



1700 W Burbank Boulevard,
Burbank, CA 91506

t | 213.493.6300
d | 213.341.1909
f | 213.336.3704

Megan@abramsonlabor.com
www.AbramsonLaborGroup.com

August 25, 2025

PAGA Claim Notice Via Online Electronic Submission

California Labor & Workforce Development Agency

800 Capitol Mall, Suite 5000 (MIC-55)

Sacramento, CA 95814

<https://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html>

Re: *Jose E. Hernandez v. Killion Industries, Inc.*

Dear Representative,

Our firm represents Jose E. Hernandez in regard to certain potential workplace related claims against Killion Industries, Inc. (including any and all affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, directors, and officers thereof) (collectively referred to herein as “Employers”). This letter is being sent simultaneously to Employers by certified mail.

Employers employed Claimant from approximately February 09, 2011, through August 24, 2024, as a non-exempt employee. During employment with Employers, Claimant was employed as a Facility Worker at Employers’ locations.

Claimant intends to bring a representative action seeking penalties for violations of the California Labor Code which are recoverable under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”). Claimant is seeking penalties on behalf of themselves and in a representative capacity on behalf of the State of California and all current and former hourly-paid and/or non-exempt employees who worked for Employers in the State of California during the relevant statutory period (“Aggrieved Employees”). This letter is being sent in compliance with California Labor Code section 2699.3 and shall serve as Claimant’s notice of the provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories in support thereof.

As detailed below, Employers violated several of California’s wage and hour laws during Claimant’s employment, including but not limited to California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800, 2802, and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders (“IWC Wage Orders”). The claims made on behalf of Claimant and Aggrieved Employees are based upon the following facts and theories:

1. FAILURE TO PAY FOR ALL TIME WORKED AT CORRECT RATES OF PAY, INCLUDING MINIMUM WAGES, STRAIGHT TIME WAGES, AND OVERTIME COMPENSATION

Employers have engaged in numerous unlawful practices which resulted in the failure to pay Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees for all time worked at the correct rates of pay, including minimum wages, straight time wages, and overtime compensation.

California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 and IWC Wage Orders require employers to pay at least the legal minimum wage to their employees. California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 and IWC Wage Orders further require employers to pay no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay of the employee for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in one workday, for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in one workweek, and for the first eight (8) hours worked on the seventh day of work in any one workweek, and to pay no less than twice the regular rate of pay of the employee for hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in one workday and for any work in excess of eight (8) hours on any seventh day of a workweek.

Throughout the relevant time period, Employers regularly required Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to perform work off-the-clock. Employers failed to compensate Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees for any of this off-the-clock work, in violation of California law. In addition, Employers utilized time rounding practices that resulted in the systematic underpayment of wages (including minimum wages, straight time wages and overtime wages) to Claimant and Aggrieved Employees.

Employers also failed to accurately record the actual time worked by Claimant and Aggrieved Employees. Moreover, the uncompensated work described herein was often performed when Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees had already worked eight (8) hours in a day and/or forty (40) hours in week resulting in a failure to pay overtime compensation. Finally, Employers failed to pay overtime to Claimant and Aggrieved Employees for all overtime hours worked based on regular rates of pay correctly calculated to include all applicable remuneration, including non-discretionary commissions, non-discretionary bonuses, and non-discretionary performance pay.

As a result of the foregoing practices, Employers have failed to pay Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees for all wages earned at the correct rates of pay, including minimum wages, straight time wages, and overtime compensation. Accordingly, Employers violated IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code sections 204, 510, 1194, 1197, 1197.1 and 1198.

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to penalties paragraph of the applicable

IWC Wage Orders; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 558, 1197.1, and 1199; and attorneys' fees and costs.

2. FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL PERIODS AND PAY MEAL PERIOD PREMIUMS

California law requires employers to provide employees with an opportunity to take an uninterrupted meal period of no less than thirty (30) minutes before the end of the fifth hour of work when an employee works more than five (5) hours in a day. Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7, 512(a). A second meal period of no less than thirty (30) minutes must be provided before the end of the tenth hour of work when an employee works more than ten (10) hours in a day. Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7, 512(a). When an employer fails to provide a meal or rest period, an hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation is owed to the employee. Cal. Lab. Code § 226.7(c).

During the relevant time period, Employers failed to provide Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees with legally-compliant 30-minute meal periods. Specifically, Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees were regularly scheduled to work shifts exceeding 10 hours, approximately four days per week, yet were not provided with a second meal period as required by law. Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees were required by Employers to work more than five (5) hours per day, but were not provided with uninterrupted 30-minute meal periods. Further, Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees were not provided with a second uninterrupted 30-minute meal period when they worked over ten (10) hours per day. Employers regularly required Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to work through their first and second meal periods, to take their first meal period after their fifth (5th) hour of work, and/or to take their second meal periods after their tenth (10th) hour of work. Meal periods, when provided, were oftentimes interrupted or cut short.

Employers' policies, practices, and procedures were responsible for the violations alleged above and prevented Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees from taking timely, complete and duty-free meal periods because, amongst other reasons: (1) Employers did not have legally-compliant policies regarding the provision and timing of meal periods or systematically disregarded its own purported meal period policies; (2) Employers' management at various levels failed and refused to implement and enforce legally compliant meal period policies and practices; (3) Employers failed to adequately inform and train Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees regarding their right to take timely, uninterrupted, and duty-free meal periods; (4) Employers failed to adequately inform and train Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees about their right to premium wages for non-compliant meal periods; (5) Employers failed to ensure that there was adequate staffing to allow Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to take timely, uninterrupted, and duty-free meal periods; (6) Employers required Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to respond to work-related requests at all times, including during meal periods; and (7) Employers'

policy and culture prevented Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees from taking timely, uninterrupted, and duty-free meal periods because of the priority placed on maximizing profits over employees' right to receive timely, uninterrupted, and duty-free meal periods.

Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees did not receive an extra hour of wages at their regular rate of pay for the meal periods which were not provided to them in compliance with California law. Accordingly, Employers violated the applicable IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code sections 226.7, 512(a) and 1198.

Claimants and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to penalties paragraph of the applicable IWC Wage Orders; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 558 and 1199; and attorneys' fees and costs.

3. FAILURE TO AUTHORIZE AND PERMIT REST PERIODS AND PAY REST PERIOD PREMIUMS

California law requires employers to authorize and permit employees to take an uninterrupted rest period of no less than ten (10) minutes rest for every four (4) hours, or major fraction thereof, worked. Cal. Lab. Code § 226.7. When an employer fails to authorize and permit a rest period, an hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation is owed to the employee. Cal. Lab. Code § 226.7(c).

Employers failed to authorize and permit Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to take legally-compliant 10-minute rest periods. Employers required Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to work through rest periods. Claimant and Aggrieved Employees were not provided with uninterrupted, duty-free rest periods when they worked more than four (4) hours or a major fraction thereof. Further, Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees were not provided with a second uninterrupted, duty-free rest period when they worked more than six (6) hours in a day, or a third uninterrupted, duty-free rest period after working more than ten (10) hours in day. In the rare event that a rest period was taken, it was frequently interrupted or cut short. Moreover, Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees were not completely relieved of duty as required by *Augustus v. ABM Security Services, Inc.*, 2 Cal.5th 257 (2016).

