Elliot J. Siegel (Bar No. 286798)
elliot@kingsiegel.com
KING & SIEGEL LLP
500 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 1520
Los Angeles, California 90071
tel: (213) 465-4802
fax: (213) 465-4803

Attorneys for Plaintiff Susan Rodgers, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ahmone Bryant and **Susan Rodgers**, individually and on behalf of all others similary situtated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Brinker Restaurant Corporation; Brinker International, Inc., and Brinker International Payroll Company, L.P.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC-21-597124
Consolidated with Case No.: CGC-23-608589 (lead case)

[*Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Jeffrey S. Ross*]

PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

DECLARATION OF ELLIOT J. SIEGEL RE: ATTORNEYS' FEES AND SERVICES

Date: December 10, 2026
Time: 8:00 a.m.
Dept.: 613

King & Siegel LLP

DECLARATION OF ELLIOT J. SIEGEL

I, Elliot J. Siegel, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law before this Court and the federal and state courts of California. I am over 18 years of age. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and if called upon to testify, could and would testify competently thereto.

2. I am a founding shareholder in the law firm of King & Siegel LLP ("Plaintiff's Counsel"), attorney of record for Plaintiff Susan Rodgers ("Plaintiff") and the Aggrieved Employees in the above-captioned matter. I submit this Declaration in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement.

Plaintiff's Counsel Are Experienced in Wage-And-Hour Litigation

3. I founded King & Siegel LLP with my Partner Julian Burns King in March 2018. King & Siegel LLP is exclusively dedicated to representing employees in individual and class action litigation in California State and federal courts, as well as in arbitration hearings. Since the founding of our firm, King & Siegel LLP, has successfully represented thousands of employees in numerous wage and hour and other employment-related class actions. Through my practice at King & Siegel, and my prior employment working for one of the highest ranked litigation firms in the County, I have gained significant experience regarding the obligations and burdens of representing a class from inception to trial and am an experienced practitioner in the realm of wage and hour and employment litigation on both a class and individual level.

4. Though our firm was only founded in 2018, we have been appointed class counsel in dozens of employment class actions, including *Martinez v. Arvato Digital Servs., LLC*, Case No. CIVDS1823989 (San Bernardino Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2018) (final approval granted March 2, 2020); *Quintero v. Miller Milling Company, LLC*, Case No.: CV 19-7459-DMG (JCx) (C.D. Cal 2019) (final approval granted July 31, 2020); *Ayala v. Four Seasons Heating and Cooling, Inc.*, Case No. 56-2019-00529287-CU-OE-VTA (Ventura Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval granted on August 31, 2020); *Martin, et al., v. Break the Floor Productions* (San Diego Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval granted October 23, 2020); *Talkington v. Sanrose Home Health*, Case No. RIC1902475 (Riverside Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval granted December 7, 2020); *Oliver, et al., v. The J. Paul Getty*

King & Siegel LLP

Trust, Case No. 19STCV40123 (Los Angeles Ctny. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval granted October 22, 2020); *Lachman, et al. v. Berlitz Languages, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 19STCV01533 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval granted April 1, 2021); *Ramirez v. Mid-West Fabricating Co.*, No. 30-2019-01102221-CU-OE-CXC (Orange Ctny. Sup. Ct. 2019) (final approval granted June 4, 2021); *Le, et al. v. Walgreen Co., et al.*, No. 18-cv- 01548 (C.D. Cal. 2018) (final approval granted on July 21, 2021); *Nunez v. California Custom Sunrooms & Patio Covers*, Case No. 34-2020-00286923 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2020) (final approval granted on March 9, 2022); *Awwad, et al. v. Splitsville*, Case No. 30-2018-01026248-CU-OE-CXC (Orange Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2018) (final approval granted on June 28, 2022); *Noer v. Five Star Food Containers, Inc.*, Case No. CIVSB-2116131 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2021) (final approval on July 28, 2022); *Hernandez v. Yolo Federal Credit Union*, Case No. CV-2021-1000 (Yolo Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2021) (final approval on August 4, 2022); *Hamilton et al., v. Vail Corporation*, et al., Case No. SC20210148 (El Dorado Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2021) (final approval on August 19, 2022); *Fernandez v. Uni-Fab Industries, Inc*., Case No. RG21113621 (Alameda Sup. Ct. 2021) (final approval on November 2, 2022); *Romero v. Retrofitting360, Inc.*, Case No. 56-2020-00546674-CU-OE-VTA (Ventura Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2020) (final approval on October 25, 2022); *Brown v. DirectTV, LLC* Case No. 2:13-cv-01170-DMG-E (C.D. Cal. 2013) (final approval on March 3, 2023); *Gomez v. Purple Heart Compassion, Inc*., Case No. 22STCV04548 (Los Angeles Sup. Ct. 2022) (final approval granted April 13, 2023); *Urena v. Raypak, Inc.*, Case No. 56-2022-00563198-CU-OE-VTA (Ventura Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2022) (final approval on June 12, 2023); *Goetz v. Dynacorn*, Case No. 56-2023-00575474-CU-OE-VTA (Ventura Sup. Ct. 2022) (final approval granted September 20, 2023); *Cardenas v. Thorpe Design, Inc.*, Case No. C22-01545 (Contra Costa Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2022) (final approval granted on September 28, 2023); *Woods v. Insight Global*, Case No. C21-01934 (Contra Costa Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2021) (PAGA approval granted on September 28, 2023); *Rodriguez v. Boudreau Pipeline Corporation*, Case No. CVRI2203480 (Riverside County Sup. Ct. 2022) (PAGA approval on November 2, 2023); *Duenas v. Stremicks Foods*, Case No. 5:22-cv-01039-FLA-SHK (C.D. Cal. 2022) (final approval granted on November 3, 2023); *Torres v. D/T Carson*, Case No. RIC1821431 (Riverside Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2018) (final approval granted on November 16, 2023); *Gonzales v. LRB Millwork & Casework, Inc.*, Case

No. CIVSB2204728 (Santa Barbara Superior Court 2022) (final approval granted on March 4, 2024); *Mazantini v. Mission Park Health Center LLC*, Case No. 23CV02465 (Santa Barbara Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval granted on March 6, 2024); *Lopez v. Bay State Milling Company*, Case No. CV2023-0742 (Yolo County Sup. Ct. 2023) (final approval granted on May 15, 2024); *Ayala v. Mt. Whitney Dairy*, Case No. 23CECG00449 (Fresno Cnty Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval granted on June 5, 2024); and *Iranrouh v. Highlands Community Charter and Technical Schools*, Case No. 34-2022-00324342 (Sacramento Sup. Ct. 2022) (final approval granted on August 2, 2024); *Nikol Lockett v. Stanford Park Hotel, LLC*, Case No. 24-CIV-01940 (San Mateo Sup. Ct. 2024) (PAGA approval on January 28, 2025); *Brian Rubio v. Green Knight Security, Inc.,* Case No. 24STCV01923 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval on March 12, 2025); *Paula Norton v. Strategic Staffing Solutions-S3, L.L.C.,* Case No. 3:23-cv-06648-JSC (N.D. Cal) (final approval granted on June 12, 2025); *Palcher v. MG JV, LLC*, et al., Case No. 24STCV12991 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA Approval granted July 8, 2025); *Lorena Ortiz, et al., v. Target Corporation,* Case No. CV2023-0586 (Yolo County Superior Court 2023) (final approval granted on July 10, 2025); *Ana Molina v. LattCo Services, Inc.*, Case No. 23CV010964 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA Approval granted July 11, 2025); *Covarrubias v. Medtrans, Inc*., Case No. 24STCV13023 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval granted on August 5, 2025); *Jenkins v. Beacon Property Management, Co*., Case No. CIVSB2417563 (San Bernardino Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (final approval granted on September 10, 2025); *Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro*, Case No. 24STCV32371 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval granted on September 19, 2025); *Newsome v. Kiva Sales and Services, LLC*, Case No. 23CV035642 (Alameda Sup. Ct. 2023) (PAGA approval granted on September 30, 2025); *Allen v. Ariat International, Inc.*, Case No. 24CV061565 (Alameda Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval granted October 14, 2025); *Antony Monterroso v. Shiki Sushi, Teppan, Grill and Sport Bar, Inc., et al*. (Case No. CIVSB2409818) (San Bernardino Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (final approval on November 5, 2025); *Quiroz v. Del Sol Harvesting, Inc.*, Case No. 2024CUOE033910 (Ventura Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval granted on December 17, 2025); *Cohen v. Living Spaces Furniture LLC*, Case No. 30-2020-01140662-CU-OE-CXC (Los Angeles Sup. Ct. 2020) (final approval granted on January 7, 2026); *Ferry Mendoza v. Baugher Ranch Organics, Inc*., Case No. 25cv00036 (Glenn Cnty. Sup. Ct.)

(final approval on January 21, 2026); *Johnson v. ASLB, LLC*, Case No. 24STCV13710 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval granted on January 30, 2026); *Martinez-Bravo v. Mike Kelley Construction, Inc*., et al., Case No. 24CV05385 (Santa Barbara County Sup. Ct.) (preliminary approval granted on February 18, 2026); *Torres v. Delta Scientific Corp*., Case No. 24STCV10812 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval on March 2, 2026); *Lalezarian v. Homak Enterprises*, Case No. 24STCV06524 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (preliminary approval granted on April 2, 2026); *Eadie v. Clearman's North Woods Inn, Inc*., Case No. 25STCV01887 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (preliminary approval granted on April 9, 2026); *Leon v. Diagnostic Pathology Medical Group*, Case No. 25CV003872 100908 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (preliminary approval granted on May 22, 2026); *Aguirre v. Shift4 Payments, LLC*, Case No. C24-01636 (Contra Costa Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (preliminary approval granted June 4, 2026); *Martinez-Bravo v. Mike Kelley Construction, Inc*., et al., Case No. 24CV05385 (Santa Barbara County Sup. Ct.) (final approval granted on June 24, 2026); *Shakora Sanders v. Pacifica Senior Living Management, LLC*, Case No. 37-2023-00047009-CU-OE-CTL (San Diego Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (final approval granted on June 26, 2026); *Nuno*/*Hutton v. Pacific Tank Lines*, *et al*., Case No. 23STCV31554 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (preliminary approval granted on July 10, 2026); *Lalezarian v. Homak Enterprises*, Case No. 24STCV06524 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (final approval granted on July 15, 2026); *McCartney v. Vasko Electric, Inc*., Case No. 25CV002553 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (preliminary approval granted on July 17, 2026); *Villasenor v. J.G. Boswell*, Case No. 24STCV26669 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA approval on July 28, 2026); *Alvarado v. CTOS, LLC*, Case No. BCV-25-100908 (Kern Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (final approval granted on August 3, 2026); *Gonzalez v. PNC Management LLC*, Case No. CGC-24-618497 (San Francisco Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (final approval granted on August 19, 2026); *Eadie v. Clearman's North Woods Inn, Inc*., Case No. 25STCV01887 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (final approval granted on August 19, 2026); and *Ramirez v. Bando, Inc*., Case No. 24CU021719C (San Diego Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (preliminary approval granted on August 28, 2026).

