



1700 W Burbank Boulevard,
Burbank, CA 91506

t | 213.493.6300
d | 213.341.1909
f | 213.336.3704

Megan@abramsonlabor.com
www.AbramsonLaborGroup.com

August 26, 2025

PAGA Claim Notice Via Online Electronic Submission

California Labor & Workforce Development Agency

800 Capitol Mall, Suite 5000 (MIC-55)

Sacramento, CA 95814

<https://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html>

Re: *Richard Chatman v. IMC Logistics, LLC*

Dear Representative,

Our firm represents Richard Chatman in regard to certain potential workplace related claims against IMC Logistics, LLC (including any and all affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, directors, and officers thereof) (collectively referred to herein as “Employers”). This letter is being sent simultaneously to Employers by certified mail.

Employers employed Claimant from approximately May 23, 2023, through n April 5, 2024, as a non-exempt employee. During employment with Employers, Claimant was employed as a Driver at its location at 550 W Artesia Blvd, Compton, CA 90220 with its corporate office located at 1305 Schilling Blvd W Collierville, TN 38017.

Claimant intends to bring a representative action seeking penalties for violations of the California Labor Code which are recoverable under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”). Claimant is seeking penalties on behalf of themselves and in a representative capacity on behalf of the State of California and all current and former hourly-paid and/or non-exempt employees who worked for Employers in the State of California during the relevant statutory period (“Aggrieved Employees”). This letter is being sent in compliance with California Labor Code section 2699.3 and shall serve as Claimant’s notice of the provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories in support thereof.

As detailed below, Employers violated several of California’s wage and hour laws during Claimant’s employment, including but not limited to California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, 2802, and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders (“IWC Wage Orders”). The claims made on behalf of Claimant and Aggrieved Employees are based upon the following facts and theories:

1. FAILURE TO PAY FOR ALL TIME WORKED AT CORRECT RATES OF PAY, INCLUDING MINIMUM WAGES, STRAIGHT TIME WAGES, AND OVERTIME COMPENSATION

Employers have engaged in numerous unlawful practices which resulted in the failure to pay Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees for all time worked at the correct rates of pay, including minimum wages, straight time wages, and overtime compensation.

California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 and IWC Wage Orders require employers to pay at least the legal minimum wage to their employees. California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 and IWC Wage Orders further require employers to pay no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay of the employee for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in one workday, for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in one workweek, and for the first eight (8) hours worked on the seventh day of work in any one workweek, and to pay no less than twice the regular rate of pay of the employee for hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in one workday and for any work in excess of eight (8) hours on any seventh day of a workweek. In addition, California Labor Code sections 226.2, 226.7, 510, and 1198, together with IWC Wage Orders, require employers to pay employees for all time worked at their regular rate of pay, which must include all nondiscretionary remuneration such as mileage pay, production pay, commissions, and bonuses.

Throughout the relevant time period, Employers regularly required Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to perform work off-the-clock. Employers failed to compensate Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees for any of this off-the-clock work, in violation of California law. In addition, Claimant and Aggrieved Employees were paid both hourly and "mileage" pay. Mileage pay is additional compensation paid based on the length of travel. When Employers paid Claimant and Aggrieved Employees for overtime pay, sick pay, vacation pay, and meal period premiums, they failed to include the mileage pay in the calculation of the regular rate of pay. This means that all overtime pay, sick pay, vacation pay, and meal period premiums were paid at less than the legally required amount. The Employers failed to include mileage pay in calculating compensation for rest breaks as required by Labor Code § 226.2. Furthermore, when rest break premiums were owed, the Employers failed to pay them at the correct "regular rate of pay" as clarified in *Ferra v. Loews Hollywood Hotel, LLC* (2021) 11 Cal.5th 858. Instead, Claimant and Aggrieved Employees were compensated at their base hourly rate only, excluding mileage and production pay.

Employers also failed to accurately record the actual time worked by Claimant and Aggrieved Employees. Moreover, the uncompensated work described herein was often performed when Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees had already worked eight (8) hours in a day and/or forty (40) hours in week resulting in a failure to pay overtime compensation. Finally, Employers

failed to pay overtime to Claimant and Aggrieved Employees for all overtime hours worked based on regular rates of pay correctly calculated to include all applicable remuneration, including non-discretionary commissions, non-discretionary bonuses, and non-discretionary performance pay.

