

1 Thomas D. Rutledge (SBN 200497)
2 Attorney-at-Law
3 16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
4 Rancho Santa Fe, California 92091-4606
5 Telephone: (619) 886-7224
6 thomasrutledgelaw@gmail.com

7 Attorneys for the Plaintiffs and the provisionally Certified Class (Cont. next page)

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO-CENTRAL DIVISION

10 JENNIFER MACHADO and NADIA)
11 SCURRY, individually and as the State)
12 of California's designated proxies)
13 pursuant to the Private Attorneys)
14 General Act (PAGA) on behalf of the)
15 State of California and other aggrieved)
16 employees,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 K LAP6 TECHNOLOGIES LLC, a)
20 California limited liability company;)
21 IEM INTERNATIONAL, INC., a)
22 Delaware corporation; and DOES 1)
23 through 50,

24 Defendants.

Case No.: 37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-
CTL(Consolidated with Case No. 37-
2023-00022638)

**DECLARATION OF THOMAS D.
RUTLEDGE ISO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTIONS FOR FINAL APPROVAL
AND REQUEST FOR ATTORNEYS'
FEES, COSTS, INCENTIVE
AWARDS FOR THE CLASS
REPRESENTATIVES, AND
ADMINISTRATION EXPENSES**

Judge: Hon. Katherine A. Bacal
Dept.: 63
Hearing Date: Nov. 7, 2025
Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

[Decs. of Aaron A. Bartz, Settlement
Admin., Jennifer Machado; Not. of Mot
and Mem. of P&A's, and [Proposed]
Order Filed Concurrently Herewith]

Aaron A. Bartz (SBN 198722)
BARTZ LAW GROUP, APC
5151 California Ave., Suite 100
Irvine, California 92617
Tel: (949) 504-4413 / Fax: (949) 656-7760
Email: aaron@bartzlawgroup.com

Walter L. Haines, Esq. (SBN 71075)
UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP, PC
8605 Santa Monica Blvd., #63354
West Hollywood, CA 90069
Tel.: (562) 256-1047 / Fax: (562) 256-1006
Email: walter@uelglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DECLARATION OF THOMAS D. RUTLEDGE

I, THOMAS D. RUTLEDGE, declare and state as follows:

1. I am counsel of record for the named Plaintiffs, the preliminary approved Class, and I represent the interests of the State of California (i.e., the Labor Workforce Development Agency (LWDA)) with respect to the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA) claims, in the above-captioned matter. As such, I am fully familiar with the facts, pleadings, and history of this matter. The following facts are within my own personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could testify competently to the matters stated herein.

2. This declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval and for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Incentive Awards for the Class Representatives, and Settlement Administration Expenses.

Experience and Views of Counsel.

3. During all times relevant, the Law Office of Thomas D. Rutledge consist of me, one associate, several paralegals and support staff during all times relevant to this litigation.

4. I am the originating attorney in this action and during all times relevant I

1 served as the attorney of record for the named Plaintiffs in the above-entitled action.

2 5. My office is in the County of San Diego.

3 6. I have enjoyed the privilege of practicing law for 30 years.

4 7. Before practicing law, I served the public in a variety of capacities.

5 8. From about April 1987 to April 1994, for example, I served in the U.S.
6 Army National Guard as a Private First Class in a combat engineer's unit and in 1990,
7 after receiving my commission from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts's Officers
8 Candidate School, I served as a field artillery officer, mortar platoon leader, and
9 infantry company executive officer in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and
10 Pennsylvania Army National Guard.

11 9. In 1990, I earned a B.A. in History and Political Science from the
12 University of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts.

13 10. In 1992, I earned a C.S.S. in Management and Administration from
14 Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

15 11. In 1995, I earned a J.D. from Temple University and became a member
16 of the State Bars of Florida and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

17 12. In 1999, I earned admission to the California Bar.

18 13. I am an active member of the California Employment Lawyers
19 Association and the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego (CASD) and the California
20 Employment Lawyers Association.

21 14. I am a former member of the San Diego County Bar Association
22 (SDBA) and Co-Chairman for the SDBA Labor and Employment Law Section.

23 15. I am a former Board of Director for the CASD.

24 16. I am a former U.S. Navy Judge Advocate General's (JAG) Corps
25 attorney.

26 17. From 1995 to 2008, I served on active duty (1995-2002) and in the
27 reserve (2002-2008) in various capacities as a prosecutor, defense attorney, tax
28 officer, and staff judge advocate in the U.S. Navy JAG Corps handling hundreds of

1 criminal and civil litigation matters and preparing thousands of state and federal tax
2 returns.

3 18. I served a total of 20 years in the U.S. military honorably retiring from
4 the U.S. Naval Reserve in 2008.

5 19. From 2002 to 2004, I was a litigation associate at Christensen, Miller,
6 Fink, Jacobs, Glaser, Weil & Shapiro LLP in Los Angeles, California, a firm of more
7 than 100 lawyers at that time, working on complex litigation.

8 20. From 2004 to 2009, I was a litigation associate for Branton & Wilson,
9 APC, a firm of more than 15 lawyers at that time, working mostly on employment
10 law matters (defense and plaintiff).

11 21. From 2009 to the present, I opened my own law office focusing almost
12 exclusively on prosecuting employment law claims on behalf of employee-plaintiffs,
13 with a very small part of my practice devoted to personal injury, landlord-tenant, and
14 family law matters.

15 22. All my employee-plaintiff cases, including this case, are accepted on a
16 nothing down contingency fee basis.

17 23. As to this case only, my office partnered up with Mr. Walter L. Haines
18 and Mr. Aaron A. Bartz, wherein we entered a fee sharing agreement (later signed by
19 the named Plaintiffs) that provides a fee split of 50/50, the metrics of which is
20 generally based on shared contributions of each firm to the cases.

21 24. I personally have represented hundreds of plaintiffs and thousands of
22 class members in more than three dozen class and/or representative (Private Attorney
23 General Act) actions prosecuting wage and hour claims against employers, more than
24 20 of which were certified as class actions. (See, e.g., *Julio, et al. v. Express Tires*,
25 S.D. Superior Court Case No.: 37-2010-00097801; *Epton v. ADP TotalSource, et al.*,
26 U.S.D.C. Case No.: 11cv0856-JM; *Tuter, et al. v. King's Seafood Company, LLC, et*
27 *al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2011-00084756; *Crosby v. CHMB*, San
28 Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2011-0087583-CU-OE-CTL; *Diego v. JMS*

1 *Properties LLC, et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2013-00056177-CU-
2 0E-CTL; *Mitchell, et al. v. Phone Ware Inc., et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case
3 No.: 37-2014-00008449-CU-OE-CTL; *Long, et al. v. Stanley Black & Decker, Inc., et*
4 *al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No.: 14CV1246 JLS BGS; *Villagrana v. 7-Eleven*, San Diego
5 Superior Court Case No.: 37-2014-00008449-CU-OE-CTL; *Parnell, et al. v. Harte-*
6 *Hanks Shoppers, Inc., et al.*, Orange Superior Court Case No.: 10-2014-00736236-
7 CU-OE-CXC; *Martz v. T.R.L. Systems, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case
8 No.: CIVDS1515312; *Rosentein v. Pratt and Whitney, et al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No.:
9 15CV2183 JM/JLB; *Don v. Penn*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2016-
10 00025005; *Scott v. Coastal Trans. Serv.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-
11 2016-00020248; *Horton v. Neostrata*, U.S.D.C. Case No.: 16CV2189 AJB JLB; *Velez*
12 *v. CoWorx Staffing Services LLC*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No.:
13 CIVDS1803229, *Logachev v. Cerna Healthcare, LLC, et al.* Orange County Superior
14 Court Case Number: 30-2020-01126240-CU-OE-CXC (J. Claster), any many others).

15 25. I have attended dozens of lectures presented by legal professionals on the
16 topic of prosecuting class action litigation, I have tried wage and hour cases in the
17 Superior Court of California, I have appeared before the Labor Commissioner on
18 scores of wage claims, and I have handled the representation of parties in dozens of
19 mediations before well-known mediators, such as Messrs. Mark Rudy, Jeff Ross,
20 Mike Dickstein, Hon. Steve Denton (Ret.), and the Hon. Herbert B. Hoffman (Ret.).

21 **Reasonableness of Attorneys' Fees Requested.**

22 26. My hourly rate in this case is \$1,141.

23 27. Because most plaintiffs cannot pay for my services on an hourly basis, I
24 represent virtually all my wage and hour employment law clients (plaintiffs) on a
25 contingency fee basis, including this case too.

26 28. Pursuant to this arrangement, I am uncompensated for my time unless
27 and until I prevail at trial or successfully settle my client's case.

28 29. Because I am taking the risk that I will not be reimbursed for my time

1 and expenses incurred unless my client settles or wins his or her case, I cannot afford
2 to represent clients for less than my hourly rate.

3 30. Indeed, given the risk of litigation, it is important that I obtain a
4 multiplier when necessary to my regular hourly rate to offset those cases where no
5 recovery is obtained.