5. We are currently prosecuting claims on behalf of class members and/or aggrieved employees in *Teeba v. California Steel Industries, Inc*., Case No. CIVSB2313467 (San Bernardino Sup. Ct. 2023) (wage and hour case relating to failure to pay all wages and provide compliant

King & Siegel LLP

meal/rest periods); *Gerardo Ramirez v. Garcia's Landscaping Maintenance, Inc.,* Case No. 56-2023-00576200-CU-OE-VTA (Ventura County Superior Court 2023) (wage and hour case relating to failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods, unlawful uniform time clock rounding policy, failure to reimburse business expenses, and failure to pay all wages); *Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation*, Case No. CGC-23-608589 (San Francisco County Sup. Ct. 2023) (PAGA action relating to failure to pay all wages and provide compliant meal/rest periods); *Dorian Laviene v. Urban Flavours*, Case No. 23CV040942 (Alameda Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Ashley Foltz v. Space Exploration Technologies Corp.*, Case No. 23STCV24097 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA matter relating to failure of California's Equal Pay Act); *Shakora Sanders v. Pacifica Senior Living Management, LLC*, Case No. 37-2023-00047009-CU-OE-CTL (San Diego Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (case relating to illegal employment medical examinations and pregnancy discrimination); *Alex Mesquita v. California Ammonia Co.,* Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2024-0001136 (San Joaquin Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Alan Garibay v. LA Taco Management, LLC*, Case No. 24STCV02792 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Darryl Hall v. Cepheid*, Case No. 23CV424954 (Santa Clara Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Ronald Robles v. Integrated Demolitions and Remediation, Inc*., Case No. 24STCV07208 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Viera v. Up Real Estate Holding Co*., Case No. 2024CUOE021511 (Ventura Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Lalezarian v. Homak Enterprises*, Case No. 24STCV06524 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Dunbarr v. Pacific Drive-Ins, LLC*, Case No. 37-2024-00025683-CU-OE-CTL (San Diego Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA Representative Action for civil penalties arising out of Labor Code violations); *Torres v.*

Delta Scientific Corp., Case No. 24STCV10812 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA Representative Action for civil penalties arising out of Labor Code violations); *Aguirre v. Shift4 Payments, LLC*, Case No. C24-01636 (Contra Costa Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Rodriguez v. Reflechtech, Inc.*, Case No. 23CV010783 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Payan v. Evolve, LLC*, Case No. CVRI2402353 (Riverside Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA Representative Action for civil penalties arising out of Labor Code violations); *Patterson v. Advanced Home Health, Inc.*, Case No. 24CV018567 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Quilhuiz v. Sugared + Bronzed*, LLC, Case No. 24STCV20871, (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Gonzalez v. PNC Management LLC*, Case No. CGC-24-618497 (San Francisco Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Quiroz v. Del Sol Harvesting, Inc.*, Case No. 2024CUOE033910 (Ventura Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (PAGA Representative Action for civil penalties arising out of failure to comply with meal, rest, and cooldown break requirements); *Abalos v. McCampbell Analytical, Inc.*, Case No. CV-24-02882 (Contra Costa Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Ramirez v. Bando, Inc*., Case No. 24CU021719C (San Diego Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Norris v. Aristotle Capital Management, LLC*, Case No. 25STCV01883 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Baez-Anzorena v. R. & P. Properties, Inc*., Case No. CU25-00903 (Solano Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *McCartney v. Vasko Electric, Inc*., Case No. 25CV002553 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize

and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Morgan v. Signant Health Global LLC,* Case No. 4:25-cv-06523-KAW (N.D. Cal) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Mateo v. Calex Engineering, Inc*., Case No. 25STCV01812 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Rodriguez Jr. v. Chrisp Company*, Case No. 25CV107769 (Alameda Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Davidson-Gesser v. Aequor Technologies, LLC*, Case No. 8:25-cv-00760-FWS-ADS (C.D. Cal) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Cucchiarella v. Ysabel LLC*, Case No. 25STCV27776 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Rivera v. Power Electronics USA, Inc*., Case No. 25STCV32325 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Aguirre v. Alvarado Restaurant Group LLC*, Case 25STCV11513 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Pham v. ContinuEM*, Case No. 25STCV35142 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Buckley v. Univision Radio Stations Group, Inc*., Case No. 25CU036607C (San Diego Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Malkov v. Labelbox, Inc*., Case No. 25STCV25687 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Garcia v. Reeves, D.D.S. and LaValley, D.D.S., a Dental Corporation*, Case No. 25CV027592 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Giudici v. East Lawn, Inc*., Case No. 25CV021657 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest

periods); *Mora v. Maruchan, Inc*., Case No. 8:25-cv-02378-JWH-ADS (C.D. Cal) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Murillo v. Hallmark Aviation Services, L.P*., Case No. 25STCV32130 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Crocker v. TELUS International AI, Inc*., Case No. 25STCV33590 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Rivera, Escamilla v. Del Taco LLC.,* Case No. CIVRS2603060 (San Bernardino Cnty. Sup. Ct) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods). *Bauer, Flores v. Langham Hotels Pacific Corporation,* Case No. 26STCV13816 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Moreno v. RadNet Management, Inc.*, Case No. 26STCV14234 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Jacobs v. International Protective Service, Inc.*, Case No. 26STCV04316 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Neves v. Williams Tank Lines*, Case No. 26CV010491 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Cheng, Zhuang v. Haidilao Catering (U.S.A.) Inc. Et.al.*, Case No. 26STCV09812 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Figueroa v. The Claremont Club LLC.*, Case No. 26STCV12838 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Cota v. Marias Holding Corp.*, Case No. 25STCV30639 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Marcum v. Blue House Restaurant, Inc*., Case No. CU26-03948 (Solano Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and

King & Siegel LLP

rest periods); *Gaskin v. Murphy's Bowl LLC*, Case No. 26STCV24783 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Gharibian v. Grow Care, Inc.*, Case No. 26STCV24966 (Los Angeles Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods); *Lao v. Elk Grove Urgent Care, Inc.*, Case No. 26CV019010 (Sacramento Cnty. Sup. Ct.) (wage and hour class action case relating to failure to pay all wages and failure to authorize and permit compliant meal and rest periods).

6. Our firm has filed four motions for class certification, two of which were contested[1]—and prevailed in our certification motion for rest periods violations and derivative penalties on behalf of approximately 1,000 class members in *Torres v. D/T Carson*.

7. I have been named "Rising Stars" by Super Lawyers magazine in each year from 2018 to the present. This is an honor awarded to no more than two and a half percent of attorneys under the age of 40 in California.

The Attorneys' Fees and Costs are Reasonable

8. The firms representing the Plaintiffs and Aggrieved Employees are requesting one-third of the gross settlement in attorneys' fees according to its reasonably incurred lodestar on the matter.

9. The attorneys' fees sought are comparable to attorneys' fee awards granted in comparable cases. *See, e.g.*, *Laffitte v. Robert Half Internat. Inc.*, *supra*, 1 Cal.5th 480, 503; *see also Martin v. FedEx Ground Package System, Inc.* (N.D. Cal., Dec. 31, 2008, No. C 06-6883 VRW) 2008 WL 5478576, at *8 (federal district court approved attorneys' fees of one-third of the common fund); *Stuart v. Radioshack Corp.* (N.D. Cal., Aug. 9, 2010, No. C-07-4499 EMC) 2010 WL 3155645, at *6 (approving fee award of one-third of the total maximum settlement and noting that the fee award of one-third of the total settlement was "well within the range of percentages which courts have upheld as reasonable"); *Keres Romero v. Producers Dairy Foods, Inc.* (E.D. Cal., Nov. 14, 2007, No. 1:05CV0484 DLB) 2007 WL 3492841, at *4 [awarding fees of one-third of common fund in a wage

[1] For the other two, the parties settled the matters shortly after Class Counsel filed the motions.

and hour class action, noting: "[f]ee awards in class actions average around one-third of the recovery."); *Chavez v. Netflix, Inc*., 162 Cal.App.4th 43, 66, n.11 (2008) ("Regardless whether the percentage method or the lodestar method is used, fee awards in class actions average around one-third of the recovery.").

10. Practice in the field of wage and hour litigation requires skill and knowledge concerning the rapidly evolving fields of substantive state and federal law, as well as the procedural law of Class Action and representative litigation. Plaintiff's Counsel are experienced representative and representative action litigators, and have previously achieved significant PAGA and class action awards. This experience and expertise, combined with the high-quality of work performed in this case by Plaintiff's Counsel, resulted in the settlement achieved.

11. As it pertains to our time worked on the matter, King & Siegel LLP maintained contemporaneous records of all its hours worked on this case by billing our attorneys' time in increments of one-tenth (1/10) of an hour into an electronic timekeeping system. I relied on these timekeeping records for this case to prepare this declaration. True and correct copies of King & Siegel's billing records are attached as **Exhibit 1**.

12. To date, King & Siegel's lodestar to date is approximately $109,673.50, after expending a reasonable 123.1 hours on this matter.

