ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED - 4/22/2026 1:38 PM - By: Iridian Cuen Rubio, DEPUTY

MELMED LAW GROUP P.C.
Jonathan Melmed (SBN 290218)
jm@melmedlaw.com
Laura Supanich (SBN 314805)
lms@melmedlaw.com
Trishta Dordi (SBN 351883)
td@melmedlaw.com
1801 Century Park East, Suite 850
Los Angeles, California 90067
Phone: (310) 824-3828
Fax: (310) 862-6851

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, the Putative Class, and the Aggrieved Employees

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

JUN - 2 2026

BY _____
JESSICA GARCEZ, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

IVAN MARTIN MINGUELA and RANDY MOORE, individuals on behalf of themselves, the State of California, as a private attorneys general, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMERICAN QUARTZ MAX CORP, a California Corporation; and DOES 1 TO 50,

Defendants.

Case Number: CIVSB2226990

[Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

Hearing Date:	~~May 11, 2026~~ June 2, 2026
Hearing Time:	1:30 p.m.
Dept.:	S-17
Judge:	Hon. Joseph T. Ortiz
Complaint Filed:	November 30, 2022
FAC Filed:	April 20, 2023
SAC Filed:	November 18, 2024

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter came for hearing on ~~May 11, 2026,~~ June 2, 2026 at 1:30 p.m., regarding Plaintiffs' unopposed *Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement* (the "Motion") on the terms set forth in the parties' *Settlement Agreement and Release of Class Action* (the "Settlement Agreement") attached as **Exhibit A** to the concurrently filed *Declaration of Jonathan Melmed in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.* Having considered the Settlement Agreement, all papers and proceedings held herein, and having reviewed the entire record in this action, the Court hereby finds and orders:

1. The Court grants Plaintiffs leave file the overlength brief attached to the Motion.

2. All terms used in this order shall have the same meaning as defined in the Motion.

3. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and the "Class" (as that term is defined in the Motion) based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

4. The resolution set forth in the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class, including the payment of the non-reversionary gross settlement amount of **$150,000.00** ("Gross Settlement Amount") by Defendant.

5. The Settlement Agreement, including the Gross Settlement Amount, falls within the range of reasonableness and is presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by this Court.

6. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement Agreement, the attorneys' fees and costs to Plaintiffs' counsel, and Plaintiffs' service awards should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the members of the Class is scheduled in this department on the date and time set forth in the implementation schedule below.

7. This Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed class notice attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Settlement Agreement ("Class Notice") to be distributed to the Class Members pursuant to the Settlement Agreement in substantially the same form. The Court approves the procedure for Class Members to participate in, to opt out of, and to object to the settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

8. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the Class Members in accordance with the implementation schedule set forth below. The Court finds the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Class Notice, as set forth in the implementation schedule, meet the requirements of due process and constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

9. The Court preliminarily certifies the Class, as defined in the Motion, for settlement purposes.

10. The Court confirms Plaintiffs Ivan Martin Minguela and Randy Moore (collectively, "Plaintiffs") as class representatives.

11. The court confirms Jonathan Melmed and Laura Supanich of Melmed Law Group P.C. as class counsel.

12. The Court appoints Apex Class Action Administration as the settlement administrator.

13. To facilitate administration of the Settlement Agreement pending final approval, the court hereby enjoins Plaintiffs and all Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits, or administrative proceedings (including filing claims with the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement of the California Department of Industrial Relations) regarding the claims to be released by the Settlement Agreement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid requests for exclusion with the Settlement Administrator and the time for filing claims with the Settlement Administrator has elapsed.

14. The Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:

a.	Deadline for Defendant to Submit Class List to the Settlement Administrator	[Within fourteen (14) calendar days of this order.]
b.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Mail Notice Packets to all Class Members	[Within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the Class Data from Defendants.]
c.	Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Workweeks Challenges	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]
d.	Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Requests for Exclusion	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]

e.	Deadline for Class Members to Submit Any Objections to Settlement	[Within forty-five (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice.]
f.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Provide Class Counsel with Declaration of Due Diligence	[At least twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the deadline for Plaintiffs' motion for final approval to be filed.]
f	Final Approval and Fairness Hearing	September 22, 2026 at 1:30 a.m./p.m. [*Any date on or after September 14, 2026.*]

15. If any of the dates in this implementation schedule fall on a weekend, bank or court holiday, the time to act shall be extended to the next business day.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 4, 2026

HON. JOSEPH T. ORTIZ
Judge of the Superior Court, County of San Bernardino