

JAMES HAWKINS APLC

James R. Hawkins, Esq. (#192925)
Gregory Mauro, Esq. (#222239)
Michael Calvo, Esq. (#314986)
9880 Research Drive, Suite 200
Irvine, CA 92618
Tel.: (949) 387-7200
Fax: (949) 387-6676
Email: James@jameshawkinsaplc.com
Email: Greg@jameshawkinsaplc.com
Email: Michael@jameshawkinsaplc.com

FALAKASSA LAW, P.C.

Joshua S. Falakassa, Esq. (Bar No. 295045)
josh@falakassalaw.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (818) 456-6168; Fax: (888) 505-0868

BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.

Mehrdad Bokhour, Esq. (Bar No. 285256)
mehrdad@bokhourlaw.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (310) 975-1493; Fax: (310) 675-0861

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Classes

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

QUINCY GRIFFIN PERKINS, JOSE
JOHNSON, IGNACIO PARKS, AND
TIWAN JOHNSON, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HADCO METAL TRADING SANTA
FE SPRINGS CA dba RYDER
INTEGRATED LOGISTICS INC.: and
DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Lead Case No. 3:23-cv-00502-WHO
[Consolidated for all purposes with
Case No. 3:23-cv-01694-WHO &
Case No. 4:22-cv-07456-JST]

[Assigned to the Honorable William
H. Orrick]

FRCP 23 CLASS ACTION

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL
AND JUDGMENT**

1 To all parties and their counsel of record: Please take notice the Court
2 entered an Order granting Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement and
3 entered Judgment thereon. Attached as Exhibit A and B is a true and correct
4 copy of such Order and Judgment.
5
6

7 Respectfully submitted,
8 **JAMES HAWKINS APLC**
9 **BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.**
10 **FALAKASSA LAW, P.C.**

11 DATED: December 16, 2024

By: /s/Gregory Mauro

12 James R. Hawkins
13 Greogry Mauro
14 Mehrdad Bokhour
15 Joshua Falakassa

16 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Settlement
17 Class
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit A

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

QUINCY GRIFFIN PERKINS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RYDER INTEGRATED LOGISTICS,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [23-cv-00502-WHO](#)

**ORDER GRANTING FINAL
APPROVAL AND AWARDED
ATTORNEY FEES, EXPENSES AND
ENHANCEMENTS**

Re: Dkt. No. 69

This matter came on for hearing on December 10, 2024 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 2 of the above-captioned Court on Plaintiffs Quincy Griffin Perkins', Jose Johnson's, Ignacio Parks', and Tiwan Johnson's (collectively, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Enhancement Award, Costs, and Judgment. For purposes of this Order and Judgment, the Court refers to all defined terms (i.e., terms with initial capitalization) as set forth in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") and the amendments thereto. Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement and the amendments thereto, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, including submissions required by the Court following the preliminary and final approval hearings, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the instant Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Final Approval and Entering of Final Judgment, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order Dkt. # 66,

1 which are adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the
2 applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 23 have been
3 satisfied with respect to the Class and the proposed Settlement. The Court hereby
4 makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class, as set forth in the
5 Preliminary Approval Order.

6 2. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the class
7 action and Lawsuit and over all parties to the Lawsuit, including all Participating
8 Class Members and PAGA Employees.

9 3. The Notice of Class and PAGA Settlement (“Notice”) given to the Class
10 Members and PAGA Employees fully and accurately informed the Class Members
11 and PAGA Employees of all material elements of the proposed Settlement and of their
12 opportunity to object to or comment thereon or exclude themselves from the
13 Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due,
14 and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the
15 State of California, the United States Constitution, due process, and other applicable
16 law. The Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement, including the right
17 to dispute their workweeks, and provided Class Members and PAGA Employees
18 adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information. The
19 Court further finds that it was due and sufficient notice of the Settlement and the
20 Fairness Hearing to all persons affected by and/or entitled to participate in the
21 Settlement or the Fairness Hearing, in full compliance with the requirements of due
22 process and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2)(B), (e)(1), and (h)(1).
23 Accordingly, the Court determines that all Participating Class Members and PAGA
24 Employees are bound by this Order and Judgment.

25 4. The Court finds that two (2) members of the Class requested to Opt Out
26 of the Settlement and there were zero (0) Objections received.

27 5. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness
28 of the Settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting

Final Approval. In particular, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following adequate discovery and investigation conducted by Class/PAGA Counsel; that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of the Plaintiffs' case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class/PAGA Counsel. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and amendments thereto and expressly finds that said Settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class and hereby directs implementation of the remaining terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement.

6. The Court hereby awards plaintiffs' counsel attorney fees in the amount of 25% of the Gross Settlement Amount ("GSA"). 10% of those attorney fees shall be withheld by the Settlement Administrator pending further Order of the Court upon compliance with the Northern District's Post-Distribution Accounting. Counsel are awarded litigation costs in the amount of \$37,491.38. These awards are fair and reasonable. An upward adjustment from the 25% benchmark for attorney fees is not warranted in this case given the minimal amount of work required from counsel prior to settlement and given the repeated inability of class counsel – who are otherwise found to be adequate under Rule 23 – to follow the requirements of the Northern District's Class Action Settlement Guidance which I have adopted as mandatory¹ and given the errors on both the motion for preliminary approval and final approval identified in Dkt. Nos. 51, 62 and herein. Costs to the Settlement Administrator,

¹ See <https://cand.uscourts.gov/forms/procedural-guidance-for-class-action-settlements/>; see also <https://cand.uscourts.gov/judges/orrick-william-h-who/> Standing Order for Civil Cases, ¶ 8 (making Guidance mandatory).

1 ILYM Group Inc., shall be paid from the GSA in the amount of \$63,750.00 are hereby
2 approved as fair and reasonable.² No other costs or fees relief shall be awarded, either
3 against Defendant or any other of the Released Parties, as defined in the Settlement
4 Agreement.

5 7. The Court hereby approves the Class Representative Enhancement
6 Award of \$3,500 to each named Plaintiff totaling \$10,500.00, which shall be paid
7 from the GSA. Plaintiffs' Class Representative Enhancement Payment is approved
8 as a service award to Plaintiffs for serving as the class representatives and in
9 consideration and exchange for Plaintiffs' Complete and General Release of all claims
10 as explained in the Settlement. Given that each class member provided only basic
11 informal discovery, were kept informed of the litigation, and were available although
12 did not attend mediation in person, an award in excess of \$3,500 is not warranted.

13 8. The Court hereby approves payment from the GSA of a PAGA Award
14 of \$60,000.00, with \$45,000.00 payable to the Labor Workforce Development
15 Agency ("LWDA"), as this request is fair and reasonable and the remainder of
16 \$15,000.00 deducted from the GSA to be paid to the Class Members as stated in the
17 Settlement. The Court approves the Settlement pursuant to the PAGA, including
18 Labor Code section 2699(l) (2).

19 9. Entry of this Final Judgment shall constitute a full and complete bar
20 against Plaintiffs and the Participating Class Members and PAGA Employees
21 (whether individuals, cumulatively or in any combination or in any manner) and in
22 favor of the Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement) from bringing any Class
23

24 ² In the motion for preliminary approval, class counsel repeatedly represented that the Settlement
25 Administrator, ILYM Group, had agreed to a "not to exceed" bid of \$35,000 to administer the
26 class notice and claims. See Dkt. No. 47-1 at 25; 47-5 ¶¶ 50-51. On final approval, class
27 counsel instead sought \$63,750.00 for administration costs. Dkt. No. 69. At the final approval
28 hearing, class counsel was unable to explain the discrepancy and, therefore, a supplemental
declaration from ILYM was submitted. Dkt. No. 73. That declaration explains that the initial
ILYM bid was for \$54,950 (not \$35,000 as represented to and approved by the Court on
preliminary approval) and even that cost was exceeded due to the unexplained need to send a
second CAFA notice to correct errors. *Id.* These discrepancies and unexplained errors further
support my decision to award fees at the benchmark and not the 33% requested by counsel.

Released Claims and PAGA Released Claims against Defendants and Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement and as amended in Dkt. No. 57-1) (whether individually, cumulatively or in any combination or in any manner), and shall constitute res judicata and collateral estoppel with respect to the Class Released Claims, except to those who timely and properly opted out of the Settlement pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the PAGA Released Claims.

10. By operation of the entry of this Final Order and Judgment, as of the Effective Date, the Parties and Class Members, except those who excluded themselves from the Settlement, are ordered to perform their respective duties and obligations under the Settlement.

11. If the Settlement is successfully challenged and, as a result, does not become final and effective in accord with the terms of the Settlement, then this Final Order and Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered, including but not limited to all releases delivered in connection herewith, shall be null and void.

12. The Court orders Plaintiffs to submit a copy of this judgment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (LWDA) within 10 days after judgment has been entered by the Court in accordance with Labor Code Section 2699(1)(3).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 16, 2024



William H. Orrick
United States District Judge

Exhibit B

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

QUINCY GRIFFIN PERKINS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RYDER INTEGRATED LOGISTICS, INC.,
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [23-cv-00502-WHO](#)

JUDGMENT

Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the Court's December 16, 2024 Order Granting Final Approval and Awarding Attorney Fees, Expenses and Enhancements.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 16, 2024



William H. Orrick
United States District Judge

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. My business address is: 9880 Research Drive Suite 200, Irvine, CA 92618.

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL AND JUDGMENT

SERVICE LIST

Shaun Setareh
Brian Louis
SETAREH LAW GROUP
9665 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 430
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
shaun@setarehlaw.com
Brian@setarehlaw.com
calendar@setarehlaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff
SALNAVE J. KEEFER

FALAKASSA LAW, P.C.
Joshua S. Falakassa, Esq. (Bar No. 295045)
josh@falakassalaw.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (818) 456-6168; Fax: (888) 505-0868

BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.
Mehrddad Bokhour, Esq. (Bar No. 285256)
mehrddad@bokhourlaw.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (310) 975-1493; Fax: (310) 675-0861

Via LWDA Website Only

Labor and Workforce Development Agency
Attn: PAGA Administrator
1515 Clay Street, Ste 801
Oakland, CA 94612
<http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act>

[x] I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court, for the Northern District of California using the CM/ECF system. All participants are registered CM/ECF users, and will be served by the CM/ECF system.

[XX] (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

[XX] I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and The United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 16, 2024 at Irvine, California.

/s/ Alma Chavarin
ALMA CHAVARIN