6 31. I undertook the representation of the Plaintiffs in this litigation at great
7 financial risk, such as winning nothing at all and not being able to accept the many
8 other cases I had to turn down, because I believed their rights under the wage and
9 hour laws of this state were violated.

10 32. Because of my experience, I am aware of the prevailing hourly rates that
11 are charged by knowledgeable and experienced attorneys in the field of employment
12 law in California and my office's hourly rates, which are in line with rates typically
13 approved in wage and hour class action litigation that have been approved by courts
14 in California, e.g., Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, Orange County, and San
15 Diego Counties.

16 33. The rates generally range from approximately \$800 per hour at the low
17 end of the scale to approximately \$1,150 per hour at the high end of the scale for an
18 attorney of my skill experience.

19 34. The regular hourly rate I have charged for my services in this litigation is
20 reasonable and justified based on my knowledge and years of experience.

21 35. My contemporaneous billing records show the time I spent litigating this
22 case, a true and correct copy of which are attached hereto and marked as **Exhibit 1**.

23 36. My fees are consistent with noted sources, such as the 2024-25 Laffey
24 Matrix, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and marked as **Exhibit 2**.

25 37. Notwithstanding the general rule in favor of a fee award of 1/3 of the
26 Gross settlement, Class Counsel's rates under the lodestar method using the *Laffey*
27 Matrix demonstrates the reasonableness of the fee request.

28 38. The *Laffey* Matrix originated in *Laffey v. Northwest Airlines*, 572 F.

1 Supp. 354 (D.D.C. 1983), reversed, 746 F.2d 4 (D.C. Cir. 1984), overruled, *Save Our*
2 *Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel*, 857 F.2d 1516, 1525 (D.C. Cir. 1988) (*en banc*).

3 39. Counsel in the *Laffey* case compiled evidence from firms showing the
4 market rates in the District of Columbia for complex federal litigation to show the
5 court prevailing market rates.

6 40. The evidence in the foregoing cases was analyzed and a matrix of rates
7 for attorneys at various experience levels was created, later becoming known as the
8 *Laffey* Matrix.

9 41. Attorneys in cases where they must establish the prevailing market rates
10 in their jurisdiction for fee award requests, including California, often cite to the
11 *Laffey* Matrix.

12 42. The *Laffey* Matrix is a useful tool easily accessible to practitioners and is
13 widely regarded by many courts in the U.S. as a source for courts to gauge the
14 prevailing rates for attorneys in the local community. See
15 www.en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laffey_Matrix.

16 43. The *Laffey* Matrix is updated annually by an independent group to stay
17 consistent with inflation or recent trends in the market.

18 44. The current version of the *Laffey* Matrix can be found at
19 www.laffeymatrix.com.

20 45. Many California courts have held that the *Laffey* Matrix is “a widely
21 recognized compilation of attorney and paralegal rates based on various levels of
22 experience.” *Theme Promotions v News American Marketing FSI*, 731 F. Supp. 2d
23 937, 948 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (using the *Laffey* Matrix to determine reasonable attorneys'
24 fees rates); *Ramirez v. Escondido Unified School District*, 2014 WL 12675859, at *2
25 (S.D. Cal., Apr. 17, 2014, No. 11CV1823 DMS (BGS)) (“When used in a given case,
26 the *Laffey* Matrix is adjusted to the federal locality pay differentials for the relevant
27 community for the relevant year.”).

28 46. While a trial court is not "required to follow the *Laffey* Matrix" in setting

1 reasonable hourly rates, neither is it an abuse of discretion to do so. *Syers Properties*
2 *III, Inc. v. Rankin*, 226 Cal. App. 4th 691, 702 (2014); *see also Nemecek & Cole v.*
3 *Horn*, 208 Cal. App. 4th 641, 650-651 (2012).

4 47. Some California federal courts have adjusted the *Laffey* Matrix upwards
5 based upon the higher costs of living in California. *In Re HPL Technologies, Inc.*
6 *Securities Litigation*, 366 F. Supp. 2d 912, 921 (N. Dist. Cal. 2005); *see also Garnes*
7 *v. Barnhardt*, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5938 (N. Dist. Cal. 2006).

8 48. The hourly rates Class Counsel specify in their moving papers are well
9 supported by the *Laffey* Matrix.

10 49. According to the *Laffey* Matrix, the prevailing market rate for an attorney
11 with the number of years of experience, such as myself for example, is \$1,141 per
12 hour.

13 50. My fees are also supported by precedent. See *Margolin v. Regional*
14 *Planning Comm'n*, 134 Cal. App. 3d 999, 1005 (1982) (previous rates awarded to the
15 attorneys in question are the best evidence of their reasonable rates).

16 51. In no less than four different courts in the County of San Diego, judges,
17 including your Honor, have awarded me fees commensurate with the fees requested
18 here adjusted by inflation. *See e.g., Olshansky, et al. v. ATC Healthcare Services,*
19 *LLC, et al.* S.D.S.C. Case No. 37-2018-00065377-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Gregory W.
20 Pollack); *Babouchian, et al. v. Wyndham Vacation Ownership, et al.* SDSC Case
21 Number: 37-2018-00014601-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Richard Strauss); *Hopper, et al. v.*
22 *Kimball Tirey & St. John, et al.* Case No.: 37-2019-00010409-CU-OE-CTL (Hon.
23 Kenneth J. Medel); *Povarnitson, et al. v. Albireo Energy*, S.D.S.C. Case Number: 37-
24 2021-00043575-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Katherine A. Bacal).

25 **Summary of Class Counsel's Lodestar.**

26 52. The nature of work performed by me is documented in this declaration
27 and my co-counsel's declaration filed concurrently herewith.

28 53. I also witnessed my staff perform a great deal of work on this case.

1 54. The time records reflect billing practices on a minimum of one tenth of
2 the hour and rounded to the nearest dollar.

3 55. This time is summarized as follows:

Names of Attorney	BAR ADMISSION	RATE	HOURS	LODESTAR
Thomas D. Rutledge, Partner	1995	\$1,141	142.75	\$160,881

6 56. Throughout the case, myself and my co-counsel made substantial efforts
7 to allocate work efficiently and to avoid unnecessary duplication of work where
8 possible, delegating most of the work to the junior lawyers working on this case.

9 57. In terms of fees sought, me and my co-counsel performed most of the
10 work, and during various parts of the litigation, several paralegals performed
11 administrative tasks.

12 58. Our paralegals performed most of their invaluable work on assignments
13 my co-counsel and I could delegate, such as record review, class survey work, and
14 similar assignments.

15 59. I was involved in all aspects of this case, but I devoted most of my time
16 to case development, brief writing, mediation, class member interviews, and
17 settlement review.

18 **The Novelty and Difficulty of the Questions Involved.**

19 60. Well before we filed suit, my office actively investigated the named
20 Plaintiffs' individual and class claims.

21 61. My office requested that Defendants provide us a copy of Plaintiffs'
22 personnel files.

23 62. Based on our review of their personnel files and on the significant
24 number of interviews my office conducted with punitive Class Members, it became
25 abundantly clear we had enough evidence to warrant the filing of this action.

26 63. At the time this case was brought and for many years thereafter,
27 however, the result was far from certain.

64. This action involves complex statutory issues dealing with California's Labor Code, a dense subject even for experienced practitioners.

65. With regards to specific claims alleged in the operative Complaint, Defendants maintain Defendants did nothing wrong and asserted a wide range of defenses.

66. As a result, Class Counsel was required to extensively brief many unusual or complicated wage and hour issues, such as compliance with local ordinances regarding heightened minimum wage rates; calculation of mileage rates for unpaid mileage for work-related travel; calculation of cell phone usage rates for work-related use of employees' personal cell phones; liability for technical glitches reflected on wage statements, among other issues.

67. Moreover, Defendants put up a vigorous defense in both the *Machado* and *Scurry* Actions.

68. Over time, however, the intensity of the litigation subsided, and the Parties—through counsel—began exploring the possibility of settlement.

69. To facilitate meaningful negotiations, the Parties agreed to participate in private mediation, contingent upon Defendants' production of relevant class-wide data, including the total number of employees, number of pay periods worked, a random sampling of payroll records, and copies of applicable employer policy manuals.

70. This exchange of information allowed the Parties to shift focus from active litigation.

71. The Parties subsequently agreed to put a hold on litigation pending private mediation.

72. Before engaging in settlement discussions, the parties engaged in informal discovery which allowed Plaintiffs to obtain payroll records, policy documents, and sampling data.

73. Class Counsel and their staff spent significant time combing through

1 voluminous documents and payroll records, and continuing our investigation to
2 prepare for mediation, certification motions, and trial.

3 74. Through the foregoing process, the Parties conducted a significant
4 investigation of the facts and law.

5 75. This combined with the volleys of settlement communications between
6 Class Counsel and defense counsel via the private mediator the parties hired, made
7 Class Counsel earn their fee.

8 **The Skill Required and the Quality of Work.**

9 76. Throughout this litigation, Defendants confronted Class Counsel with
10 complex factual and legal defenses that presented significant hurdles to achieving a
11 favorable settlement.