13. The chart below presents a general summary showing the number of hours of the Firm performed in this case. Per the Court's December 12, 2025 Order, King & Siegel has not included non-attorney time, i.e., paralegal time, in its request for fees.[2]

Name	Rate[3]	Hours	Pre-Multiplier Lodestar
Elliot J. Siegel (Partner)	$900	116.9	$105,210

[2] However, should it be of assistance to the Court, King & Siegel billed 30.4 paralegal hours on the matter for a total cost of $7,417.50.

[3] Under longstanding law, Class Counsel are entitled to use their current billing rate across the length of the litigation. *See, e.g.*, *Gates v. Deukmejian*, 987 F.2d 1392, 1406 (9th Cir. 1992) ("We long have recognized that district courts have the discretion to compensate prevailing parties for any delay in the receipt of fees by awarding fees at current rather than historic rates in order to adjust for inflation and loss of the use funds.").

Brent Boos (Attorney)	$825	5.0	$4,125
Melissa Rinehart (Attorney)	$650	2.3	$1,495
Total Lodestar		**124.2**	**$110,830**

14. King & Siegel LLP's efforts in this case have included, but are not limited to: conducting our pre-filing investigation, interviewing Plaintiff, reviewing Plaintiff's personnel file, analyzing Plaintiff's claims and Defendants' potential defenses to the same, conducting legal research, preparing the PAGA Representative Complaint, Amended Complaints, and PAGA Notices, preparing and filing case management statements and stipulations, preparing informal and formal discovery requests, opposing Defendant's motion to compel arbitration, reviewing Defendants' informal document productions, meeting and conferring with Defendants' counsel regarding the discovery and potential motion practice, reviewing voluminous documents produced by Defendants, attending numerous status conferences and hearings, attending part of the mediation, negotiating, and revising the Settlement Agreement MOU and long form agreement, communicating with opposing counsel, co-counsel, settlement administrators, and Plaintiff regarding the same; filing motion practice in response to Defendant's motion to enforce and motion for severance (and reviewing voluminous documents and pleadings re: same); providing the Court with additional briefing regarding the earlier Motion for Approval; objecting to the competing proposed PAGA settlement in the related Saldana action and preparing the declaration supporting that objection; preparing and filing the subsequent Motion for Approval (including this Declaration), and otherwise litigating the case.

15. These hours can be generally categorized as follows:

a. Case Investigation and Pleadings:

Plaintiff's Counsel spent approximately 52.5 hours on the initial case investigation and initiation, including drafting, revising, and filing the Complaint, Amended Complaints, and PAGA Notices; investigating the Aggrieved Employees' claims, conducting research regarding the adequacy of the claims, interviewing Plaintiff

King & Siegel LLP

regarding the factual allegations behind the Aggrieved Employees' claims, reviewing Plaintiff's personnel records, negotiating and executing a co-counsel agreement and a joint prosecution agreement (and the subsequent termination of the co-counsel agreement), attending initial status conferences, case management conferences, and further status conferences before the San Francisco Superior Court, drafting and revising joint case management conference statements, a joint status report, and a joint statement regarding representation, and communicating with co-counsel and opposing counsel re: same.

b. Substantive Motion Practice

Counsel spent approximately 23.6 hours on contested motions in this action, including an opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration, an opposition to Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims, a motion to enforce, a Demand for Arbitration, and Plaintiff's objection to the competing proposed PAGA settlement in the related Saldana action (and the declaration supporting that objection), preparing for and arguing the hearing on the motion to compel arbitration, appearing at the hearing on severance, and related work to prepare those oppositions and supporting documents.

c. Settlement/Mediation:

Plaintiff's Counsel spent approximately 24.2 hours preparing for and partially attending mediation, re-reviewing certain documents from Defendant's informal document production, reviewing the mediation brief, conferring with the mediator, negotiating and revising multiple rounds of the original and amended Memoranda of Understanding, including the joint memorandum of understanding covering the related Saldana action, negotiating and revising the long-form PAGA Settlement Agreement and the related stipulation of settlement, preparing Plaintiff's individual agreement, reviewing confirmatory data, and communicating with opposing counsel, co-counsel, and the mediator regarding the same.

King & Siegel LLP

d. Hearings, Approval, and Settlement Administration:

Plaintiff's Counsel has spent approximately 23.9 hours drafting this declaration and Plaintiff's pleadings regarding the sufficiency of the proposed Motion for PAGA approval, including a supplemental brief in support of approval and the declaration of Named Plaintiff Susan Rodgers, attending hearings on the motion for approval, reviewing the Court's orders regarding approval, preparing a proposed order and stipulation to continue the approval hearing, obtaining settlement administration bids, negotiating and revising the Long Form Settlement Agreement now before the Court for approval, preparing and revising the motion for approval and supporting documents presently before the Court, and communicating with the settlement administrator, defense counsel, co-counsel, and Named Plaintiff regarding the approval and post-approval administration process.

16. These hours do not include an estimated 5-15 additional hours to be spent for oversight of post-approval settlement administration, including distribution of funds, filing a final status report, and attending the final compliance hearing. Counsel will also spend additional time monitoring the final settlement administration and bears the risk of being obliged to seek an enforcement action if Defendant breaches the Settlement.

17. Plaintiff's Counsel's hours were necessary and reasonable.

18. Plaintiff's Counsel took this case on a contingency basis and Plaintiff and counsel faced significant risks going forward with the litigation. Defendants raised various defenses and, as such, Plaintiff faced significant uncertainty about recovery going forward with this litigation, as well as the potential for substantial delays to realize any recovery in this action along with the possibility of post-trial appeals. Any of these risks could have resulted in Plaintiff's Counsel recovering nothing as part of their contingency representation.

19. Indeed, one of the most common fee enhancers "is for contingency risk." *Graham v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.,* 34 Cal.4th 553, 579 (Cal. 2004). "[T]he lodestar enhancement [for contingent cases] is intended to approximate market-level compensation for such services, which typically includes a premium for the risk of nonpayment or delay in payment of attorney

fees." *Bernardi v. County of Monterey*, 167 Cal.App.4th 1379, 1399 (Cal. Ct. App. 2008). "A lawyer who both bears the risk of not being paid *and* provides legal services is not receiving the fair market value of his or her work if the lawyer is paid *only* for the second of these functions. If he is paid no more, competent counsel will be reluctant to accept fee award cases." *Ketchum v. Moses*, 24 Cal. 4th 1122, 1123, 17 P.3d 735 (2001) (emphasis added); *see also Crommie v. State of Cal., Pub. Utilities Comm'n*, 840 F. Supp. 719, 725 (N.D. Cal. 1994), *aff'd in part sub nom. Mangold v. California Pub. Utilities Comm'n*, 67 F.3d 1470 (9th Cir. 1995), *and judgment corrected sub nom. Crommie v. State of California Pub. Utilities Comm'n*, No. C-89-4433 MHP, 1996 WL 440548 (N.D. Cal. July 26, 1996) ("The purpose of contingent risk inquiry is to determine a fee that is likely to entice competent counsel to undertake difficult public interest cases.").

20. There are always risks attendant to billing cases on a contingency basis. It is not a foregone conclusion that every case taken on a contingency fee basis will result in a recovery or that the attorneys' fees recovered will actually compensate the attorneys' firm for the amount of time expended in an action. Even when successful, a PAGA representative action contingency law firm may only receive a small percentage of the amount of attorneys' fees incurred during the prosecution of a case.

21. Where Plaintiff's Counsel does succeed, therefore, it is appropriate to compensate the firm for the risks the firm regularly undertakes. There is also always the possibility that the plaintiff will not prevail and Plaintiff's Counsel will not receive any compensation whatsoever for its services or that the defendant will declare bankruptcy or lack the assets necessary to satisfy any judgment obtained against it.

22. As explained by the California Supreme Court, "[a] lawyer who both bears the risk of not being paid and provides legal services is not receiving the fair market value of his work if he is paid only for the second of these functions. If he is paid no more, competent counsel will be reluctant to accept fee award cases." *Ketchum v. Moses*, *supra*, 24 Cal.4th 1122, 1133.

23. Finally, Plaintiff's Counsel's firm has only a finite amount of time to work up and litigate their cases. By taking on this matter, Plaintiff's Counsel necessarily passed on other engagements, both contingent and hourly. Plaintiff's Counsel should not be penalized for giving up

other valuable work opportunities because they efficiently litigated this matter to a successful resolution for the Aggrieved Employees.

24. Significantly, the retainer agreement in this case provides for fees up to one-third of the amount obtained.

Counsel's Hourly Rates Are Reasonable

25. The Firm's proposed hourly rates, ranging from $900 to $450, are reasonable in light of our respective skills, wage and hour class action experience, reputation, and fee awards to other attorneys of similar experience in Southern California, which can range anywhere from $250 per hour to over $1,000 per hour. Our hourly rate is in line with the with the Laffey Matrix and the 2015 National Law Journal Survey of Billing Rates in California; **Exhibit 2** (Laffey Matrix); **Exhibit 3** (2015 National Law Journal Survey of Billing Rates in California); *see also Pazandeh v. Yamaha Corp. of Am.*, No. SACV1601849JVSDFMX, 2018 WL 1406706, at *1 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 12, 2018) ("Thus, the Court finds that the rates of $595 to $455 per hour for partner work, $325 per hour for associate work, and $235 to $200 per hour for paralegal work are reasonable."); *Sentinel Offender Servs., LLC v. G4S Secure Sols. (USA) Inc.*, No. 8:14-CV-298-JLS-JPRX, 2017 WL 3485768, at *10 (C.D. Cal. June 20, 2017) (applying ALM Legal Intelligence NLJ Billing Survey for 2014 to arrive at a reasonable, average billing rate for Southern California partners of $633.09 per hour); *Cf. In re High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litig.*, 2015 WL 5158730, at *9 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 2, 2015) (reasonable "billing rates for partners [that] range from about $490 to $975 ... billing rates for non-partner attorneys, including senior counsel, counsel, senior associates, associates, and staff attorneys, [that] range from about $310 to $800, with most under $500 ... [and] billing rates for paralegals, law clerks, and litigation support staff [that] range from about $190 to $430, with most in the $300 range."); *Syers Properties III, Inc. v. Rankin*, 226 Cal.App.4th 691, 702 (Cal. Ct. App. 2014) (relying on Laffey matrix for reasonable market rates).

