Thiago M. Coelho (SBN 324715)
thiago.coelho@wilshirelawfirm.com
Lauren M. Lendzion (SBN 239184)
lauren.lendzion@wilshirelawfirm.com
Jennifer M. Leinbach (SBN 281404)
jennifer.leinbach@wilshirelawfirm.com
Giancarlo Recinos (SBN 282836)
giancarlo.recinos@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
660 S. Figueroa, Sky Lobby
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

ASHLEY DEHAAN individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAGNOLIA HOLDINGS, LLC dba OAK RIVER REHAB, a California Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 25CV-0207717

[*Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Ryan H. Birss, Dept. 64]*

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PAGA SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT THEREON

PAGA Approval Hearing:
Date: December 7, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept: 64

Complaint Filed: May 20, 2025
FAC Filed: July 16, 2025
Trial Date: January 26, 2027

The Court, having considered Plaintiff Ashley DeHaan's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq. ("PAGA"), as well as the PAGA Settlement Agreement, as amended by the First Amendment ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement," attached as Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Thiago M. Coelho), the papers filed in support of the motion, and the arguments of counsel, has determined that the Settlement provides genuine and meaningful relief under PAGA and is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

Therefore, the Court hereby ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this PAGA Action and over all Parties to the Action.

2. This Order incorporates the Settlement Agreement agreed to between Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, the State of California, the LWDA, and Aggrieved Employees, on the one hand, and Defendant Magnolia Holdings, LLC dba Oak River Rehab ("Defendant"), on its own behalf and on behalf of the Released Parties, on the other hand. Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

3. Aggrieved Employees means all individuals who worked for Defendant as a non-exempt, hourly employee in California during the period May 7, 2024, to February 20, 2026, including current and former employees.

4. The Court hereby finds that Plaintiff notified the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") of the Settlement in accordance with the notice requirements of California Labor Code § 2699(s). The Court has received no objection from the LWDA regarding the Settlement.

5. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of serious and non-collusive, arm's-length negotiations. The Court has considered the nature of the claims, the procedural history of this case, the amounts paid in settlement, and the allocation of settlement proceeds among the LWDA and the Aggrieved Employees. The Court hereby finds the Settlement involves the resolution of a bona fide dispute, was entered into in good faith, and

provides genuine and meaningful relief under PAGA. The Court therefore approves the Settlement as fair, adequate, and reasonable and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to the terms outlined in the Settlement Agreement.

6. Defendant will not be required to pay any additional amounts in connection with the Settlement other than the Gross Settlement Amount specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Order, which shall not exceed $185,000.00, subject to Paragraph 7 of the Settlement Agreement.

7. The Court awards PAGA Counsel Fees Payment to PAGA Counsel, Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, in the amount of one third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount, currently estimated to be $61,660.50. The Court also awards a PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment in the amount of $17,377.54. The PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and the PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment are to be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount.

8. The Court awards an Enhancement Award to Plaintiff Ashley DeHaan for her services in the amount of $10,000.00. Plaintiff submitted a declaration detailing the ways in which she assisted PAGA Counsel in the litigation of the PAGA Action, and the award is appropriate in light of the stated purpose of PAGA.

9. The Court appoints Phoenix Settlement Administrators, Inc. to serve as the Administrator. The Court concludes that the Administration Expenses Payment in the amount of $4,950.00 is reasonable and necessary to administer the Settlement and shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount.

10. The Net Settlement Amount of $91,011.96 shall be allocated as follows: 65% of the PAGA Penalties ($59,157.77) will be paid to the LWDA, and the remaining 35% ($31,854.19) will be paid to all Aggrieved Employees pursuant to the calculation set forth in the Settlement Agreement for calculating Individual PAGA Payments.

11. The Court directs that the Administrator send the Notice, attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement, to the Aggrieved Employees with the Individual PAGA Payments to be issued pursuant to the Settlement.

12. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement

Amount, Plaintiff, Aggrieved Employees, LWDA and PAGA Counsel will release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

a. Plaintiff's Release: Plaintiff discharges Released Parties from all known and unknown claims Plaintiff may have or claim to have against Defendant as well as Defendant's members, owners, employees, officers, agents, affiliates, and insurers. In connection with said release, Plaintiff shall waive all rights under California Civil Code section 1542 and any other provision of law that may restrict the release of unknown claims. Plaintiff's Release does not extend to any claims or actions to enforce this Agreement, or to any claims for vested benefits, unemployment benefits, disability benefits, social security benefits, workers' compensation benefits that arose at any time, or based on occurrences outside the PAGA Period. Plaintiff acknowledges that Plaintiff may discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or law that Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true but agrees, nonetheless, that Plaintiff's Release shall be and remain effective in all respects, notwithstanding such different or additional facts or Plaintiff's discovery of them.

b. Released PAGA Claims: All Aggrieved Employees will release all claims for PAGA civil penalties that are alleged or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts alleged in the operative Complaint and in Plaintiff's May 7, 2025, PAGA Notice. The Released PAGA Claims are those that accrued during the PAGA Period.

13. Without affecting the finality of this order and entry of judgment, the Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this Action for purposes of supervising, administering, implementing, and enforcing this Order, the Settlement and the Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

14. Nothing in this Order, the Settlement, nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered thereunder shall be construed as an admission or concession by any party of any fault, omission, liability or wrongdoing. They also should not be construed as a concession or admission by Defendant that the claims asserted have any merit or that this action was properly brought as a representative action, and shall not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendant as, an admission or indication in any way, including with respect to any claim of any

liability, wrongdoing, fault or omission by Defendant or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person. This Order is not a finding of the validity or invalidity of any claims in this action or a determination of any wrongdoing by any party. The Settlement and this resulting Order and entry of judgment simply represent a compromise of disputed allegations.

15. Upon completion of the Settlement, the Administrator will provide written certification of the completion to the Court and counsel for the Parties which shall be filed with the Court on or before _____ (at least 14 days before the compliance hearing). The Court sets a final accounting hearing to assess compliance with this Order and the Settlement Agreement for _____ (proposed _____) at _____ a.m./p.m.

16. The entire Action will be dismissed, with prejudice, at the final accounting hearing and upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, assuming full compliance with this Order and the Settlement Agreement by that date.

17. Plaintiff is directed to submit a copy of this Order to the LWDA within 10 calendar days after the entry of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

DATE: _____

_____
HON. RYAN H. BIRSS
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT