

1 Daniel V. Santiago (SBN 206532)
2 LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL V. SANTIAGO, P.C.
3 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
4 Los Angeles, California 90071
5 Phone: (760) 652-9801
6 E-mail: dvs@dvslawoffices.com
7 Attorney for the Plaintiff, Quan M. Doan

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE**

10 QUAN M. DOAN, individually and as
11 representative of the State of California on
12 behalf of himself as an aggrieved employee of
13 Secure Guard Security Services, Inc.,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 SECURE GUARD SECURITY SERVICES,
17 INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1 -
18 20, inclusive,

19 Defendants.

Case No. 30-2025-01495141-CU-OE-CJC

**NOTICE OF COURT'S ORDER DATED
JULY 6, 2026, APPROVING THE
SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S
INDIVIDUAL PAGA CLAIMS AND
DISMISSING ALL CLAIMS WITH
PREJUDICE**

Hearing Date: July 6, 2026

Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

Hearing Location: Department CX103

Complaint Filed: July 7, 2025

20 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that, during hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for an Order: (1)
21 Approving the Settlement of Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claims; (2) Dismissing All Claims With
22 Prejudice; and (3) Vacating All Dates on the Court's Calendar (hereinafter, "Plaintiff's Motion") on
23 July 6, 2026, at 1:30 p.m. in Department CX103 of the Orange County Superior Court, the Court
24 adopted and confirmed its tentative ruling on Plaintiff's Motion under which the Court: (1)
25 approved the settlement of Plaintiff's individual-only PAGA claims; (2) dismissed all claims with
26 prejudice; (3) required the parties and Plaintiff's counsel to administer the settlement, including
27 disbursement of the PAGA penalties, in accordance with the parties' settlement agreement under
28 which the settlement funds shall be distributed as follows: \$13,375.00 to Plaintiff (including
\$875.00 for his individual PAGA penalties), \$1,625.00 for PAGA penalties to the LWDA, and


1 \$12,500.00 for Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs; (4) retained jurisdiction over this matter
2 pursuant to Civil Procedure Code section 664.6 to enforce the settlement until performance in full
3 of the terms of the settlement; and (5) required Plaintiff's counsel to give notice, including to the
4 LWDA, and to file a proof of service.

5 **PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that a true and correct copy of the Court's
6 aforementioned tentative ruling pertaining to this action (with redactions of all references or
7 statements pertaining to cases *other than* this action) is attached hereto.

8 Dated: July 6, 2026

LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL V. SANTIAGO, P.C.

9 By:



10 Daniel V. Santiago, Esq.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

**NOTICE OF COURT'S ORDER DATED JULY 6, 2026, APPROVING THE SETTLEMENT
OF PLAINTIFF'S INDIVIDUAL PAGA CLAIMS AND DISMISSING
ALL CLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE**

Homeyra and Ahmad Hamidi
18001 Sky Park Circle, Suite J
Irvine, California 92614
(Registered Agent and Business Development Manager, respectively, of
Secure Guard Security Services, Inc.)

X BY ONLINE SUBMISSION TO THE LWDA: I submitted a copy of the
aforementioned document to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) via online
filing with the LWDA immediately before filing the aforementioned document in the Orange
County Superior Court.

[Signature]

Daniel V. Santiago

TENTATIVE RULINGS

**DEPT. CX103
(657-622-5303)**

**Judge David A. Hoffer
July 06, 2026**

These are the Court's tentative rulings. They may become orders if the parties do not appear at the hearing. The Court also might make a different order at the hearing. (*Lewis v. Fletcher Jones Motor Cars, Inc.* (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 436, 442, fn. 1.)

If a party intends to submit on the Court's tentative ruling, please call the Court Clerk to inform the court. If both parties submit, the tentative ruling will then become the order of the Court.

APPEARANCES: Department CX103 conducts non-evidentiary proceedings, such as law and motion, remotely by Zoom videoconference. All counsel and self-represented parties appearing for such hearings should check-in online through the Court's civil video appearance website at <https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/civil.html> prior to the commencement of their hearing. Once the online check-in is completed, participants will be prompted to join the courtroom's Zoom hearing session. Check-in instructions and an instructional video are available on the court's website. All remote video participants shall comply with the Court's "Appearance Procedures and Information--Civil Unlimited and Complex" and "Guidelines for Remote Appearances" also posted online at <https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/aci.html>. A party choosing to appear in person can do so by appearing in the courtroom on the date/time of the hearing.

Court Reporters: Parties must provide their own remote court reporters (unless they have a fee waiver). Parties must comply with the Court's policy on the use of privately retained court reporters which can be found at:

- Civil Court Reporter Pooling; and
- Court Reporter Interpreter Services

THE PARTIES ARE PROHIBITED BY RULE OF COURT AND LOCAL RULE FROM PHOTOGRAPHING, FILMING, RECORDING, OR BROADCASTING THIS COURT SESSION.

#	Case Name	

5	30-2025-01495141 Doan vs. Secure Guard Security Services, Inc.	The court has reviewed and considered the papers, including the supplemental papers, filed in support of plaintiff Quan M. Doan's motion for approval of his individual-only PAGA settlement and request for dismissal with prejudice of his individual-only claims. Having considered all of the papers filed in this matter, plaintiff's motion for approval is GRANTED, as follows: \$13,375.00 to plaintiff (including \$875.00 for individual PAGA penalties); \$12,500.00 for attorneys' fees and costs; and \$1,625.00 for PAGA penalties to the LWDA. The parties and counsel are ordered to administer the settlement, including disbursement of the PAGA penalties, in accordance with the settlement agreement (ROA 72 Ex. 1). The court retains jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Civil Procedure Code section 664.6 to enforce the settlement until performance in full of the terms of the settlement.

		Plaintiff's request for dismissal with prejudice of all claims is GRANTED. The operative complaint (ROA 2) did not allege any PAGA claims on behalf of aggrieved employees other than plaintiff, and this order and judgment shall have no impact on any such claims. Plaintiff is ordered to give notice, including to the LWDA, and to file a proof of service.