12 77. For example, Class Counsel and their staff spent many hours gathering
13 useful evidence from Class Member interviews, compared personnel file with
14 electronic time records to find discrepancies, and reviewed numerous wage
15 statements to identify intermittent facial wage statement violations only identifiable
16 through manual review, among many other similar tasks.

17 78. Due to the novel and complex legal issues involved, me and my co-
18 counsel were required to utilize skills normally reserved to those who handle complex
19 litigation cases.

20 **The Contingent Nature of the Fee and the Financial Burden.**

21 79. Class Counsel subjected itself to this contingent fee market in this all-or-
22 nothing contingent fee case wherein the necessity and financial burden of private
23 enforcement makes the requested award appropriate.

24 80. My contingent fee practice does not accommodate the investment of
25 unnecessary time in a case.

26 81. This case was litigated on a contingent fee basis with all the concomitant
27 risk factors inherent in such an uncertain undertaking.

28 82. On account of the concerted and dedicated effort this case demanded in

1 order to properly handle and prosecute, I was precluded from taking other cases.

2 83. I assumed the very serious risk that I would receive nothing at all for my
3 services, despite devoting time and advancing costs.

4 **Class Counsel Have Caused a Great Deal of Good for the Class.**

5 84. In my opinion, the success of this litigation is readily apparent.

6 **The Class Representatives Performed Meaningful and Honorable Work to Earn**
7 **Incentive Awards.**

8 85. The named Plaintiffs very respectfully request \$7,500 each as an
9 Enhancement/Incentive Award.

10 86. The named Plaintiff played a vital role in this litigation and have the
11 right to receive an Enhancement/Incentive Award for their services as the
12 representatives of the Settlement Class and for the risks assumed by serving as the
13 named Plaintiffs, such as liability for costs and stigma to their reputation for suing a
14 former employer.

15 87. Defendants do not oppose the requested Enhancement Award.

16 88. I witnessed the named Plaintiffs spend a great deal of time providing us
17 documents, returning dozens of phone calls with many questions, and being actively
18 involved in this litigation.

19 89. Before this case was filed, Plaintiff Machado and I met in person and
20 spent hours going over their case, discussing witnesses for me to interview,
21 documents I needed to review or request from Defendants, where she worked, what
22 tools she used to perform her job that Defendants did not reimburse her for, among
23 many similar topics.

24 90. The named Plaintiffs were actively involved in all aspects of the case,
25 often taking considerable time reviewing documents with us, providing input to
26 briefing, mediation, and eventually settlement.

27 91. The named Plaintiffs kept their schedules open all day to handle
28 telephonic inquiries during the mediation, which lasted all day.

92. In pursuing this action, the named Plaintiffs risked the potential stigma associated with suing their employer.

93. The named Plaintiffs have jeopardized their professional reputations in the industry in which they were employed to be named Plaintiffs in this action.

94. The overall amount requested for enhancement/incentive award is fair and reasonable based on their input to this case.

Reimbursement of Costs Incurred.

95. My office has incurred the following expenses in connection with this litigation:

EXPENSES	
Filing Fees via One Legal	\$947
Service Fees	\$175
Filing Fees via CC	\$435
Service Fees	\$175
IN *SEGOVIA AND ASSOCI-	
Service in Texas Fee	\$100
Triangle Process Service	\$445
Mediator's Fee	\$2,250
Investigator Costs	\$1,099
Total Expenses/Costs	\$5,626

96. True and correct copies of most of the invoices/receipts for these expenses are provided in **Exhibit 3** attached hereto.

97. The expenses incurred are ordinary and necessary and the Settlement Agreement provides that we may recover actual costs.

98. My office sent multiple filings to the LWDA and copies via certified mail to the named Defendants and their customers, which I estimate cost at least \$200 in certified mail postage fees.

99. The expenses my office incurred are ordinary and necessary and the Settlement Agreement provides that we may recover actual costs.

1 **Conclusion.**

2 100. In summary, we very respectfully request this honorable Court to grant
3 Plaintiffs' Motion and sign the [Proposed] Order.

4 Except as to those matters made upon information and belief or upon which I
5 said I was informed, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the U.S. and
6 the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

7 Executed October 2, 2025, at County of San Diego, California

8
9 By: /s/Thomas D. Rutledge
Declarant, Thomas D. Rutledge

Exhibit 1

Timesheet for Thomas D. Rutledge ICO*Machado et al. v. Klap6 Technologies, LLC, et al*

SDSC Case No.: 37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL

Description	Time	Date
Meeting with C; OF; Requested PF's	3	5/12/2023
		5/13/2023
		5/14/2023
Drafted Complaint & PAGA Letter	4	5/15/2023
Prepared PAGA Filing packet; Filed Complaint with SC;; coms with C	4	5/16/2023
Coms with Skaggs	0.25	5/17/2023
		5/18/2023
		5/19/2023
		5/20/2023
Refiled Summons; calendared CMC	0.5	5/21/2023
		5/22/2023
		5/23/2023
		5/24/2023
Filed Complaint with LWDA	0.35	5/25/2023
		5/26/2023
		5/27/2023
		5/28/2023
		5/29/2023
		5/30/2023
		5/31/2023
UF; coms with process server in dublin; rd; accounting	2	6/1/2023
Coms with DC; RC; Drafted new PAGA letter; RF	1	6/2/2023
		6/3/2023
		6/4/2023
Served PAGA Notice	0.25	6/5/2023
		6/6/2023
		6/7/2023
Coms with Process Server	0.25	6/8/2023
		6/9/2023
		6/10/2023
		6/11/2023
		6/12/2023
		6/13/2023
		6/14/2023
		6/15/2023
		6/16/2023
		6/17/2023
		6/18/2023

		6/19/2023
		6/20/2023
		6/21/2023
		6/22/2023
		6/23/2023
		6/24/2023
		6/25/2023
		6/26/2023
		6/27/2023
		6/28/2023
		6/29/2023
		6/30/2023
		7/1/2023
		7/2/2023
		7/3/2023
		7/4/2023
Called Bartz & spoke with him	0.25	7/5/2023
		7/6/2023
		7/7/2023
		7/8/2023
		7/9/2023
Coms with Parker case lawyer; RF; research	0.5	7/10/2023
		7/11/2023
		7/12/2023
		7/13/2023
		7/14/2023
		7/15/2023
		7/16/2023
		7/17/2023
		7/18/2023
		7/19/2023
		7/20/2023
		7/21/2023
		7/22/2023
		7/23/2023
		7/24/2023
		7/25/2023
		7/26/2023
		7/27/2023
		7/28/2023
		7/29/2023
		7/30/2023
		7/31/2023

		8/1/2023
		8/2/2023
		8/3/2023
		8/4/2023
		8/5/2023
		8/6/2023
		8/7/2023
		8/8/2023
		8/9/2023
		8/10/2023
		8/11/2023
		8/12/2023
		8/13/2023
		8/14/2023
		8/15/2023
		8/16/2023
RF; Drafted FAC; drafted discovery	6	8/17/2023
RC; coms with DC	0.15	8/18/2023
		8/19/2023
		8/20/2023
		8/21/2023
		8/22/2023
		8/23/2023
		8/24/2023
Coms with DC; UF; served defendant	0.5	8/25/2023
		8/26/2023
		8/27/2023
Coms with DC; coms with LA C	0.35	8/28/2023
PC with CC; NTF; RF; UF	0.75	8/29/2023
		8/30/2023
		8/31/2023
		9/1/2023
		9/2/2023
		9/3/2023
		9/4/2023
SA	2	9/5/2023
SA	4	9/6/2023
		9/7/2023
		9/8/2023
		9/9/2023
		9/10/2023
		9/11/2023
		9/12/2023

		9/13/2023
Coms with DC	0.15	9/14/2023
		9/15/2023
		9/16/2023
		9/17/2023
Coms with DC	0.25	9/18/2023
		9/19/2023
		9/20/2023
		9/21/2023
		9/22/2023
		9/23/2023
		9/24/2023
		9/25/2023
		9/26/2023
		9/27/2023
		9/28/2023
		9/29/2023
		9/30/2023
		10/1/2023
		10/2/2023
Coms with DC	0.5	10/3/2023
Coms with DC	0.25	10/4/2023
Prepared and filed CMS	0.5	10/5/2023
PC with DC	0.15	10/6/2023
		10/7/2023
		10/8/2023
PC with CC		10/9/2023
		10/10/2023
		10/11/2023
		10/12/2023
Coms with CC; Coms with DC	0.25	10/13/2023
		10/14/2023
		10/15/2023
		10/16/2023
		10/17/2023
		10/18/2023
		10/19/2023
		10/20/2023
		10/21/2023
		10/22/2023
		10/23/2023
		10/24/2023
		10/25/2023