As a result of the foregoing practices, Employers have failed to pay Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees for all wages earned at the correct rates of pay, including minimum wages, straight time wages, overtime compensation and mileage pay in calculating compensation for rest breaks as required by Labor Code § 226.2. Accordingly, Employers violated IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code sections 204, 226.2, 226.7, 510, 1194, 1197, 1197.1 and 1198.

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to penalties paragraph of the applicable IWC Wage Orders; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 558, 1197.1, and 1199; and attorneys' fees and costs.

2. FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY WAGES DURING EMPLOYMENT

California Labor Code section 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month. California Labor Code section 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, Employers have failed to timely pay all wages earned because Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees were not paid all the wages they were owed including, *inter alia*, overtime compensation, straight time wages, minimum wages, and meal premiums. In addition, the failure to pay correct rest break wages and premiums also results in untimely payment of wages under California Labor Code section 204. Accordingly, Employers violated California Labor Code section 204(a).

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 210 and 1199; and attorneys' fees and costs.

3. FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE ITEMIZED WAGE STATEMENTS

California Labor Code section 226(a) requires an employer semimonthly or at the time of

each payment of wages to furnish wage statements to its employees setting forth, *inter alia*, (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, and (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer.

As a result of the practices described herein, the wage statements provided to Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees failed to include the actual hours worked (including time spent working off-the-clock), the actual gross wages earned (including wages owed for time spent working off the clock and meal and rest period premiums), and the correct rates of pay. The wage statements provided to Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees also failed to include the name of the legal entity that employed Claimant and the Aggrieved Employees. Accordingly, Employers violated California Labor Code section 226(a).

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.3; and attorneys' fees and costs.

4. FAILURE TO MAINTAIN ACCURATE RECORDS

California Labor Code section 1174(d) requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case, shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, Employers have failed to maintain accurate records relating to Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees' work periods, meal periods, total daily hours worked, and total hours worked per payroll period. Accordingly, Employers violated the applicable IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code sections 1198.5 and 1174(d).

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to penalties paragraph of the applicable IWC Wage Orders; California Labor Code section 1174.5 penalties; and attorneys' fees and costs.

5. FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY ALL WAGES UPON TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT

California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

During the relevant time period, upon the termination of employment (including but not limited to when they were temporarily laid off, laid off, discharged, and/or resigned), Aggrieved Employees were not paid all wages due to them within twenty-four (24) hours or even within seventy-two (72) hours for those who resigned. Specifically, as a result of Employers' practices of requiring Aggrieved Employees to work off-the-clock without compensation, failing to pay proper overtime compensation, unlawful rounding practices, failure to properly calculate regular rates, and failure to accurately record all hours actually worked, Employers failed to pay Aggrieved Employees for all straight time wage, minimum wages and overtime compensation owed to them upon termination of their employment. Employers also failed to pay Aggrieved Employees for meal period premiums and rest period premiums they were owed, amongst other wages. Accordingly, Employers violated California Labor Code sections 201 and 202.

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 203 and 1199; and attorneys' fees and costs.

This letter is sent in compliance with the reporting requirements of California Labor Code section 2699.3. It is Claimant's intention to file a civil complaint and to bring the appropriate claims under California Labor Code § 2699 on behalf of themselves, the State of California and all Aggrieved Employees should your office not address Employers' alleged violations within this time.

If you have any questions regarding the forgoing, please contact the undersigned.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'M. Ross', is written over a faint, circular blue stamp.

Megan E. Ross, Esq.



ABRAMSON LABOR GROUP

cc: By U.S. Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested
IMC Logistics, LLC
1305 Schilling Blvd W
Collierville, TN 38017

Via US Mail
Ken Villanueva, Esq.
Littler Mendelson PC
633 West Fifth Street, 63rd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT

Domestic Mail Only

510827

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.

Collierville, TN 38017

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$5.30

\$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

- ☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$4.40
☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$0.00
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$0.00
☐ Adult Signature Required \$0.00
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$0.00

Postage \$1.07

\$

Total Postage and Fees

\$10.77

\$

Sent To

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.

City, State, ZIP+4®

IMC Logistics, LLC

1365 Schilling Blvd. W

Collierville, TN 38017



7019 0700 0001 7556 3126

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.

Los Angeles, CA 90071

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$5.30

\$4.40

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

- ☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$10.00
☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$10.00
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$10.00
☐ Adult Signature Required \$10.00
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$1.07

Total Postage and Fees

\$10.77

Sent To

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.

City, State, ZIP+4®

Little Mandelson PC
633 West Fifth Street, 63rd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

