

AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC
Samuel A. Wong, State Bar No. 217104
Kashif Haque, State Bar No. 218672
Jessica L. Campbell, State Bar No. 280626
Jamie M. Loos, State Bar No. 346492
9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100
Irvine, California 92618
Telephone: (949) 379-6250
Facsimile: (949) 379-6251
Email: jloos@aegislawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Juan Carlos Caudillo,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

FILED
KERN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
2/4/2025

BY Mata, Vanesa
DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN

JUAN CARLOS CAUDILLO,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

B & B SURPLUS, INC.; and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. BCV-22-102876

Assigned for all purposes to
Hon. Judge ~~Mark Smith~~
Dept. T-2 **T. Mark Smith**

[AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: February 3, 2025
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept: T-2

1 This matter came on for hearing on February 3, 2025, at 8:30 a.m., in Department T-2 of
2 the above-captioned Court on the unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action
3 Settlement pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, this Court's Order Granting Preliminary
4 Approval filed April 26, 2024 and the Joint Stipulation of Class and Representative PAGA
5 Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), a copy of which was filed in
6 conjunction with the Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

7 Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by
8 the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the
9 unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement heard May 23, 2024 and
10 the instant Motion for Final Approval, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and
11 HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

12 1. Pursuant to the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, a Notice Packet was sent to
13 each Class Member by first-class mail. These papers informed the Class of the terms of the
14 Settlement, their right to receive an Individual Settlement Payment, their right (a) to comment on
15 or object to the Settlement, (b) to request exclusion from the Settlement and pursue their own
16 remedies, and (c) of their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and
17 to be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by
18 each of these procedures. No member of the Class filed written objection to the proposed
19 Settlement as part of this notice process or stated an intention to appear at the final approval
20 hearing.

21 2. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate
22 protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision
23 regarding approval of the Settlement based on the responses of the Class. The Court finds and
24 determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied
25 the requirements of law and due process.

26 3. With respect to the Class and for purposes of approving this Settlement only, this
27 Court finds and concludes that: (a) the members of the Class are ascertainable and so numerous
28 that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the

1 Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among members of the Class with respect
2 to the subject matter of the Action; (c) the claims of Class Representative Juan Carlos Caudillo
3 are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and
4 adequately protected the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to
5 other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of
6 record for the Class Representative, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for
7 Plaintiff in their individual and representative capacities for the Class.

8 4. The Court has certified a Class, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the
9 Settlement Agreement as all current or former non-exempt employees who are or were employed
10 by B&B Surplus, Inc. at any time during the Class Period of May 3, 2018 through August 31,
11 2023, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rule of Court
12 3.765(a).

13 5. The Court hereby confirms Aegis Law Firm, PC as Class Counsel.

14 6. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Juan Carlos Caudillo as the Class
15 Representative in this Action.

16 7. The Court finds and determines that the terms set forth in the Settlement
17 Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement
18 according to its terms, having found that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and
19 non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds
20 that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys
21 were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that the
22 Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs,
23 as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has
24 reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant
25 value accorded to the Class.

26 8. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair,
27 reasonable and adequate to the Class and to each Participating Class Member and that the
28

1 Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement should
2 be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.

3 9. The Court hereby approves the Gross Settlement Amount of \$850,000.00.

4 10. The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Payments to be paid
5 to Participating Class Members as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The
6 Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the
7 Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

8 11. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and
9 Workforce Development Agency of \$20,000.00 as its share of the settlement of civil penalties in
10 this case is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders
11 that the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

12 12. The Court finds and determines that the fees and expenses in administrating the
13 Settlement incurred by CPT Group Class Action Administrators in the amount of \$6,750.00, are
14 fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that
15 amount in accordance with the Settlement.

16 13. The Court finds and determines the Class Representatives Enhancement Award
17 of \$10,000.00 for Plaintiff is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to
18 make this payment to the Plaintiff/Class Representative in accordance with the terms of the
19 Settlement Agreement.

20 14. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and
21 argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees
22 in the sum of \$288,333.33 and litigation costs of \$16,794.38. The Court finds such amounts to
23 be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these
24 payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

25 15. Without affecting the finality of this order or the entry of judgment in any way,
26 the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration,
27 implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.
28

1 16. Neither Defendant nor any related persons or entities shall have any further
2 liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability,
3 except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.

4 17. Neither the making of the Settlement Agreement nor the entry into the Settlement
5 Agreement constitutes an admission by Defendant, nor is this order a finding of the validity of
6 any claims in this case or of any other wrongdoing. Further, the Settlement Agreement is not a
7 concession, and shall not be used as an admission of any wrongdoing, fault, or omission of any
8 entity or persons; nor may any action taken to carry out the terms of the Settlement Agreement
9 be construed as an admission or concession by or against Defendant or any related person or
10 entity.

11 18. Nothing in this order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations
12 under the Settlement or under this order, including the requirement that Defendants make
13 payment to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.

14 19. The Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise
15 provided by this Court's Order awarding Class Counsel's Award for attorneys' fees and
16 litigation costs.

17 20. A Final Accounting Hearing is set for **January 29, 2026, at 8:30 a.m.** before
18 this Court. Counsel shall file a declaration regarding the status of the distribution of the
19 settlement funds no later than January 22, 2026.

20
21
22 DATED: February 4, 2025



Honorable ~~Mark Smith~~ **T. Mark Smith**
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

24 BCV-22-102876

Signed: 2/4/2025 03:58 PM

25 **Caudillo v B&B Surplus Inc.**