		10/26/2023
		10/27/2023
		10/28/2023
		10/29/2023
		10/30/2023
		10/31/2023
		11/1/2023
		11/2/2023
		11/3/2023
		11/4/2023
		11/5/2023
		11/6/2023
		11/7/2023
Coms with DC	0.15	11/8/2023
rc	0.15	11/9/2023
		11/10/2023
		11/11/2023
		11/12/2023
		11/13/2023
		11/14/2023
		11/15/2023
		11/16/2023
		11/17/2023
		11/18/2023
UF; Calendared CMC	0.25	11/19/2023
Coms with DC; calendared mediation; coms with C	0.35	11/20/2023
RC	0.15	11/21/2023
		11/22/2023
		11/23/2023
		11/24/2023
		11/25/2023
		11/26/2023
		11/27/2023
		11/28/2023
		11/29/2023
		11/30/2023
		12/1/2023
		12/2/2023
		12/3/2023
		12/4/2023
		12/5/2023
		12/6/2023
		12/7/2023

		12/8/2023
		12/9/2023
		12/10/2023
		12/11/2023
		12/12/2023
		12/13/2023
		12/14/2023
		12/15/2023
		12/16/2023
		12/17/2023
		12/18/2023
RC; Coms with CC; Coms with Nick	3	12/19/2023
Coms with Cal Kik	0.25	12/20/2023
		12/21/2023
		12/22/2023
		12/23/2023
		12/24/2023
		12/25/2023
UF; Coms with calkik	0.35	12/26/2023
		12/27/2023
		12/28/2023
		12/29/2023
		12/30/2023
		12/31/2023
		1/1/2024
		1/2/2024
		1/3/2024
		1/4/2024
RC; Coms with CC	0.5	1/5/2024
		1/6/2024
		1/7/2024
PC with CC	0.15	1/8/2024
Coms with DC	0.25	1/9/2024
Coms with CC; Coms with DC	0.35	1/10/2024
		1/11/2024
		1/12/2024
		1/13/2024
		1/14/2024
		1/15/2024
		1/16/2024
		1/17/2024
		1/18/2024
		1/19/2024

		1/20/2024
		1/21/2024
		1/22/2024
		1/23/2024
		1/24/2024
		1/25/2024
		1/26/2024
		1/27/2024
		1/28/2024
		1/29/2024
		1/30/2024
		1/31/2024
		2/1/2024
		2/2/2024
		2/3/2024
UF; Coms with DC	0.5	2/4/2024
PC with CC; PC with Ferraro; RF; research	1.5	2/5/2024
PC with CC; UF; coms with DC	0.35	2/6/2024
RF; Coms with CC	2	2/7/2024
PC with CC; Paid Jud. West; coms with Calkik	0.75	2/8/2024
		2/9/2024
		2/10/2024
		2/11/2024
		2/12/2024
		2/13/2024
		2/14/2024
		2/15/2024
		2/16/2024
		2/17/2024
		2/18/2024
		2/19/2024
		2/20/2024
		2/21/2024
		2/22/2024
		2/23/2024
		2/24/2024
		2/25/2024
		2/26/2024
		2/27/2024
		2/28/2024
		2/29/2024
		3/1/2024
		3/2/2024

		3/3/2024
		3/4/2024
		3/5/2024
		3/6/2024
		3/7/2024
		3/8/2024
		3/9/2024
		3/10/2024
		3/11/2024
		3/12/2024
		3/13/2024
		3/14/2024
		3/15/2024
		3/16/2024
		3/17/2024
		3/18/2024
		3/19/2024
		3/20/2024
		3/21/2024
		3/22/2024
		3/23/2024
		3/24/2024
		3/25/2024
		3/26/2024
		3/27/2024
		3/28/2024
		3/29/2024
		3/30/2024
		3/31/2024
		4/1/2024
		4/2/2024
		4/3/2024
		4/4/2024
		4/5/2024
		4/6/2024
		4/7/2024
		4/8/2024
		4/9/2024
		4/10/2024
Drafted and filed CMS; Coms with DC	0.5	4/11/2024
UF	0.15	4/12/2024
		4/13/2024
		4/14/2024

		4/15/2024
		4/16/2024
		4/17/2024
		4/18/2024
		4/19/2024
		4/20/2024
		4/21/2024
		4/22/2024
		4/23/2024
RC; Coms with DC	0.25	4/24/2024
		4/25/2024
CMC; court wait time	1	4/26/2024
		4/27/2024
		4/28/2024
		4/29/2024
		4/30/2024
		5/1/2024
		5/2/2024
		5/3/2024
		5/4/2024
		5/5/2024
		5/6/2024
		5/7/2024
		5/8/2024
		5/9/2024
		5/10/2024
		5/11/2024
		5/12/2024
		5/13/2024
		5/14/2024
MP; RC	0.25	5/15/2024
		5/16/2024
		5/17/2024
		5/18/2024
		5/19/2024
		5/20/2024
		5/21/2024
Coms with CC	0.25	5/22/2024
		5/23/2024
		5/24/2024
		5/25/2024
		5/26/2024
RF; RC; RD; coms with CC	1	5/27/2024

		5/28/2024
Coms with C; Coms DC	0.25	5/29/2024
Coms with CC; Coms with DC; MP	0.5	5/30/2024
		5/31/2024
		6/1/2024
		6/2/2024
MP	12	6/3/2024
MP	3.25	6/4/2024
Coms with DC	0.15	6/5/2024
		6/6/2024
		6/7/2024
		6/8/2024
Coms with C; UF	0.25	6/9/2024
RF; drafted 1st amended PAGA letter; Research; coms with CC	2.5	6/10/2024
Drafted Fee K; RC; RD	0.5	6/11/2024
		6/12/2024
		6/13/2024
		6/14/2024
		6/15/2024
		6/16/2024
		6/17/2024
		6/18/2024
RF; Coms with CC; Coms with C	1	6/19/2024
		6/20/2024
Drafted and filed CMS; RD; UF	0.5	6/21/2024
		6/22/2024
		6/23/2024
		6/24/2024
		6/25/2024
		6/26/2024
		6/27/2024
		6/28/2024
		6/29/2024
		6/30/2024
		7/1/2024
		7/2/2024
		7/3/2024
		7/4/2024
		7/5/2024
		7/6/2024
		7/7/2024
		7/8/2024
		7/9/2024

RF; Research; drafted TAC	5	7/10/2024
RF; Research; drafted TAC; Does 1 & 2	4	7/11/2024
		7/12/2024
		7/13/2024
		7/14/2024
UF; RC; edit to SAC; coms with CC	0.5	7/15/2024
Drafted Belaire West Notice; Drafted PO; Drafted SSID ISO MTC SROGS; Coms with DC; drafted M&C Letter	2	7/16/2024
Drafted M&C Letter to DC	0.5	7/17/2024
Drafted MTC	4	7/18/2024
Coms with Court; Coms with DC; Calendared motion; drafted ex parte application	2	7/19/2024
		7/20/2024
		7/21/2024
PC with DC	0.25	7/22/2024
UF; Prepared for EP	0.35	7/23/2024
Travel to Ct; PC with DC; coms with DC	4	7/24/2024
Coms with DC	0.15	7/25/2024
Coms with DC; RF	0.5	7/26/2024
		7/27/2024
		7/28/2024
Coms with DC	0.25	7/29/2024
RF; Coms with DC; UF; Sent comp. out to service to new defs.; research; coms with Cal Kik; added DOES	2	7/30/2024
Appeared tele for Ex Parte; Coms with DC	0.35	7/31/2024
Coms with DC	0.15	8/1/2024
		8/2/2024
		8/3/2024
		8/4/2024
		8/5/2024
		8/6/2024
		8/7/2024
		8/8/2024
		8/9/2024
		8/10/2024
UF; Coms with new counsel	0.25	8/11/2024
Called DC	0.15	8/12/2024
UF; RC; Sent Complaint out for Service	2.5	8/13/2024
RC; PC with DC; Coms with CC	0.5	8/14/2024
Coms with DC	0.25	8/15/2024
Coms with CC	0.15	8/16/2024
		8/17/2024
		8/18/2024

		8/19/2024
		8/20/2024
RC	0.15	8/21/2024
		8/22/2024
RF; Coms with Process Server	0.15	8/23/2024
		8/24/2024
		8/25/2024
UF; RC	0.25	8/26/2024
		8/27/2024
		8/28/2024
		8/29/2024
		8/30/2024
		8/31/2024
		9/1/2024
		9/2/2024
		9/3/2024
Coms with DC	0.25	9/4/2024
Drafted and filed CMS with POSs	1	9/5/2024
Com with CC	0.15	9/6/2024
		9/7/2024
		9/8/2024
		9/9/2024
RC; Drafted POS; Coms with DC	0.35	9/10/2024
		9/11/2024
		9/12/2024
		9/13/2024
Coms with DC	0.15	9/14/2024
		9/15/2024
		9/16/2024
UF; RD; Researched removal requirements	2	9/17/2024
UF; RC; PC with DC	0.35	9/18/2024
UF; Researched judge; RC	0.5	9/19/2024
		9/20/2024
RF; RD; Research on IEM	1	9/21/2024
		9/22/2024
Coms with CC; revised topflier case; UF; RD; research	1.25	9/23/2024
PC with DC	0.5	9/24/2024
		9/25/2024
RC; Coms with CC; research	0.5	9/26/2024
PC with CC	0.35	9/27/2024
		9/28/2024
		9/29/2024
Coms with CC; RC; Uf; Drafted cocounsel K	1	9/30/2024