26. Given the expertise, skill, and result achieved for the Aggrieved Employees and the State by Plaintiff's Counsel, the requested rates for Plaintiff's Counsel, their current billing rates of

King & Siegel LLP

$900 to $500 per hour, are reasonable.[4]

27. Additionally, Plaintiff's Counsel's billing rates have been accepted as part of lodestar cross-checks in numerous prior class or representative actions where King and Siegel, LLP served as Plaintiff's Counsel.

Counsel's Requested Costs are Reasonable

28. The Settlement Agreement authorizes Plaintiff's Counsel to recover their litigation costs of up to $150,000. (Settlement Agreement, at ¶ § 3.2.1). The actual litigation costs in this case by King & Siegel LLP to date amount to $12,461.25. The costs for my firm are identified in **Appendix A**. True and correct copies of invoices/receipts for these costs are attached as **Exhibit 4**.

Plaintiff's Incentive Award is Fair and Appropriate

29. The parties request payment of an Incentive Award from the non-reversionary Gross Settlement Amount to Plaintiff in the amount of $2,500, in addition to whatever payment she is otherwise entitled to receive as an Aggrieved Employee.

30. The proposed Incentive Award is reasonable compensation given the time and effort that Plaintiff devoted to this case; the valuable assistance she provided to Plaintiff's Counsel.

31. Plaintiff provided invaluable assistance to Plaintiff's Counsel and the Aggrieved Employees in this case, including providing factual background for the PAGA Complaint and settlement discussion; reviewing the relevant documents, and Complaint; participating in phone calls with Plaintiff's Counsel to discuss litigation and settlement strategy; remaining on-call and available during negotiation of the settlement, and reviewing the settlement documents. *See generally* Declaration of Susan Rodgers in Support of Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement.

32. Plaintiff agreed to participate in this case with no guarantee of personal benefit. *Id*. Further, Plaintiff agreed to undertake the financial risk of serving as named Plaintiff and exposed herself to the risk of negative publicity by anyone who opposed this case. *Id*.

[4] Under longstanding law, Plaintiff's Counsel are entitled to use their current billing rate across the length of the litigation. *See, e.g.*, *Gates v. Deukmejian*, 987 F.2d 1392, 1406 (9th Cir. 1992) ("We long have recognized that district courts have the discretion to compensate prevailing parties for any delay in the receipt of fees by awarding fees at current rather than historic rates in order to adjust for inflation and loss of the use funds.").

King & Siegel LLP

Counsel's Representation is Unconflicted

33. I am unaware of any conflicting interests between my firm and any of its attorneys, on the one hand, and Plaintiff or any other Aggrieved Employee, on the other.

34. Class Counsel is unaware of any pending current class, representative, or other collective action in any other court in this or any other jurisdiction that asserts claims similar to those asserted in this action on behalf of a class or group of individuals some or all of whom would also be members of the class defined in this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 7, 2026, in the county of Los Angeles, California.

By: Elliot J. Siegel
Elliot J. Siegel

King & Siegel LLP

APPENDIX A

Date	Expense	Description	Amount
3/7/2024	Mail	Brent's Courtcall for MTC Arb. Hearing 3.6.24	$72.00
3/10/2025	Mail	InfoTrack: Courtesy Copy - Case Management Statemen	$85.00
3/17/2025	Misc.	Our share of Expert Fees for Mediation	$11,000.00
7/1/2025	Filing	Filing and Courtesy Copy of Plaintiff's Statement	$30.60
9/17/2025	Filing	InfoTrack - CA: File To Existing - #77176438 - Susan Rodgers v Brinker International Inc: Other (1 filing)	$165.00
9/25/2025	Filing	InfoTrack: Courtesy Copy - Opposition	$20.75
9/25/2025	Filing	InfoTrack: Courtesy Copy - Other (1 document)	$85.00
11/3/2025	Filing	InfoTrack: Courtesy Copy - Objections (2 documents)	$85.00
11/7/2025	Filing	InfoTrack - CA: File To Existing - #77728873 - Susan Rodgers v Brinker International Inc: Objections (2 filings)	$22.75
3/9/2026	Filing	Stipulation to Continue the Hearing	$49.42
7/20/2026	Filing	File & ServeXpress: Inv. 202508115921901 - Opposition and Declarations Filing	$54.10
7/20/2026	Filing	File & ServeXpress: Inv. 202507115921901 - Plaintiff's Response Filing	$84.70
N/A	Admin	Legal Research Database Fee	$350
N/A	Admin	Eve Platform Enrollment (GAI Document/Case Management Tool)	$350
TOTAL			**$12,461.25**

King & Siegel LLP

Exhibit 1

FeeDate	Staff	Subject	Billing Hrs
10/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
10/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.4
10/5/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
10/1/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
9/30/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
9/15/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) v3 created, then edited	1
9/15/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
9/15/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) v2 reviewed	0.1
9/14/2026	Brent Boos	Appearance at Case Management Conference	0.6
9/10/2026	Elliot Siegel	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.5
9/4/2026	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	1
9/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
9/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	2026-09-02 Brinker Joint CMC Statement (v2DRAFT) reviewed	0.1
8/31/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Notice to Court and Follow Up on Amended Complaint Filing	0.1
8/28/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
8/28/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
8/25/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
8/19/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
8/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
8/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Signed Settlement Agreement - Susan Rodgers	0.2
7/13/2026	Elliot Siegel	Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU [Final] reviewed	0.1
7/13/2026	Elliot Siegel	Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004) [final] reviewed	0.1
6/23/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
6/23/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
6/17/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
6/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Notice to Court and Follow Up on Amended Complaint Filing	0.1
6/10/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Notice to Court and Follow Up on Amended Complaint Filing	0.2
6/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
5/29/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.3
5/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.2
5/11/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
5/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
5/4/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
5/1/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/30/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
4/29/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
4/29/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1

4/29/2026	Elliot Siegel	12 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.2
4/28/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/28/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
4/27/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/23/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Automatic reply: Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/23/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/23/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Do you happen to have a sample expert declaration in support of preliminary approval?	0.1
4/22/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/22/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/22/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.3
4/21/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.1
4/21/2026	Elliot Siegel	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Escalator Clause	0.4
4/20/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/20/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/20/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Stip to Continue PAGA Deadlines	0.1
4/20/2026	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Stip to Continue PAGA Deadlines	0.5
4/17/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/16/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/16/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Stip to Continue PAGA Deadlines	0.1
4/15/2026	Elliot Siegel	Rodgers_Individual_Agreement (Final) edited	0.1
4/15/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/15/2026	Elliot Siegel	8 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.2
4/14/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Settlement Agreement	0.1
4/14/2026	Elliot Siegel	Rodgers_Individual_Agreement (KS) created	0.1
4/14/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
4/14/2026	Elliot Siegel	8 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
4/14/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
4/13/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/13/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) v2 reviewed	0.1
4/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding You've been copied on Declaration in Support of Approval Motion - signed by Susan Rodgers	0.2
4/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) v2 edited	0.1
4/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Stip to Continue PAGA Deadlines	0.1
4/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Stip to Continue PAGA Deadlines	0.1
4/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) v2 edited	0.1
4/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
4/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/8/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Stip to Continue PAGA Deadlines	0.1

4/8/2026	Elliot Siegel	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - Stip to Continue PAGA Deadlines	0.1
4/8/2026	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/8/2026	Elliot Siegel	16 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.5
4/8/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) v2 edited and reviewed	1
4/7/2026	Elliot Siegel	DRAFT Rodgers Decl ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.1
4/7/2026	Elliot Siegel	DRAFT Rodgers Decl ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) v2 edited	0.1
4/7/2026	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
4/7/2026	Elliot Siegel	13 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.3
4/7/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.5
4/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.1
4/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	9 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
4/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
4/2/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/2/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
4/1/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.3
3/30/2026	Elliot Siegel	2026-01-29 Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement (KC)(AR)(AR)(Brinker 3.12.26) reviewed	0.1
3/30/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/30/2026	Elliot Siegel	7 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.3
3/30/2026	Elliot Siegel	Rodgers_Individual_Agreement edited	0.1
3/24/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/18/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
3/16/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/11/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/10/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/10/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
3/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	Proposed Order re Bryant Stip to Continue PAGA Approval Hearing edited	0.3
3/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding San Francisco Superior Court case no. CGC-23-608589 (Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.)	0.1
3/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
3/2/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
2/27/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1

2/26/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
2/26/2026	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.2
2/25/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
2/24/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/24/2026	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/24/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
2/23/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/23/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/22/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/20/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding You've been copied on Rodgers Declaration ISO Approval Motion - signed by Susan Rodgers	0.1
2/20/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/19/2026	Elliot Siegel	DRAFT Rodgers Decl ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.1
2/19/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/19/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval - PAGA Agreement and Stip to Amend Bryant	0.1
2/19/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.6
2/18/2026	Elliot Siegel	2026-01-29 Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement (KC)(AR)(AR) reviewed	0.1
2/18/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/18/2026	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/18/2026	Elliot Siegel	DRAFT Rodgers Decl ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.1
2/17/2026	Melissa Rinehart	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.1
2/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	2026-01-29 Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement (KC)(AR) (KS) created, then edited	0.3
2/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.3
2/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	2026-02-11 Bryant FAC - Add Saldana Hale Gomez (PAGA Only) (REDLINE) edited	0.3
2/11/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.2
2/10/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/4/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
2/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.2
2/3/2026	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.4
1/30/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1666667
1/29/2026	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.2
1/26/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.2
1/22/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
1/22/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
1/22/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
1/22/2026	Elliot Siegel	appear at ISC	0.5
1/21/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Service of Documents - Joint Case Management Statement	0.2
1/21/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding CGC23608589 - Bryant et al v Brinker Restaurant Corp. - Remote Appearances	0.1

