved employees” that Plaintiff seeks civil penalties on behalf of are all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees, whether directly hired or employed through a staffing agency, who worked for Defendant KIK Pool Additives, Inc. within the State of California.

Based on the following facts and theories, Defendants violated and/or continue to violate, among other provisions of the Labor Code and applicable wage law, California Labor Code §§

201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.7, 256, 510, 512, 516, 558, 558.1, 1174, 1198, and IWC Wage Orders including Wage Orders 1-2001 and 7-2001 (the “Wage Orders”).

Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198 require employers to pay time-and-a-half or double time overtime wages, and make it unlawful to work employees for hours longer than eight hours in one day and/or over forty hours in one week without paying the premium overtime rates at one-and-one-half times or double the regular rate of pay, including additional remuneration. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees worked in excess of 8 hours in a day and 40 hours in a week but were not paid for all overtime worked due to Defendant’s unlawful and unenforceable alternative workweek schedule policy.

Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 516, and the Wage Orders require employers to pay an employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each meal or rest period that is not provided. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees all required meal and rest/recovery periods and failed to compensate them properly and at the regular rate of compensation for non-compliant meal and rest periods including, *inter alia*, short, late, interrupted, and missed first and second meal and rest/recovery periods.

Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, including earned and unpaid overtime and premium wages as discussed above.

Labor Code § 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month. Labor Code § 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by Labor Code § 204, including earned and unpaid overtime and premium wages as discussed above.

Labor Code § 226 requires employers to make, keep and provide complete and accurate itemized wage statements to their employees. During the relevant time period, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees with complete and accurate itemized wage statements. The wage statements they received from Defendants were in violation of Labor Code § 226(a). The violations include, but are not limited to, the failure to include the total hours worked, failure to state the correct gross and net wages earned for all time worked, and failure to

state all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

Labor Code § 1174 requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to keep accurate and complete payroll records showing the actual hours worked daily and the wages earned by Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees, including earned and unpaid overtime and premium wages as discussed above.

Labor Code § 1198 provides: “The employment of any employee ... under conditions of labor prohibited by the [Industrial Wage Orders] is unlawful.” Section 15 of the Wage Orders provide: “(A) The temperature maintained in each work area shall provide reasonable comfort consistent with industry-wide standards for the nature of the process and the work performed. (B) If excessive heat or humidity is created by the work process, the employer shall take all feasible means to reduce such excessive heat or humidity to a degree providing reasonable comfort. Where the nature of the employment requires a temperature of less than 60° F, a heated room shall be provided to which employees may retire for warmth, and such room shall be maintained at not less than 68° F. (C) A temperature of not less than 68° F shall be maintained in the toilet rooms, resting rooms, and change rooms during hours of use. (D) Federal and State energy guidelines shall prevail over any conflicting provision of this section.” California law requires an employer to endeavor to provide a safe and healthy workplace. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, §3203.) Labor Code § 6720 required the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (“Cal/OSHA”) to propose an indoor heat illness standard by January 1, 2019. Cal/OSHA has implemented standards for indoor heat illness that applies to an indoor work area where the temperature or heat index equals or exceeds 87° F when employees are present. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 3396.) During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees with reasonable comfort by maintaining the indoor temperature within the range of industry standards by, *inter alia*, complying with Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 3396, including, but not limited to, maintaining an indoor heat illness prevention plan, providing training to aggrieved employees and supervisors on indoor heat illness prevention, providing extra cool-down breaks, and monitoring indoor temperature.

Therefore, on behalf of all aggrieved employees, Plaintiff seeks all applicable penalties arising out of the above-referenced wage, hour, and payroll practices, or which could be assessed and collected by the LWDA, for violation of the Labor Code pursuant to PAGA. Labor Code § 2699.3 requires that a claimant send a certified letter (i.e. this letter) to the employer in question and file an online claim to the LWDA setting forth the claims and the basis for the claims, thereby giving the LWDA and employer an opportunity to investigate the claims and/or take any action they deem appropriate. If the LWDA and Defendants elect not to take any action with respect to any of the foregoing claims, Plaintiff will seek these penalties in a civil action on behalf of all aggrieved employees within one year of the date of this letter as allowed by law.

If you have any questions, please contact me at the phone number or address below:

William C. Sung
JUSTICE FOR WORKERS, P.C.
9575 Bolsa Avenue
Westminster, CA 92683
Office: 323-922-2000
Fax: 323-922-2000
Email: william@justiceforworkers.com

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'William C. Sung', with a stylized flourish at the end.

William C. Sung
JUSTICE FOR WORKERS, P.C.

Cc: Via USPS Certified Mail – Return Receipt Requested

KIK Pool Additives, Inc.
c/o Stephen P Jackson Jr.
Agent for Service of Process
5160 East Airport Drive
Ontario, CA 91761