		10/1/2024
PC with DC; PC with CC	0.35	10/2/2024
		10/3/2024
		10/4/2024
		10/5/2024
		10/6/2024
		10/7/2024
		10/8/2024
		10/9/2024
		10/10/2024
		10/11/2024
		10/12/2024
		10/13/2024
		10/14/2024
		10/15/2024
		10/16/2024
Uf; Drafted & Filed Notice of Remand; Filed dismissal of ind. Def. w/o prej.	0.75	10/17/2024
		10/18/2024
		10/19/2024
		10/20/2024
		10/21/2024
		10/22/2024
Coms with CC; Coms with DC	0.25	10/23/2024
		10/24/2024
Listened into the related case CMC; coms with CC	0.75	10/25/2024
		10/26/2024
		10/27/2024
		10/28/2024
		10/29/2024
Coms with CC; RC	0.5	10/30/2024
		10/31/2024
		11/1/2024
		11/2/2024
		11/3/2024
		11/4/2024
		11/5/2024
		11/6/2024
Drafted TAC; RC; Coms with CC	1.5	11/7/2024
		11/8/2024
		11/9/2024
		11/10/2024

Edited TAC; Coms with CC; Coms with DC; drafted stip	2.5	11/11/2024
Draftd and filed dismissal of ind. Klap6 defs.; coms with DC	0.5	11/12/2024
		11/13/2024
		11/14/2024
		11/15/2024
		11/16/2024
		11/17/2024
		11/18/2024
Coms with CC; Coms with DC	0.5	11/19/2024
Coms with DC; Coms with Med.	0.5	11/20/2024
RF; Coms with mediator	0.5	11/21/2024
		11/22/2024
		11/23/2024
		11/24/2024
Coms with mediator and DCI; RF	0.5	11/25/2024
RC; Coms with med.	0.75	11/26/2024
		11/27/2024
		11/28/2024
		11/29/2024
		11/30/2024
		12/1/2024
		12/2/2024
		12/3/2024
		12/4/2024
		12/5/2024
		12/6/2024
		12/7/2024
		12/8/2024
		12/9/2024
		12/10/2024
		12/11/2024
		12/12/2024
		12/13/2024
		12/14/2024
		12/15/2024
Coms with C	0.15	12/16/2024
Coms with Med.		12/17/2024
UF; RC	0.25	12/18/2024
Coms w/CC & DC	0.25	12/19/2024
Appearance at ct via phone; completed MSC req. form; Coms with DC	1.5	12/20/2024
		12/21/2024
		12/22/2024

Coms with CC; Coms with Ct.	1	12/23/2024
		12/24/2024
		12/25/2024
		12/26/2024
		12/27/2024
		12/28/2024
		12/29/2024
		12/30/2024
Coms with Court	1	12/31/2024
		1/1/2025
Called client	0.15	1/2/2025
		1/3/2025
		1/4/2025
		1/5/2025
		1/6/2025
		1/7/2025
		1/8/2025
		1/9/2025
		1/10/2025
		1/11/2025
		1/12/2025
Drafted letter to the class; coms with C	0.5	1/13/2025
		1/14/2025
		1/15/2025
		1/16/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/18/2025
		1/19/2025
		1/20/2025
		1/21/2025
		1/22/2025
		1/23/2025
		1/24/2025
		1/25/2025
		1/26/2025
		1/27/2025
		1/28/2025
		1/29/2025
		1/30/2025
		1/31/2025
		2/1/2025
		2/2/2025
		2/3/2025

		2/4/2025
		2/5/2025
		2/6/2025
		2/7/2025
Accounting	0.25	2/8/2025
		2/9/2025
		2/10/2025
		2/11/2025
		2/12/2025
		2/13/2025
		2/14/2025
		2/15/2025
		2/16/2025
		2/17/2025
		2/18/2025
		2/19/2025
		2/20/2025
		2/21/2025
		2/22/2025
		2/23/2025
		2/24/2025
		2/25/2025
		2/26/2025
		2/27/2025
		2/28/2025
		3/1/2025
		3/2/2025
		3/3/2025
		3/4/2025
Edited SA; Coms with CC; Coms with C	2	3/5/2025
		3/6/2025
		3/7/2025
		3/8/2025
		3/9/2025
		3/10/2025
		3/11/2025
		3/12/2025
		3/13/2025
		3/14/2025
		3/15/2025
		3/16/2025
		3/17/2025
		3/18/2025

	3/19/2025
	3/20/2025
	3/21/2025
	3/22/2025
	3/23/2025
	3/24/2025
	3/25/2025
	3/26/2025
	3/27/2025
	3/28/2025
	3/29/2025
	3/30/2025
	3/31/2025
	4/1/2025
	4/2/2025
	4/3/2025
	4/4/2025
	4/5/2025
	4/6/2025
	4/7/2025
	4/8/2025
	4/9/2025
	4/10/2025
	4/11/2025
	4/12/2025
	4/13/2025
	4/14/2025
	4/15/2025
	4/16/2025
	4/17/2025
	4/18/2025
	4/19/2025
	4/20/2025
	4/21/2025
	4/22/2025
	4/23/2025
	4/24/2025
	4/25/2025
	4/26/2025
	4/27/2025
	4/28/2025
	4/29/2025
	4/30/2025

		5/1/2025
		5/2/2025
		5/3/2025
		5/4/2025
		5/5/2025
		5/6/2025
RC; UF	0.35	5/7/2025
RF; Called Ct. Clerk for hearing; coms with DC/CC; calendared deadlines	0.5	5/8/2025
		5/9/2025
		5/10/2025
		5/11/2025
		5/12/2025
		5/13/2025
		5/14/2025
Coms with DC	0.15	5/15/2025
		5/16/2025
		5/17/2025
		5/18/2025
Coms with DC	0.15	5/19/2025
		5/20/2025
		5/21/2025
		5/22/2025
		5/23/2025
		5/24/2025
		5/25/2025
		5/26/2025
RF; Coms with DC	0.25	5/27/2025
		5/28/2025
		5/29/2025
		5/30/2025
		5/31/2025
		6/1/2025
Coms with CC; UF	0.15	6/2/2025
		6/3/2025
		6/4/2025
		6/5/2025
		6/6/2025
		6/7/2025
		6/8/2025
		6/9/2025
		6/10/2025
		6/11/2025

Drafted and edited MFPA	1.5	6/12/2025
Coms with CC; editd MFPA	4	6/13/2025
		6/14/2025
		6/15/2025
		6/16/2025
		6/17/2025
		6/18/2025
		6/19/2025
		6/20/2025
		6/21/2025
		6/22/2025
		6/23/2025
		6/24/2025
Coms with DC	0.25	6/25/2025
Coms with DC	0.25	6/26/2025
		6/27/2025
		6/28/2025
		6/29/2025
drafted and edited moving papers for class action settlement approval; filed papers; served papers	8	6/30/2025
		7/1/2025
		7/2/2025
		7/3/2025
		7/4/2025
		7/5/2025
		7/6/2025
		7/7/2025
		7/8/2025
		7/9/2025
		7/10/2025
		7/11/2025
		7/12/2025
		7/13/2025
		7/14/2025
		7/15/2025
		7/16/2025
		7/17/2025
		7/18/2025
		7/19/2025
		7/20/2025
		7/21/2025
		7/22/2025
		7/23/2025

		7/24/2025
Travel to Ct.; ct. hearing; court hearing	2	7/25/2025
		7/26/2025
		7/27/2025
Coms with CC; RF; Coms with DC; Gave Notice of Ruling	0.75	7/28/2025
RC; Coms with CC	0.15	7/29/2025
		7/30/2025
Filed order with LWDA	0.25	7/31/2025
		8/1/2025
		8/2/2025
		8/3/2025
		8/4/2025
		8/5/2025
		8/6/2025
		8/7/2025
		8/8/2025
		8/9/2025
		8/10/2025
		8/11/2025
		8/12/2025
		8/13/2025
		8/14/2025
		8/15/2025
		8/16/2025
		8/17/2025
		8/18/2025
		8/19/2025
		8/20/2025
		8/21/2025
		8/22/2025
		8/23/2025
		8/24/2025
		8/25/2025
		8/26/2025
		8/27/2025
		8/28/2025
		8/29/2025
		8/30/2025
	142.75	
TOTAL HOURS		

Exhibit 2

LAFFEY MATRIX

[History](#)
[Case Law](#)
[See the Matrix](#)
[Contact us](#)
[Home](#)