1/14/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker JPA	0.2
1/13/2026	Elliot Siegel	10 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
1/13/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker JPA	0.1
1/12/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker JPA	0.1
1/11/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker JPA	0.1
1/9/2026	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker JPA	0.1
1/7/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Service of Documents- Stipulation to Continue Deadlines	0.1
1/7/2026	Elliot Siegel	8 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Service of Documents- Stipulation to Continue Deadlines	0.2
1/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Service of Documents- Stipulation to Continue Deadlines	0.1
1/6/2026	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Service of Documents- Stipulation to Continue Deadlines	0.1
1/5/2026	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Service of Documents- Stipulation to Continue Deadlines	0.1
1/5/2026	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker JPA	0.1
12/19/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
12/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
12/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker Approval	0.1
12/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-12-05 Brinker JPA_kr (pkh) reviewed	0.1
12/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker JPA and Stip	0.1
12/11/2025	Melissa Rinehart	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977 - Confirmation of Case Status Ch	0.3
12/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.6
12/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	Supplemental Brief ISO Approval (Rodgers) edited	0.4
12/3/2025	Elliot Siegel	AMENDED Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225 created, then reviewed	0.2
12/3/2025	Elliot Siegel	Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU [Final] reviewed	0.1
12/3/2025	Elliot Siegel	callwith plaintiffs counsel and defense counsel re potential for new MOU and responses to Court Order	0.2
12/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl. ISO Approval (Rodgers v. Brinkers) edited	0.8
12/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977 - Preliminary Hearing Availability	0.1
12/2/2025	Melissa Rinehart	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977 - Preliminary Hearing Availability	0.1
12/2/2025	Melissa Rinehart	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977 - Reaffirming the Arbitrator	0.1
12/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	review court order re mtn for approval	0.2
12/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana v. Brinker, Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker -- steps going forward re MOU Approval	0.1
11/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.1
11/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana v. Brinker, Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker -- steps going forward re MOU Approval	0.1
11/24/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana v. Brinker, Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker -- steps going forward re MOU Approval	0.1
11/21/2025	Elliot Siegel	prep and attend hearing	2.7
11/20/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
11/20/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Appearances - Bryant, Rodgers v. Brinker CGC-23-608589	0.2

11/20/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Appearances - Bryant, Rodgers v. Brinker CGC-23-608589	0.2
11/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.1
11/18/2025	Melissa Rinehart	Services provided on 11/18/2025	0.2
11/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
11/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.; Case Nos. CGC-23-608589/CGC-21-597124 - Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims	0.1
11/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025.11.13 DRAFT Joint CMC Statement edited	0.2
11/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant & Saldana v Brinker - Joint CMC Statement	0.1
11/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025.11.13 DRAFT Joint CMC Statement (KS) created, then edited	0.1
11/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.1
10/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding brinker objection	0.2
10/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl ISO Obj to PAGA Settlement (Rodgers) - FINAL edited	0.1
10/30/2025	Melissa Rinehart	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.1
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-10-22 Rodgers Objection to PAGA Settlement (AR)(pkh)(FWS) reviewed	0.3
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	Attestation Arguments reviewed	0.1
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	Opp to Motions to Sever (Rodgers) reviewed	0.2
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl ISO Obj to PAGA Settlement (Rodgers) edited	0.1
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding brinker objection	0.1
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	11 emails sent/reviewed regarding brinker objection	0.9
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	Objs to PAGA Settlement (Rodgers) edited	0.5
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-10-22 Rodgers Objection to PAGA Settlement (AR)(pkh)(KS) created, then edited	0.9
10/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-10-22 Rodgers Objection to PAGA Settlement (AR)(pkh)(clean) KS reviewed	0.1
10/28/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-10-22 Rodgers Objection to PAGA Settlement (AR)(pkh)(clean) KS edited	0.3
10/28/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding brinker objection	0.1
10/27/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.1
10/27/2025	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - 2025-10-22 Rodgers Objection to PAGA Settlement (AR)(pkh)(clean)	0.1
10/27/2025	Elliot Siegel	9 emails sent/reviewed regarding brinker objection	0.4
10/27/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-10-22 Rodgers Objection to PAGA Settlement (AR)(pkh)(clean) KS edited	0.2
10/27/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.	0.1
10/27/2025	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.1
10/27/2025	Melissa Rinehart	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.1
10/24/2025	Melissa Rinehart	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.9
10/21/2025	Melissa Rinehart	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.1
10/9/2025	Melissa Rinehart	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.3
9/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	Joint Statement re Representation (Rodgers) redline created, then edited	0.1
9/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Susan Rodgers v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation; - Case 01-25-0003-7977	0.1
9/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.; Case Nos. CGC-23-608589/CGC-21-597124 - Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims	0.1

9/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	10 emails sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.; Case Nos. CGC-23-608589/CGC-21-597124 - Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims	0.5
9/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	Joint Statement re Representation (Rodgers) [Final] created, then edited	0.3
9/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	Joint Statement re Representation (Rodgers) v2 created, then edited	0.2
9/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. vs. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al. Case No. CGC-23-608589	0.1
9/24/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.3
9/24/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.; Case Nos. CGC-23-608589/CGC-21-597124 - Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims	0.1
9/22/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. vs. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al. Case No. CGC-23-608589	0.1
9/22/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.; Case Nos. CGC-23-608589/CGC-21-597124 - Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims	0.2
9/22/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
9/19/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
9/19/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.; Case Nos. CGC-23-608589/CGC-21-597124 - Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims	0.1
9/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.1
9/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	Joint Statement re Representation (Rodgers) edited	0.4
9/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.; Case Nos. CGC-23-608589/CGC-21-597124 - Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims	0.1
9/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
9/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	appear at hearing re severance and CMC	0.9
9/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.; Case Nos. CGC-23-608589/CGC-21-597124 - Defendants' Motion to Sever Plaintiffs' Claims	0.1
9/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - 2025.09.11 Joint Case Management Conference Statement (KS) v2_kr	0.1
9/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025.09.11 Joint Case Management Conference Statement (KS) v3 created, then edited	0.1
9/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant v. Brinker/ Saldana v. Brinker -- Joint CMC Statement	0.1
9/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	7 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant v. Brinker/ Saldana v. Brinker -- Joint CMC Statement	0.5
9/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025.09.11 Joint Case Management Conference Statement (KS) v2 created, then edited	0.1
9/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025.09.11 Joint Case Management Conference Statement (KS) created, then edited	0.2
9/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers v. Brinker - Demand for Arbitration	0.1
9/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
9/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.1
8/28/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana v Brinker - Service of Documents - Reply to Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.1
8/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.1
8/15/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.2
8/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-08-11 Rodgers Opp to Motions to Sever (DRAFT) [final] created, then edited	0.1
8/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers Finals	0.1
8/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	Rodgers Decl (Rodgers) v2 edited	0.3

8/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-08-11 Rodgers Opp to Motions to Sever (DRAFT) v3 created, then edited	0.3
8/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.1
8/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.1
8/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl ISO Opp to motion to Sever (Rodgers) edited	0.3
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	Rodgers Decl (Rodgers) edited	0.1
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-08-11 Rodgers Opp to Motions to Sever (DRAFT) v2 (further) edited	0.3
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-08-11 Rodgers Opp to Motions to Sever (DRAFT) v1.5 edited	0.1
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-08-11 Rodgers Opp to Motions to Sever (DRAFT) v2 created, then edited	0.3
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers v. Brinker - Demand for Arbitration	0.1
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	Siegel Decl ISO Opp to motion to Sever (Rodgers) edited	0.5
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	Rodgers Decl (Rodgers) v2 edited	0.1
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.1
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	7 emails sent/reviewed regarding Opposition to Motion to Sever	0.4
8/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-08-11 Rodgers Opp to Motions to Sever (DRAFT) v2 created, then edited	1.7
8/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.1
8/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	Demand for Arbitration (Rodgers v. Brinker) edited	0.2
8/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding AAA DOCUMENT RECEIPT- 012500037977	0.1
8/6/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.2
8/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
8/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
8/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
7/31/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
7/31/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.2
7/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.6
7/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.1
7/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.2
7/23/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Veronica Saldana v. Brinker/Rodgers v. Brinker	0.4
7/23/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Saldana v. Brinker Restaurant; Approval Motion	0.1
7/22/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
7/22/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
7/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	call with mia	0.3
7/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.4
7/8/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Ahmone Bryant and Susan Rodgers, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al. - Case No. CGC-23-608589	0.1
7/8/2025	Elliot Siegel	attend CMC; prep for same.	0.9
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding CGC-23-608589; CGC-21-597124	0.2
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding CGC-23-608589; CGC-21-597124	0.2
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-06-30 Pltf Statement (Alt) v2 created, then edited and reviewed	1.4