			Years Out of Law School *				
Year	Adjustmt Factor**	Paralegal/ Law Clerk	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20 +
6/01/24- 5/31/25	1.080182	\$258	\$473	\$581	\$839	\$948	\$1141
6/01/23- 5/31/24	1.059295	\$239	\$437	\$538	\$777	\$878	\$1057
6/01/22- 5/31/23	1.085091	\$225	\$413	\$508	\$733	\$829	\$997
6/01/21- 5/31/22	1.006053	\$208	\$381	\$468	\$676	\$764	\$919
6/01/20- 5/31/21	1.015894	\$206	\$378	\$465	\$672	\$759	\$914
6/01/19- 5/31/20	1.0049	\$203	\$372	\$458	\$661	\$747	\$899
6/01/18- 5/31/19	1.0350	\$202	\$371	\$455	\$658	\$742	\$894
6/01/17- 5/31/18	1.0463	\$196	\$359	\$440	\$636	\$717	\$864
6/01/16- 5/31/17	1.0369	\$187	\$343	\$421	\$608	\$685	\$826
6/01/15- 5/31/16	1.0089	\$180	\$331	\$406	\$586	\$661	\$796
6/01/14- 5/31/15	1.0235	\$179	\$328	\$402	\$581	\$655	\$789
6/01/13- 5/31/14	1.0244	\$175	\$320	\$393	\$567	\$640	\$771
6/01/12- 5/31/13	1.0258	\$170	\$312	\$383	\$554	\$625	\$753
6/01/11- 5/31/12	1.0352	\$166	\$305	\$374	\$540	\$609	\$734
6/01/10- 5/31/11	1.0337	\$161	\$294	\$361	\$522	\$589	\$709
6/01/09- 5/31/10	1.0220	\$155	\$285	\$349	\$505	\$569	\$686
6/01/08- 5/31/09	1.0399	\$152	\$279	\$342	\$494	\$557	\$671
6/01/07-5/31/08	1.0516	\$146	\$268	\$329	\$475	\$536	\$645
6/01/06-5/31/07	1.0256	\$139	\$255	\$313	\$452	\$509	\$614
6/1/05-5/31/06	1.0427	\$136	\$249	\$305	\$441	\$497	\$598
6/1/04-5/31/05	1.0455	\$130	\$239	\$293	\$423	\$476	\$574
6/1/03-6/1/04	1.0507	\$124	\$228	\$280	\$405	\$456	\$549
6/1/02-5/31/03	1.0727	\$118	\$217	\$267	\$385	\$434	\$522
6/1/01-5/31/02	1.0407	\$110	\$203	\$249	\$359	\$404	\$487
6/1/00-5/31/01	1.0529	\$106	\$195	\$239	\$345	\$388	\$468
6/1/99-5/31/00	1.0491	\$101	\$185	\$227	\$328	\$369	\$444
6/1/98-5/31/99	1.0439	\$96	\$176	\$216	\$312	\$352	\$424
6/1/97-5/31/98	1.0419	\$92	\$169	\$207	\$299	\$337	\$406
6/1/96-5/31/97	1.0396	\$88	\$162	\$198	\$287	\$323	\$389

6/1/95-5/31/96	1.032	\$85	\$155	\$191	\$276	\$311	\$375
6/1/94-5/31/95	1.0237	\$82	\$151	\$185	\$267	\$301	\$363

The methodology of calculation and benchmarking for this Updated Laffey Matrix has been approved in a number of cases. See, e.g., *DL v. District of Columbia*, 267 F.Supp.3d 55, 69 (D.D.C. 2017)

* $\frac{1}{2}$ Years Out of Law School $\frac{1}{2}$ is calculated from June 1 of each year, when most law students graduate. $\frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" includes an attorney in his 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of practice, measured from date of graduation (June 1). $\frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" applies to attorneys in their 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th years of practice. An attorney who graduated in May 1996 would be in tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" from June 1, 1996 until May 31, 1999, would move into tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" on June 1, 1999, and tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 8-10" on June 1, 2003.

** The Adjustment Factor refers to the nation-wide Legal Services Component of the Consumer Price Index produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

Exhibit 3

B

THOMAS RUTLEDGE
PO BOX 1847
RANCHO SANTA FE, CA 92067



362

68-7497/2560

6-1-23

Date

Pay To The
Order Of

Tr: Valley Universal
One Hundred Seventy Five Dollars

\$ 175.00

Dollars



Photo
Safe
Deposit
Details on back

© 1994 United States Bank, United States Bank, United States Bank

NAVY
FEDERAL

Credit Union

Service on klaps

[Handwritten signature]

MP

⑆ 256074974⑆0362⑆1916425703⑆001

**Request for Taxpayer
Identification Number and Certification**

Go to www.irs.gov/FormW9 for instructions and the latest information.

Give form to the
requester. Do not
send to the IRS.

Before you begin. For guidance related to the purpose of Form W-9, see *Purpose of Form*, below.

Print or type. See Specific Instructions on page 3.	1 Name of entity/individual. An entry is required. (For a sole proprietor or disregarded entity, enter the owner's name on line 1, and enter the business/disregarded entity's name on line 2.) Russ J. Wunderli	
	2 Business name/disregarded entity name, if different from above. Wunderli Mediation Services	
	3a Check the appropriate box for federal tax classification of the entity/individual whose name is entered on line 1. Check only one of the following seven boxes. ☒ Individual/sole proprietor ☐ C corporation ☐ S corporation ☐ Partnership ☐ Trust/estate ☐ LLC. Enter the tax classification (C = C corporation, S = S corporation, P = Partnership) Note: Check the "LLC" box above and, in the entry space, enter the appropriate code (C, S, or P) for the tax classification of the LLC, unless it is a disregarded entity. A disregarded entity should instead check the appropriate box for the tax classification of its owner. ☐ Other (see instructions)	
	4 Exemptions (codes apply only to certain entities, not individuals; see instructions on page 3): Exempt payee code (if any) _____ Exemption from Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA) reporting code (if any) _____ (Applies to accounts maintained outside the United States.)	
	3b If on line 3a you checked "Partnership" or "Trust/estate," or checked "LLC" and entered "P" as its tax classification, and you are providing this form to a partnership, trust, or estate in which you have an ownership interest, check this box if you have any foreign partners, owners, or beneficiaries. See instructions ☐	
5 Address (number, street, and apt. or suite no.). See instructions. 3017 Douglas Blvd, Suite 300		Requester's name and address (optional)
6 City, state, and ZIP code Roseville, CA 95661		
7 List account number(s) here (optional)		

Part I Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)

Enter your TIN in the appropriate box. The TIN provided must match the name given on line 1 to avoid backup withholding. For individuals, this is generally your social security number (SSN). However, for a resident alien, sole proprietor, or disregarded entity, see the instructions for Part I, later. For other entities, it is your employer identification number (EIN). If you do not have a number, see *How to get a TIN*, later.

Note: If the account is in more than one name, see the instructions for line 1. See also *What Name and Number To Give the Requester* for guidelines on whose number to enter.


Social security number								
			-			-		
or								
Employer identification number								
4	5	-	4	6	3	5	5	7
9								

Part II Certification

Under penalties of perjury, I certify that:

1. The number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification number (or I am waiting for a number to be issued to me); and
2. I am not subject to backup withholding because (a) I am exempt from backup withholding, or (b) I have not been notified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends, or (c) the IRS has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding; and
3. I am a U.S. citizen or other U.S. person (defined below); and
4. The FATCA code(s) entered on this form (if any) indicating that I am exempt from FATCA reporting is correct.

Certification instructions. You must cross out item 2 above if you have been notified by the IRS that you are currently subject to backup withholding because you have failed to report all interest and dividends on your tax return. For real estate transactions, item 2 does not apply. For mortgage interest paid, acquisition or abandonment of secured property, cancellation of debt, contributions to an individual retirement arrangement (IRA), and, generally, payments other than interest and dividends, you are not required to sign the certification, but you must provide your correct TIN. See the instructions for Part II, later.

Sign Here	Signature of U.S. person		Date	07/17/2024

General Instructions

Section references are to the Internal Revenue Code unless otherwise noted.

Future developments. For the latest information about developments related to Form W-9 and its instructions, such as legislation enacted after they were published, go to www.irs.gov/FormW9.

What's New

Line 3a has been modified to clarify how a disregarded entity completes this line. An LLC that is a disregarded entity should check the appropriate box for the tax classification of its owner. Otherwise, it should check the "LLC" box and enter its appropriate tax classification.

New line 3b has been added to this form. A flow-through entity is required to complete this line to indicate that it has direct or indirect foreign partners, owners, or beneficiaries when it provides the Form W-9 to another flow-through entity in which it has an ownership interest. This change is intended to provide a flow-through entity with information regarding the status of its indirect foreign partners, owners, or beneficiaries, so that it can satisfy any applicable reporting requirements. For example, a partnership that has any indirect foreign partners may be required to complete Schedules K-2 and K-3. See the Partnership Instructions for Schedules K-2 and K-3 (Form 1065).

Purpose of Form

An individual or entity (Form W-9 requester) who is required to file an information return with the IRS is giving you this form because they

Cal Kik Investigations
415 Laurel Street #215
San Diego, CA 92101 US
cal@calkik.com

BILL TO
Thomas D. Rutledge, Attorney at
Law
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Ste. 1847
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091

INVOICE 17864

DATE 08/16/2024 **TERMS** Due on receipt

DUE DATE 08/16/2024

CASE #
Innovative Emergency Management

DATE	REFERENCE	DESCRIPTION	QTY	RATE	AMOUNT
08/12/2024	Asset Location/Background Research	Asset location/background research, Madhu Beriwal, Ted Lemcke and Daniel Michael.	1	1,250.00	1,250.00

TOTAL DUE **\$1,250.00**



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	6/1/2023
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	05033990
Amount Due	\$0

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	20457064
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	21688595
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Original Summons

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eFiling Charge	\$12.95
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.38
SUBTOTAL	\$13.33

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$13.33
TOTAL CHARGED	\$13.33

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	08/21/2023
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	05247238
Amount Due	\$0

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	21029252
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	21777478
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Amended Complaint

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eFiling Charge	\$12.95
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.38
SUBTOTAL	\$13.33

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$13.33
TOTAL CHARGED	\$13.33

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	10/5/2023
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	05380160
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	21359867
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	21827672
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Case Management Statement,Proof of Service

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eFiling Charge	\$12.95
eServe Charge	\$2.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.44
SUBTOTAL	\$15.39

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$15.39
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$15.39

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	4/11/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	05909453
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	22664848
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	none
Billing Code	
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	22027063
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Case Management Statement

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eFiling Charge	\$14.95
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.44
SUBTOTAL	\$15.39

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$15.39
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$15.39

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	5/3/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	05978344
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	22664848-R1
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	
Billing Code	NULL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eServe Charge	\$2.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.06
SUBTOTAL	\$2.06

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$2.06
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$2.06

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	6/21/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06141864
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	23181485
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	22097949
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Case Management Statement,Proof of Service

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eFiling Charge	\$14.95
eServe Charge	\$2.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.50
SUBTOTAL	\$17.45

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$17.45
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$17.45

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	7/23/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06220959
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	23306522
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	15909474
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Amendment to Complaint,Amendment to Complaint

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eFiling Charge	\$14.95
eServe Charge	\$4.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.66
SUBTOTAL	\$23.11

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$23.11
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$23.11

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	7/30/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06238794
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	23422023
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	16100691
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Ex Parte Application and Supporting Documents

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
Court Filing Fee	\$60.00
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eFiling Charge	\$14.95
eServe Charge	\$5.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$2.46
SUBTOTAL	\$85.91

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$85.91
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$85.91

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	7/30/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06240685
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	23422024
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	11516054
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Ex Parte Application and Supporting Documents

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
CCD - Standard Courtesy Copy, Zone 1	\$50.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$1.48
SUBTOTAL	\$51.48

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$51.48
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$51.48

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	8/13/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06278549
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	23424228
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	16104048
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Amendment to Complaint/Cross-Complaint Naming Doe,Amendment to Complaint/ Cross-Complaint Naming Doe,

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eFiling Charge	\$14.95
eServe Charge	\$5.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.69
SUBTOTAL	\$24.14

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$24.14
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$24.14

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	8/13/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06278549
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	23424228
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	16104048
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Amendment to Complaint/Cross-Complaint Naming Doe,Amendment to Complaint/ Cross-Complaint Naming Doe,

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eFiling Charge	\$14.95
eServe Charge	\$5.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.69
SUBTOTAL	\$24.14

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$24.14
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$24.14

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	8/13/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06279714
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	23498428
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	16224266
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Amended Complaint

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eCheck Fee++	\$0.25
eFiling Charge	\$14.95
eServe Charge	\$5.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.70
SUBTOTAL	\$24.40

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$24.40
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$24.40

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

++ When it is most cost effective, One Legal disburses fees on your behalf via eCheck. We pass these savings directly on to you.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	9/17/2024
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06382632
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	23402805
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado Vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	16069099
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Stipulation, Proposed Order

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
Court Filing Fee	\$20.00
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eFiling Charge	\$14.95
eServe Charge	\$5.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$1.28
SUBTOTAL	\$44.73

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$44.73
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$44.73

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Credit Card Sale

Date	2/12/2025
Customer	0080553
Credit Sale	06802033
Amount Due	\$0
Tax Total	\$0.00

Bill To

Law Offices of Thomas Rutledge
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe CA 92091

Order Number	24370152
Contact	Thomas Rutledge
Attorney	Thomas Rutledge
Billing Code	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Case Title	Machado vs KLAP6 Technologies LLC [IMAGED]
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County
Court Transaction Number	17691320
Case Number	37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL
Documents	Amended Complaint

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eCheck Fee++	\$0.25
eFiling Charge	\$16.95
eServe Charge	\$8.00
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.93
SUBTOTAL	\$29.63

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$29.63
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL CHARGED	\$29.63

* These mandatory fees are charged by the court or required by statute and are not One Legal service fees. One Legal disburses these fees on your behalf.

++ When it is most cost effective, One Legal disburses fees on your behalf via eCheck. We pass these savings directly on to you.

‡ When paying invoices by credit card, a convenience fee will be assessed in accordance with applicable law and Terms of Service accepted at time of order. To avoid these fees, you can choose to pay via ACH.

Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification

► Go to www.irs.gov/FormW9 for instructions and the latest information.

Give Form to the
requester. Do not
send to the IRS.

Print or type.
See Specific Instructions on page 3.

1 Name (as shown on your income tax return). Name is required on this line; do not leave this line blank. Adjudicate Inc.	
2 Business name/disregarded entity name, if different from above Judicate West	
3 Check appropriate box for federal tax classification of the person whose name is entered on line 1. Check only one of the following seven boxes. ☐ Individual/sole proprietor or single-member LLC ☐ C Corporation ☒ S Corporation ☐ Partnership ☐ Trust/estate ☐ Limited liability company. Enter the tax classification (C=C corporation, S=S corporation, P=Partnership) ► Note: Check the appropriate box in the line above for the tax classification of the single-member owner. Do not check LLC if the LLC is classified as a single-member LLC that is disregarded from the owner unless the owner of the LLC is another LLC that is not disregarded from the owner for U.S. federal tax purposes. Otherwise, a single-member LLC that is disregarded from the owner should check the appropriate box for the tax classification of its owner. ☐ Other (see instructions) ►	
4 Exemptions (codes apply only to certain entities, not individuals; see instructions on page 3): Exempt payee code (if any) _____ Exemption from FATCA reporting code (if any) _____ (Applies to accounts maintained outside the U.S.)	
5 Address (number, street, and apt. or suite no.) See instructions. 1851 East First Street, Suite 1600	Requester's name and address (optional)
6 City, state, and ZIP code Santa Ana, CA 92705	
7 List account number(s) here (optional)	

Part I Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)

Enter your TIN in the appropriate box. The TIN provided must match the name given on line 1 to avoid backup withholding. For individuals, this is generally your social security number (SSN). However, for a resident alien, sole proprietor, or disregarded entity, see the instructions for Part I, later. For other entities, it is your employer identification number (EIN). If you do not have a number, see *How to get a TIN*, later.

Note: If the account is in more than one name, see the instructions for line 1. Also see *What Name and Number To Give the Requester* for guidelines on whose number to enter.


Social security number									
				-			-		
or									
Employer identification number									
3	3			-	0	6	2	6	8

Part II Certification

Under penalties of perjury, I certify that:

1. The number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification number (or I am waiting for a number to be issued to me); and
2. I am not subject to backup withholding because: (a) I am exempt from backup withholding, or (b) I have not been notified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends, or (c) the IRS has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding; and
3. I am a U.S. citizen or other U.S. person (defined below); and
4. The FATCA code(s) entered on this form (if any) indicating that I am exempt from FATCA reporting is correct.

Certification instructions. You must cross out item 2 above if you have been notified by the IRS that you are currently subject to backup withholding because you have failed to report all interest and dividends on your tax return. For real estate transactions, item 2 does not apply. For mortgage interest paid, acquisition or abandonment of secured property, cancellation of debt, contributions to an individual retirement arrangement (IRA), and generally, payments other than interest and dividends, you are not required to sign the certification, but you must provide your correct TIN. See the instructions for Part II, later.

Sign Here	Signature of U.S. person ► 	Date ► 01/04/2023
-----------	--	-------------------

General Instructions

Section references are to the Internal Revenue Code unless otherwise noted.

Future developments. For the latest information about developments related to Form W-9 and its instructions, such as legislation enacted after they were published, go to www.irs.gov/FormW9.

Purpose of Form

An individual or entity (Form W-9 requester) who is required to file an information return with the IRS must obtain your correct taxpayer identification number (TIN) which may be your social security number (SSN), individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN), adoption taxpayer identification number (ATIN), or employer identification number (EIN), to report on an information return the amount paid to you, or other amount reportable on an information return. Examples of information returns include, but are not limited to, the following.

- Form 1099-INT (interest earned or paid)

- Form 1099-DIV (dividends, including those from stocks or mutual funds)
- Form 1099-MISC (various types of income, prizes, awards, or gross proceeds)
- Form 1099-B (stock or mutual fund sales and certain other transactions by brokers)
- Form 1099-S (proceeds from real estate transactions)
- Form 1099-K (merchant card and third party network transactions)
- Form 1098 (home mortgage interest), 1098-E (student loan interest), 1098-T (tuition)
- Form 1099-C (canceled debt)
- Form 1099-A (acquisition or abandonment of secured property)

Use Form W-9 only if you are a U.S. person (including a resident alien), to provide your correct TIN.