7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRINKER CASES	0.3
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-06-30 Pltf Statement edited	0.2
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Missed Deadlines and Missing Materials Re: Ahmone Bryant and Susan Rodgers, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al. - Case No. CGC-23-608589	0.1
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	11 emails sent/reviewed regarding Missed Deadlines and Missing Materials Re: Ahmone Bryant and Susan Rodgers, et al. v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al. - Case No. CGC-23-608589	0.6
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-06-30 Pltf Statement (Alt) created, then edited	0.1
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-06-30 Pltf Statement (Alt)(pkh) edited and reviewed	0.2
7/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - 2025-06-30 Pltf Statement (Alt) v2 (pkh)	0.1
6/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodgers	0.1
6/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	Joint Case Management Statement (03.11.2025) (KS) reviewed	0.1
6/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	2025-06-30 Pltf Statement created, then edited	0.7
6/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding CGC-23-608589; CGC-21-597124	0.2
6/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding CGC-23-608589; CGC-21-597124	0.1
6/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
6/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
6/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
6/12/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.3
6/12/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call From: Suzie Rodgers	0.1
6/12/2025	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.1
6/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
6/10/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRINKER CASES	0.2
6/10/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Demand for Compliance or Withdrawal.	0.1
6/10/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Demand for Compliance or Withdrawal.	0.1
6/10/2025	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - 2025.06.10 Termination of Co-counsel Agreement	0.1
6/9/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Demand for Compliance or Withdrawal.	0.2
6/6/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRINKER CASES	0.1
6/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRINKER CASES	0.1
5/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
5/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
5/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Terrones v. TRB, LLC d/b/a Tin Roof Bistro	0.1
5/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Ana Molina v. Lattco Services, Inc./Valerie Latta	0.1
5/29/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU Final	0.1
5/8/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding 4-28-2025 - Brinker PAGA Settlement Agreement (KS) (002).docx	0.1
4/24/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement - 4-8-2025 final JL draft 4898-7529-7075 v.2.docx	0.1
4/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	4-16-2025 - Brinker PAGA Settlement Agreement (KS) created, then edited	0.4
4/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement - 4-8-2025 final JL draft 4898-7529-7075 v.2.docx	0.2
4/15/2025	Elliot Siegel	Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement - 4-8-2025 final JL draft 4898-7529-7075 v.2 reviewed	0.1

4/15/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement - 4-8-2025 final JL draft 4898-7529-7075 v.2.docx	0.1
4/15/2025	Elliot Siegel	Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement - 4-8-2025 final JL draft 4898-7529-7075 v.2 reviewed	0.2
4/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Saldana v. Brinker Restaurant; Approval Motion	0.1
4/7/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Ana Molina v. Lattco Services, Inc./Valerie Latta	0.1
4/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana/Brinker Confirmatory Data	0.1
4/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Ana Molina v. Lattco Services, Inc./Valerie Latta	0.1
4/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana/Brinker Confirmatory Data	0.1
4/1/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana/Brinker Confirmatory Data	0.1
3/27/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Jeff Kane Invoice	0.1
3/26/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding San Francisco Superior Court case no. CGC-23-608589 (Bryant v. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al.) - 3/11/25 CMC	0.1
3/25/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Edited Long Form Agreement	0.1
3/20/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Edited Long Form Agreement	0.3
3/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Edited Long Form Agreement	0.1
3/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Edited Long Form Agreement	0.1
3/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Edited Long Form Agreement	0.1
3/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker -- Settlement Agreement	0.1
3/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	Brinker.PAGA Settlement Agreement v2 edited	0.5
3/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	attend status conf; prep for same	0.4
3/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	Joint Case Management Statement (03.11.2025) (KS) created, then edited	0.1
3/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225.docx	0.1
3/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker - Joint Statement Due Tuesday	0.2
3/3/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker - Joint Statement Due Tuesday	0.1
2/26/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker - Joint Statement Due Tuesday	0.1
2/26/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker - Joint Statement Due Tuesday	0.1
2/26/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Veronica Saldana v. Brinker/Rodgers v. Brinker	0.1
2/26/2025	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Veronica Saldana v. Brinker/Rodgers v. Brinker	0.1
2/24/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU Final	0.1
2/21/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225.docx	0.1
2/21/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225.docx	0.1
2/21/2025	Elliot Siegel	DRAFT Stipulation of Settlement of Class Action (Rodgers v Brinker's) v2 reviewed	0.1
2/19/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225.docx	0.1
2/19/2025	Elliot Siegel	DRAFT Stipulation of Settlement of Class Action (Rodgers v Brinker's) v2 created, then edited	0.3
2/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225.docx	0.1
2/18/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana/Brinker Confirmatory Data	0.1
2/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225.docx	0.4
2/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225.docx	0.1
2/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_14_25 (001) final - CLG 021225.docx	0.1

2/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU [Final] created, then edited	0.1
2/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Veronica Saldana v. Brinker/Rodgers v. Brinker	0.1
2/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Veronica Saldana v. Brinker/Rodgers v. Brinker	0.2
2/12/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004).docx	0.1
2/12/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004).docx	0.1
2/12/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Administration bid; Veronica Saldana v. Brinker/Rodgers v. Brinker	0.1
2/11/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004).docx	0.1
2/10/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004).docx	0.1
2/10/2025	Elliot Siegel	8 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004).docx	0.1
2/9/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004).docx	0.1
2/7/2025	Elliot Siegel	Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004) [final] created, then edited	0.1
2/7/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004).docx	0.1
2/7/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004).docx	0.1
2/7/2025	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 2_7_25 (004) [final]	0.1
2/5/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU Revisions	0.1
2/5/2025	Elliot Siegel	7 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU Revisions	0.3
2/5/2025	Elliot Siegel	Saldana.Rodgers.Brinker.MOU DRAFT - 1-31-25 (Brinker edits) edited	0.6
2/5/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
2/5/2025	Elliot Siegel	call with o/c re MOU	0.1
2/4/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/31/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/31/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/30/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/28/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/27/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/24/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/24/2025	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/24/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT - 1-15-25 (KS) edited	0.3
1/23/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/23/2025	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/23/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT - 1-15-25 (KS) edited	0.7
1/22/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Filed PAGA Notice and Complaint for Saldana v Brinker	0.1
1/22/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/21/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Filed PAGA Notice and Complaint for Saldana v Brinker	0.1
1/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	Co-Counsel Agreement (Brinker Cases) edited	0.1
1/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Filed PAGA Notice and Complaint for Saldana v Brinker	0.1
1/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Filed PAGA Notice and Complaint for Saldana v Brinker	0.1

1/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT - 1-15-25 (KS) reviewed	0.1
1/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/17/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	Co-Counsel Agreement (Brinker Cases) edited	0.4
1/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Filed PAGA Notice and Complaint for Saldana v Brinker	0.1
1/16/2025	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Filed PAGA Notice and Complaint for Saldana v Brinker	0.1
1/15/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/15/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/15/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT - 1-15-25 (KS) created, then edited	0.2
1/15/2025	Elliot Siegel	call with o/c and co-counsel re MOU.	0.3
1/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
1/14/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT - 1_9_25 JL reviewed	0.2
1/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/13/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.2
1/10/2025	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/7/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/6/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/6/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/6/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT - 1/2/25 (redline) edited	0.1
1/6/2025	Elliot Siegel	DRAFT Amended PAGA Letter created, then edited	0.1
1/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2 reviewed	0.1
1/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, Ahmone v. Brinker Restaurant Corp, et al. SFSC Case No. CGC-23-608589	0.1
1/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-24-24 (JL)v.2.docx; Confidential Settlement Communication	0.1
1/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-10-24 LDJ reviewed	0.1
1/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT - 1/2/25 (redline) created, then edited	0.4
1/2/2025	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.2
12/30/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
12/26/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-10-24 LDJ reviewed	0.2
12/26/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
12/25/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.3
12/24/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
12/24/2024	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
12/24/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
12/24/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-10-24 LDJ reviewed	0.1
12/24/2024	Elliot Siegel	call with mediator and Robert Ottinger re MOU	0.4
12/23/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-4-2024 reviewed	0.1
12/17/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1

12/16/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
12/16/2024	Elliot Siegel	call with mediator and co-counsel re MOU and settlement	0.3
12/10/2024	Elliot Siegel	12.10.2024 DRAFT Amended PAGA Letter edited	0.4
12/10/2024	Elliot Siegel	7 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.4
12/10/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-10-24 LDJ edited	0.2
12/9/2024	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
12/9/2024	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
12/9/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, Ahmone v. Brinker Restaurant Corp, et al. SFSC Case No. CGC-23-608589	0.1
12/9/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-4-2024 reviewed	0.1
12/9/2024	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-3-2024 (KS)	0.1
12/9/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-3-2024 (KS) reviewed	0.1
12/5/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
12/3/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
12/3/2024	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
12/3/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT REDLINED - 12-3-2024 (KS) created, then edited	0.4
11/29/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/27/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.2
11/27/2024	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/26/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/26/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT 11.13.24 reviewed	0.1
11/25/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/21/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.3
11/20/2024	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/19/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.2
11/18/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/18/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/15/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/14/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/14/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
11/13/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/12/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/11/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/10/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/8/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/8/2024	Elliot Siegel	7 emails sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.6
11/8/2024	Elliot Siegel	Co-Counsel Agreement (Brinker Cases) created, then edited	0.3
11/8/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT 11.8.24 KS created, then edited	0.3
11/8/2024	Elliot Siegel	MOU DRAFT 11.8.24 LDJ edited	0.1

11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	Phone Call To: Suzie Rodgers	0.1
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Saldana et al v Brinker	0.1
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - 11.4.24 Plaintiffs mediation brief	0.2
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.1
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	11 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker	0.7
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.	0.1
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	prep for mediation; attend mediation; comm with co-counsel re same.	5.1
11/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	review mediation brief.	0.3
11/6/2024	Elliot Siegel	call with Robert Ottinger re mediation	0.2
11/6/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
10/31/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
10/30/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
10/28/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
10/22/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
10/18/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
10/16/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant, et al v Brinker Restaurant, et al	0.1
7/24/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
7/23/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
6/17/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
6/17/2024	Elliot Siegel	call with co-counsel	0.2
5/10/2024	Elliot Siegel	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.3
4/15/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
4/12/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
4/9/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
4/2/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
3/20/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
3/20/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker, et al. | Joint CMC Statement	0.1
3/11/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
3/11/2024	Elliot Siegel	call with co-counsel re case strategy	0.4
3/7/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
3/6/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
3/6/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
3/6/2024	Elliot Siegel	review court ruling, comm with cocounsel re same.	0.8
3/6/2024	Brent Boos	Prepared for and argued at MTC hearing	2
3/6/2024	Elliot Siegel	comm with BB re appearance	0.1
3/5/2024	Brent Boos	Rev'd MTC, oppo, reply, and arb agmt; prepped for MTC hearing	2.4