If you do not return Form W-9 to the requester with a TIN, you might be subject to backup withholding. See What is backup withholding, later.



Santa Ana
1851 E. First Street
Suite 1600
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Phone: (714) 834-1340
Fax: (714) 834-1344
www.judicategwest.com

INVOICE

Due Upon Receipt

Thomas D. Rutledge, Esq.
Law Offices of Thomas D. Rutledge
thomasrutledgelaw@gmail.com

Invoice Number: 615561
Invoice Date: 12/1/2023
JW Case: A310330
Case Manager: Rebecca Hoefer

Jennifer Machado, et al. vs. Klap6 Technologies, LLC, et al.
Neutral: Russ J. Wunderli, Esq.

Description	Hours	Rate	Fee	Share	Total
Case Management Fee - Jennifer Machado, et al.	-	\$375.00	\$375.00	-	\$375.00
Full Day Rate - 04/25/2024	-	\$750.00	\$7,500.00	1/4	\$1,875.00
Please Note:			Total Due Now:		\$2,250.00
FULL DAY RATE: Covers a maximum 10 hours of time inclusive of review/preparation. Any additional time will be billed at the mediator's hourly rate of \$750. Per agreement, Mr. Rutledge & Mr. Bartz to equally share Plaintiff's portion of the mediation fees.					

Remittance Instructions:

Please reference invoice 615561 on your check made payable to Judicate West and mail to
1851 East First Street, Suite 1600, Santa Ana, CA 92705.

To pay online via ACH or credit card please visit: www.judicategwest.com/Resource/Payment

JW's Tax ID: 33-0626684. JW's W-9 is available online under "Resources" at www.JudicateWest.com

Invoices are due upon receipt to secure your reserved date(s).

Any retainers billed are for additional time required including, but not limited to, review, preparation, and/or post session neutral services. Any unused retainer will be refunded. Please refer to your Services Agreement or Neutral Fee Schedule for information regarding cancellation/continuance deadlines.

All filing fees and the initial case management fee are non-refundable.

For arbitration matters, indigent consumers as defined by Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1284.3(b)(1) may obtain a waiver of JW's filing fees and case management fees.

Please contact a JW Case Manager for more information.

Thank you for choosing Judicate West

CENTURY CITY | DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES | OAKLAND | SACRAMENTO | SAN DIEGO | SANTA ANA

INVOICE

Triangle Process Service

Phone: +1 919-624-7621; tpsfast@gmail.com;

Website: TriangleProcess.com

Invoice No#: 21256
Invoice Date: Aug 13, 2024
Due Date: Aug 13, 2024



PAID

\$0.00
AMOUNT DUE

BILL TO
th*****@gmail.com

#	ITEMS & DESCRIPTION	QTY/HRS	PRICE	AMOUNT(\$)
1	Routine Service - Wake Forest, NC Theodore Lemcke summons and complaint	1	\$125.00	\$125.00
2	Routine Service - Durham, NC Daniel Michael summons and complaint	1	\$150.00	\$150.00
3	Routine Service - Chapel Hill, NC Madhu Beriwal summons and compliant	1	\$150.00	\$150.00
4	Print Fee	79	\$0.25	\$19.75
Subtotal				\$444.75
Shipping				\$0.00
TOTAL				\$444.75 USD
Amount paid				\$444.75
AMOUNT DUE				\$0.00 USD

INVOICE

Segovia and Associates
1301 E. Bardin Rd. #182919
Arlington, TX 76096-4318

info@civilprocess.legal
(877)992-6565
www.civilprocess.legal



Bill to

Thomas D. Rutledge, Attorney-at-Law
16956 VIA DE SANTA FE SUITE 1847
RANCHO SANTA FE, CA 92067

Invoice details

Invoice no.: 144313
Terms: Due on receipt
Invoice date: 07/31/2024
Due date: 07/31/2024

#	Date	Product or service	Description	Qty	Rate	Amount
1.	07/31/2024	Process Service (Routine)	Reference No.: 10292789 Case: 37-2023-00020925-CU-OE- CTL Court: SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL DIVISION Status: Bad Address (Vacant Office) Date & Time: Wed, Jul 31, 2024, 9:27 am CDT Recipient: NARESHKUMAR MANDANLAL KOTHARI Business Address (SKOPIQ INC.) 4600 FULLER DRIVE SUITE 150 IRVING, TX 75038 DALLAS COUNTY	1	\$65.00	\$65.00
2.	07/31/2024	(2nd) Address	Status: Served & Photographed Date & Time: Recipient: NARESHKUMAR MANDANLAL KOTHARI Home Address: 7332 BERANGER DRIVE IRVING, TX 75063 DALLAS COUNTY	1	\$35.00	\$35.00

Ways to pay

Total \$100.00



Note to customer

Your invoice appears below. Please remit payment at your earliest convenience.

Visa, Mastercard, Discover & American Express accepted.

Thank you for your business - we appreciate it very much. We appreciate your prompt payment.

Pay invoice

RUSS J. WUNDERLI

Wunderli Mediation Services

3017 Douglas Blvd, Suite 300
Roseville, CA 95661
Phone: 916-797-7330
Fax: 916-405-3880
Email: adr@wunderlilaw.com

April 3, 2025

INVOICE FOR MEDIATION SERVICES

Re: Machado v. Klap6, et al.

(Due on Receipt)

11/25/24-2/5/25 Mediation Services: lengthy settlement negotiations via
numerous email and telephone discussions: 3½ hours@\$750/hr.... \$2,625.00

Total Charges: \$2,625.00

Each Side's ½ Share \$1,312.50

Balance owed by Plaintiff: \$1,312.50

Balance owed by Defendant Klap6: \$1,312.50

Tax I.D. 45-4635579

Honor Process Services

683 Cotley Dr
Frisco, Tx 75036

INVOICE: 8995839

Issued: Jun 8, 2023
Sent to: Thomas D. Rutledge

LAW OFFICE OF Thomas D. Rutledge

Thomas D. Rutledge
16956 VIA DE SANTA FE STE: 1847
RANCHO SANTA FE, CA 92091-4606

PAY TO:
Honor Process Services
683 Cotley Dr
Frisco, Tx 75036

Case: 37-2023-00020925-CU-OE-CTL Job: 8995839	Plaintiff / Petitioner: JENNIFER MACHADO, individually and as an aggrieved employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA) on behalf of the State of California and other aggrieved employees Defendant / Respondent: K LAP6 TECHNOLOGIES LLC, a California limited liability company; KAMALNATH NAGASUBRAMANIAM, an individual; and DOES 1 through 50 Recipient: K LAP6 TECHNOLOGIES LLC, C/O MURALI KC, CHIEF HR
--	---

Item	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
Attorney Services	PAYMENT BY CREDIT CARD AVAILABLE OFF YOUR INVOICE THANK YOU FOR YOUR TRUST IN HONOR PROCESS SERVICES	\$125.00	1	\$125.00
Notary and Affidavit		\$10.00	1	\$10.00

Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Jun 8, 2023	thomasrutledgelaw@gmail.com Paid Online Payment ID: ch_3NGmtwC7Y46kuhXS1e8ZqkoV	(\$135.00)

Please note. Any type of request for services rendered and received by HPS LLC constitutes an acceptance of our billing terms and charges, including, but not limited to a \$10 per month late fee, if you fail to pay within 30 days from the date of invoice.

Thank You for Allowing us to handle your2525 business.

Total: \$135.00
Amount Paid: (\$135.00)
Balance Due: \$0.00

Honor Process Services • 683 Cotley Dr, Frisco, Tx 75036

Call: 214 232 2606 • Email: david.hulk@outlook.com • Visit: www.honorserves.com

Cal Kik Investigations
415 Laurel Street #215
San Diego, CA 92101 US
cal@calkik.com

BILL TO

Thomas D. Rutledge, Esq.
16956 Via De Santa Fe
RSF, CA 92091

INVOICE 17680

DATE 02/09/2024 **TERMS** Due on receipt

DUE DATE 02/09/2024

CASE #

Kothari/Nagasubramaniam

DATE	REFERENCE	DESCRIPTION	QTY	RATE	AMOUNT
12/26/2023	Asset Location/Background Research	Asset location/background searches, Naresh Madanlal Kothari and Kamalnath Nagasubramaniam, and LLC's	1	875.00	875.00

TOTAL DUE

\$875.00

Cal Kik Investigations
415 Laurel Street #215
San Diego, CA 92101 US
cal@calkik.com

BILL TO
Bartz Law Group, APC
5151 California Avenue, Ste. 100
Irvine, CA 92617

INVOICE 17680

DATE 02/09/2024 **TERMS** Due on receipt

DUE DATE 02/09/2024

CASE #
Kothari/Nagasubramaniam

DATE	REFERENCE	DESCRIPTION	QTY	RATE	AMOUNT
12/26/2023	Asset Location/Background Research	Asset location/background searches, Naresh Madanlal Kothari and Kamalnath Nagasubramaniam, and LLC's	1	875.00	875.00

TOTAL DUE **\$875.00**