3/5/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
3/4/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker, et al. | Joint CMC Statement	0.1
3/4/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v. Brinker, et al. | Joint CMC Statement	0.2
3/4/2024	Elliot Siegel	Joint Case Management Statement (03.06.2024) edited	0.1
3/1/2024	Elliot Siegel	Pltfs' Opposition to MTC Arb. (Rodgers v Brinker's) reviewed	0.1
3/1/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding (no subject)	0.1
2/26/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/23/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/23/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodger's	0.1
2/23/2024	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Plaintiffs' Oppposition to Defendants' MTC2.22.24	0.1
2/22/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/22/2024	Elliot Siegel	8 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.2
2/22/2024	Elliot Siegel	Plaintiffs' Oppposition to Defendants' MTC2.22.24 reviewed	0.1
2/21/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/21/2024	Elliot Siegel	6 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.4
2/21/2024	Elliot Siegel	Plaintiffs' Oppposition to Defendants' MTC DRAFT (KS) created, then edited	0.4
2/21/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Call	0.1
2/21/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Rodger's	0.1
2/21/2024	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
2/21/2024	Elliot Siegel	Opp to MTC Arb (Rodgers) [Insert] reviewed	0.4
2/20/2024	Elliot Siegel	Opposition to Brinker's Motion to Compel Arbitration LDJ edited	0.7
2/20/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/20/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.2
2/15/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/15/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/15/2024	Elliot Siegel	Opp to MTC Arb (Rodgers) [Insert] edited	2.8
2/13/2024	Elliot Siegel	Opp to MTC Arb (Rodgers) [Insert] edited	0.8
2/13/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
2/13/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/13/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/13/2024	Elliot Siegel	review mtn arb (cont); call with co-counsel re same; prep opp; research re same.	1.1
2/12/2024	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Mtn to Compel Arb - BRANDON LOEFFLER Declaration; Exs A-H (Final)_Rv	0.1
2/12/2024	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/12/2024	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
2/12/2024	Elliot Siegel	review defs mtn to compel arb	0.5
2/9/2024	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding BRYANT / RODGERS v BRINKER INTERNATIONAL	0.1
1/31/2024	Elliot Siegel	Joint CMC Statement Template (Brinker) reviewed	0.1
12/12/2023	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Order re MTC Arb	0.1

12/12/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/29/2023	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Order re MTC Arb	0.1
10/29/2023	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Mtn to Compel Arb	0.1
10/29/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/29/2023	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/26/2023	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/26/2023	Elliot Siegel	attend cmc ; comm with co-counsel	0.5
10/25/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/23/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/19/2023	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Joint Case Management Statement 10.19.23	0.1
10/19/2023	Elliot Siegel	CMC Statement 10.18.23 edited	0.1
10/19/2023	Elliot Siegel	13 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.6
10/18/2023	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/18/2023	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Call	0.1
10/17/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Call	0.1
10/17/2023	Elliot Siegel	4 emails sent/reviewed regarding Brinker - Call	0.1
10/17/2023	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/17/2023	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.6
10/17/2023	Elliot Siegel	Joint CMC Statement Template (Brinker) reviewed	0.1
10/17/2023	Elliot Siegel	call with co-counsel re arb; call with opposing counsel re same.	0.6
10/16/2023	Elliot Siegel	7 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/13/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.1
10/11/2023	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Bryant/Rodgers v Brinker, et al	0.2
10/11/2023	Elliot Siegel	Email attachment reviewed - Susan Rodgers Arb Agreement	0.1
9/20/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker- Bryant and Rodgers PAGA	0.1
9/15/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker- Bryant and Rodgers PAGA	0.1
8/31/2023	Elliot Siegel	Services provided on 8/31/2023	0.1
8/24/2023	Elliot Siegel	Services provided on 8/24/2023	0.2
8/23/2023	Elliot Siegel	Services provided on 8/23/2023	0.2
8/21/2023	Elliot Siegel	comm with co-counsel; review complaint packet and complex designation re same	0.5
8/17/2023	Elliot Siegel	Services provided on 8/17/2023	0.1
8/16/2023	Elliot Siegel	Services provided on 8/16/2023	0.6
8/15/2023	Elliot Siegel	Services provided on 8/15/2023	0.1
8/11/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding The Court's complete tentative ruling on LINE 6 CGC-21-597124 VERONICA SALDANA VS. BRINKER RESTAURANT CORPORATION ET AL	0.1
8/9/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.	0.1
8/9/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding The Court's complete tentative ruling on LINE 6 CGC-21-597124 VERONICA SALDANA VS. BRINKER RESTAUI	0.1
8/4/2023	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.	0.1

8/4/2023	Elliot Siegel	call with co counsel		0.1
8/2/2023	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.		0.3
7/13/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.		0.3
7/12/2023	Elliot Siegel	FAC (PAGA Complaint) (FD 12-28-21) (KS) reviewed		0.4
7/12/2023	Elliot Siegel	5 emails sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.		0.2
7/12/2023	Elliot Siegel	2023.07.10 DRAFT Amended PAGA Letter (Saldana v. Chili's) (KS) created, then edited		0.2
7/10/2023	Elliot Siegel	3 emails sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.		0.1
5/26/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Brinker (Chillis)		0.1
5/22/2023	Elliot Siegel	2 emails sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.		0.1
5/22/2023	Elliot Siegel	FAC (PAGA Complaint) (FD 12-28-21) (KS) edited		0.4
5/18/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.		0.1
5/12/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.		0.1
5/9/2023	Elliot Siegel	call with co-counsel		0.2
5/8/2023	Elliot Siegel	1 email sent/reviewed regarding Veronica Saldana vs. Brinker Restaurant Corp. et. al.	CGC-21-597124	0.2
5/4/2023	Elliot Siegel	review client personnel records		0.6
2/16/2023	Elliot Siegel	intake call with client ; review documents re same; research re same.		1
		TOTAL		**124.2**

Exhibit 2

LAFFEY MATRIX

History

Case Law

See the Matrix

Contact us

Home

			Years Out of Law School *				
Year	Adjustmt Factor**	Paralegal/ Law Clerk	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20 +
6/01/25- 5/31/26	1.075336	$277	$508	$625	$902	$1,019	$1,227
6/01/24- 5/31/25	1.080182	$258	$473	$581	$839	$948	$1,141
6/01/23- 5/31/24	1.059295	$239	$437	$538	$777	$878	$1,057
6/01/22- 5/31/23	1.085091	$225	$413	$508	$733	$829	$997
6/01/21- 5/31/22	1.006053	$208	$381	$468	$676	$764	$919
6/01/20- 5/31/21	1.015894	$206	$378	$465	$672	$759	$914
6/01/19- 5/31/20	1.0049	$203	$372	$458	$661	$747	$899
6/01/18- 5/31/19	1.0350	$202	$371	$455	$658	$742	$894
6/01/17- 5/31/18	1.0463	$196	$359	$440	$636	$717	$864
6/01/16- 5/31/17	1.0369	$187	$343	$421	$608	$685	$826
6/01/15- 5/31/16	1.0089	$180	$331	$406	$586	$661	$796
6/01/14- 5/31/15	1.0235	$179	$328	$402	$581	$655	$789
6/01/13- 5/31/14	1.0244	$175	$320	$393	$567	$640	$771
6/01/12- 5/31/13	1.0258	$170	$312	$383	$554	$625	$753
6/01/11- 5/31/12	1.0352	$166	$305	$374	$540	$609	$734
6/01/10- 5/31/11	1.0337	$161	$294	$361	$522	$589	$709
6/01/09- 5/31/10	1.0220	$155	$285	$349	$505	$569	$686
6/01/08- 5/31/09	1.0399	$152	$279	$342	$494	$557	$671
6/01/07-5/31/08	1.0516	$146	$268	$329	$475	$536	$645
6/01/06-5/31/07	1.0256	$139	$255	$313	$452	$509	$614
6/1/05-5/31/06	1.0427	$136	$249	$305	$441	$497	$598
6/1/04-5/31/05	1.0455	$130	$239	$293	$423	$476	$574
6/1/03-6/1/04	1.0507	$124	$228	$280	$405	$456	$549
6/1/02-5/31/03	1.0727	$118	$217	$267	$385	$434	$522
6/1/01-5/31/02	1.0407	$110	$203	$249	$359	$404	$487
6/1/00-5/31/01	1.0529	$106	$195	$239	$345	$388	$468
6/1/99-5/31/00	1.0491	$101	$185	$227	$328	$369	$444
6/1/98-5/31/99	1.0439	$96	$176	$216	$312	$352	$424
6/1/97-5/31/98	1.0419	$92	$169	$207	$299	$337	$406

6/1/96-5/31/97	1.0396	$88	$162	$198	$287	$323	$389
6/1/95-5/31/96	1.032	$85	$155	$191	$276	$311	$375
6/1/94-5/31/95	1.0237	$82	$151	$185	$267	$301	$363

The methodology of calculation and benchmarking for this Updated Laffey Matrix has been approved in a number of cases. See, e.g.,DL v. District of Columbia, 267 F.Supp.3d 55, 69 (D.D.C. 2017)

* ï¿½Years Out of Law Schoolï¿½ is calculated from June 1 of each year, when most law students graduate. ï¿½1-3" includes an attorney in his 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of practice, measured from date of graduation (June 1). ï¿½4-7" applies to attorneys in their 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th years of practice. An attorney who graduated in May 1996 would be in tier ï¿½1-3" from June 1, 1996 until May 31, 1999, would move into tier ï¿½4-7" on June 1, 1999, and tier ï¿½8-10" on June 1, 2003.

** The Adjustment Factor refers to the nation-wide Legal Services Component of the Consumer Price Index produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

Exhibit 3

ALM LEGAL INTELLIGENCE

2015 NLJ Billing Survey

Source: National Law Journal

Category: National Law Journal

ALM Legal Intelligence, in association with The National Law Journal, reached out to law firms to provide a range of hourly billing rates for partners, associates, of counsel, and paralegals. For firms that did not report their rates to ALI directly, in many cases we were able to supplement rate data derived from public records. This year, we have anonyomized the rates and broken the data out by firm size and region.

Hourly Billing Rates for 2015

	High	Low	Median	High	Low	Median	High	Low	Median	High	Low	Median
Overall Hourly Rates	$1,295	$90	$395	$950	$50	$350	$1,120	$125	$350	$325	$25	$125

Rates by Firm Size

1 - 25 lawyers	$1,080	$90	$350	$950	$90	$300	$645	$125	$350	$325	$25	$115
26 - 150 lawyers	$1,050	$190	$460	$900	$100	$300	$620	$225	$393	$305	$75	$173
151 or more lawyers	$1,295	$100	$595	$975	$125	$325	$1,120	$270	$610	$325	$35	$220

Rates by State

AL	$725	$200	$375	$375	$175	$300	$495	$290	$393	n/a	n/a	n/a
AZ	$750	$125	$375	$750	$175	$250	$750	$250	$300	$250	$75	$125
CA	$1,080	$200	$495	$950	$300	$350	$595	$175	$450	$325	$25	$150
CO	$893	$350	$443	$642	$150	$325	$400	$325	$363	$285	$75	$158
CT	$1,200	$295	$350	$625	$175	$350	$550	$325	$438	$290	$75	$100
DC	$1,095	$975	$1,035	$655	$350	$375	$775	$275	$750	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	$1,050	$295	$650	$850	$260	$388	$525	$260	$275	$305	$125	$235
FL	$625	$175	$375	$525	$100	$300	n/a	n/a	n/a	$255	$65	$123
GA	$500	$250	$358	$450	$110	$275	$250	$240	$245	$160	$50	$120
IL	$985	$200	$420	$710	$150	$300	$1,120	$395	$430	$215	$75	$120
IN	$400	$250	$305	$400	$200	$275	$300	$225	$295	$220	$90	$100
KY	$340	$200	$290	$350	$200	$275	n/a	n/a	n/a	$150	$75	$105
LA	$575	$150	$333	$500	$100	$250	$425	$200	$350	$285	$45	$83
MA	$650	$300	$475	$500	$260	$350	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MD	$560	$250	$363	$580	$150	$325	$350	$250	$275	$280	$75	$125
MI	$375	$190	$265	$400	$125	$275	n/a	n/a	n/a	$125	$75	$103
NC	$675	$250	$425	$435	$150	$275	n/a	n/a	n/a	$180	$75	$110
NJ	$880	$250	$400	$400	$150	$298	$565	$225	$325	$195	$65	$120
NM	n/a	n/a	n/a	$350	$175	$200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	$450	$295	$375	$500	$200	$325	n/a	n/a	n/a	$240	$75	$152
NY	$1,295	$100	$420	$975	$90	$350	$930	$250	$573	$325	$60	$130
OH	$545	$250	$313	$330	$155	$250	n/a	n/a	n/a	$135	$85	$100
OR	$485	$315	$370	$325	$230	$300	$450	$310	$380	$220	$145	$185
PA	$875	$200	$350	$565	$86	$257	$440	$300	$325	$325	$75	$105
PR*	$300	$100	$200	$350	$100	$200	$250	$125	$188	$150	$45	$75
TN	$735	$225	$300	$350	$150	$250	$300	$270	$300	$150	$50	$90
TX	$925	$90	$395	$650	$150	$298	$740	$225	$320	$290	$35	$100
VA	$545	$220	$335	$495	$175	$295	$400	$300	$350	$325	$75	$95
WA	$965	$275	$460	$375	$150	$350	n/a	n/a	n/a	$215	$125	$143
WI	$595	$560	$578	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

n/a: data not available
*Puerto Rico is a U.S. Territory

Exhibit 4

April 08, 2026

Marisol Contreras
724 South Spring Street
Los Angeles CA 90014

Fee summary for 00620

$378.50

Grand Total

Date	User	Service	Description	Total
11/07/2025	Josue Avila	CA : File To Existing	#77728873 - Susan Rodgers v Brinker International Inc: Objections (2 filings)	$22.75
11/03/2025	Josue Avila	InfoTrack : Courtesy Copy	Objections (2 documents)	$85.00
09/25/2025	Josue Avila	InfoTrack : Courtesy Copy	Other (1 document)	$85.00
09/25/2025	Josue Avila	CA : File To Existing	#77176438 - Susan Rodgers v Brinker International Inc: Other (1 filing)	$20.75
09/17/2025	Josue Avila	InfoTrack : Courtesy Copy	Opposition (3 documents)	$165.00

© 2026 InfoTrack US, Inc. All rights reserved.

5341 Old Redwood Highway Suite 310
Petaluma, CA 94954
1-800-938-8815 ext. 1
TIN: 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	3/9/2026
Customer	0126627
Credit Sale	08129120
Amount Due	$0.00

Bill To
King & Siegel LLP 600 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 500 Los Angeles CA 90017

One Legal Order Number	27577917
Contact	Marisol Contreras
Attorney Name	Elliot Siegel
Billing Code	00620
Case Title	Byrant, Ahmone et al vs Brinker Restaurant Corp et al
Case Number	CGC-23-608589
Court	Superior Court of California, San Francisco County
Court Transaction Number	78566470
Documents	Stipulation and Order

STATUTORY DISBURSEMENTS*	AMOUNT
Court Convenience Fee**	$3.80
Court Filing Fee	$20.00
SUBTOTAL	**$23.80**

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eFiling Charge	$17.95
Disbursement Administration Charge	$1.00
eServe Charge	$5.00
Convenience Fee‡	$1.67
SUBTOTAL	**$25.62**

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
Disbursements	$23.80
One Legal Fees	$25.62
Sales Tax	$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	**$49.42**

Past due balance may be charged a late payment fee and/or a late charge of up to 1.5% per month (18% per annum).
* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.
** The court levies a surcharge on all its mandatory fees. The surcharge varies depending on the court.

CourtCall®

2050 W. 190th Street
Suite 105A
Torrance, CA 90504
Phone: (888) 882-6878
CourtCall.com

INVOICE / RECEIPT

03/07/2024 07:27 AM

All amounts below are in **U.S. Dollars**

COURTCALL ID: 11801550
CASE NUMBER/NAME: 608589 / Ahmone Bryant, et al vs. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al

CUSTOMER REF. NUMBER: 00620
PROCEEDING: CMC/Motion
DATE OF HEARING: Wednesday, March 6th, 2024 at 9:30 AM PT
FIRM NAME: King & Siegel LLP
ATTORNEY/PARTY APPEARING: Brent R. Boos
TELEPHONE: (909) 287-4641
FAX/EMAIL:
BASIC FEE/LATE FEE: $72.00
AMOUNT PAID: $72.00
PAYMENT NOW DUE: $0.00

Payment Details

Method	Type	Amount	Reference	Date/Time
Credit	Charge	$72.00	Visa ending in 6972	3/7/2024 7:26:46AM

If the 'Payment Now Due' indicated above is zero, no payment is due and this receipt is for your records only; otherwise, the amount indicated is now due.

If there is an amount due, please remit your payment upon receipt of this notice. If you have already sent payment please contact our Accounting Department as our records do not reflect receipt of payment at the time this notice was sent. IF PAYMENT IS NOT RECEIVED, FUTURE SERVICE MAY BE DENIED AND/OR THE COURT MAY BE ADVISED OF YOUR REFUSAL TO PAY.

PAYMENT BY CREDIT CARD or COURTCALL DEBIT ACCOUNT: Payment can be made online by registering and logging in at www.courtcall.com or by calling (888) 882-6878 and selecting option '5' for the Accounting Department. Please have your CourtCall Debit Account number or complete credit card billing address in addition to the credit card number, expiration date and cardholder's full name available when making payment.

PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN THE PORTION BELOW IF PAYING BY CHECK OR MONEY ORDER

PAYMENT BY CHECK OR MONEY ORDER: If a balance is due detach and mail this payment section with your check, payable to: **CourtCall LLC, 2050 W. 190th Street, Ste 105A, Torrance, CA 90504.** Please write the CourtCall ID number in the memo section of your check. Please note: CourtCall does not accept personal checks.

COURTCALL ID: 11801550
CASE NAME/NUMBER: 608589 / Ahmone Bryant, et al vs. Brinker Restaurant Corporation, et al

CUSTOMER REF. NUMBER: 00620
PROCEEDING: CMC/Motion
DATE OF HEARING: Wednesday, March 6th, 2024 at 9:30 AM PT
FIRM NAME: King & Siegel LLP
ATTORNEY/PARTY APPEARING: Brent R. Boos
TELEPHONE: (909) 287-4641

Copyright © 2024 CourtCall, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

From: The Ottinger Firm, PC (via Clio Notifications)
To: Marisol Contreras
Subject: Payment submitted for bill 3865
Date: Wednesday, April 23, 2025 4:42:10 PM
Attachments: cardsvisa.png
powered-byclio.png
logo.png
clio-border.png

<!--[if mso gte 12]> <![endif]-->

Confirmation #3KNNK4D6

Payment to The Ottinger Firm, PC

A payment was submitted to The Ottinger Firm, PC. It will be reflected in your bank account within 1-2 business days.

Name	Veronica Saldana
Description	Clio Payment applied to client balance for Veronica Saldana
Date	Apr 23, 2025, 04:42 PM PDT

Visa ending in 6555
Marisol Contreras - marisol@kingsiegel.com

Bill 3865	$11,000.00
Total payment	**$11,000.00**

This payment method is authorized to be stored securely for future use per the terms of the fee agreement. Contact The Ottinger Firm, PC for questions.

Transactions will appear on your statement as a payment to **THE OTTINGER FIRM, PC**

Keep this email for your records. For inquiries, please contact The Ottinger Firm, PC.

This is an automated message. Please do not reply to this email.

Invoice

Date: April 09, 2026
Invoice Number: 20260409-3YCVPT

Eve Legal
71 E 3rd Ave
San Mateo, CA 94401

Billed To:
King & Siegel LLP
724 S. Spring Street
Ste. 201
Los Angeles, CA 90014
+1 2134654802

Matter Name	Amount
00620 - Rodgers	$350.00
Total	**$350.00**