Employers' policies, practices, and procedures were responsible for the violations alleged above and prevented Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees from taking timely and complete rest periods because, amongst other reasons: (1) Employers did not have legally-compliant policies regarding the provision and timing of rest periods or systematically disregarded its own purported

rest period policies; (2) Employers' management at various levels failed and refused to implement and enforce legally compliant rest period policies and practices; (3) Employers failed to adequately inform and train Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees regarding their right to take timely, uninterrupted, and duty-free rest periods; (4) Employers failed to adequately inform and train Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees about their right to premium wages for non-compliant rest periods; (5) Employers failed to ensure that there was adequate staffing to allow Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to take timely, uninterrupted, and duty-free rest periods; (6) Employers required Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees to respond to work-related requests at all times, including during rest periods; and (7) Employers' policy and culture prevented Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees from taking timely, uninterrupted, and duty-free rest periods because of the priority placed on completing job requirements over employees' right to receive rest periods.

Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees did not receive an extra hour of wages at their regular rate of pay for the rest periods which were not provided to them in compliance with California law. Accordingly, Employers violated the applicable IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code sections 226.7, and 1198.

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to penalties paragraph of the applicable IWC Wage Orders; Labor Code sections 558 and 1199 penalties; and attorneys' fees and costs.

4. FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY WAGES DURING EMPLOYMENT

California Labor Code section 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month. California Labor Code section 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, Employers have failed to timely pay all wages earned because Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees were not paid all the wages they were owed including, *inter alia*, overtime compensation, straight time wages, minimum wages, and meal and rest period premiums. Accordingly, Employers violated California Labor Code section 204(a).

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant

to Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 210 and 1199; and attorneys' fees and costs.

5. FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE ITEMIZED WAGE STATEMENTS

California Labor Code section 226(a) requires an employer semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages to furnish wage statements to its employees setting forth, *inter alia*, (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, and (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer.

As a result of the practices described herein, the wage statements provided to Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees failed to include the actual hours worked (including time spent working off-the-clock), the actual gross wages earned (including wages owed for time spent working off the clock and meal and rest period premiums), and the correct rates of pay. The wage statements provided to Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees also failed to include the name of the legal entity that employed Claimant and the Aggrieved Employees. Accordingly, Employers violated California Labor Code section 226(a).

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.3; and attorneys' fees and costs.

6. FAILURE TO MAINTAIN ACCURATE RECORDS

California Labor Code section 1174(d) requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case, shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, Employers have failed to maintain accurate records relating to Claimant and other Aggrieved Employees' work periods, meal periods, total daily hours worked, and total hours worked per payroll period. Accordingly, Employers violated the applicable IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code sections 1198.5 and 1174(d).

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to paragraph of the applicable IWC Wage Orders; California Labor Code section 1174.5 penalties; and attorneys' fees and costs.

7. FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY ALL WAGES UPON TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT

California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

During the relevant time period, upon the termination of employment (including but not limited to when they were temporarily laid off, laid off, discharged, and/or resigned), Aggrieved Employees were not paid all wages due to them within twenty-four (24) hours or even within seventy-two (72) hours for those who resigned. Specifically, as a result of Employers' practices of requiring Aggrieved Employees to work off-the-clock without compensation, failing to pay proper overtime compensation, unlawful rounding practices, failure to properly calculate regular rates, and failure to accurately record all hours actually worked, Employers failed to pay Aggrieved Employees for all straight time wage, minimum wages and overtime compensation owed to them upon termination of their employment. Employers also failed to pay Aggrieved Employees for meal period premiums and rest period premiums they were owed, amongst other wages. Accordingly, Employers violated California Labor Code sections 201 and 202.

Claimant and Aggrieved Employees will therefore seek PAGA default penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699; penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 203 and 1199; and attorneys' fees and costs.

This letter is sent in compliance with the reporting requirements of California Labor Code section 2699.3. It is Claimant's intention to file a civil complaint and to bring the appropriate claims under California Labor Code § 2699 on behalf of themselves, the State of California and all Aggrieved Employees should your office not address Employers' alleged violations within this time.

If you have any questions regarding the forgoing, please contact the undersigned.



A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "MEG E. ROSS", is written over a faint, light blue rectangular stamp.

Megan E. Ross, Esq.
ABRAMSON LABOR GROUP

cc: By U.S. Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

Killion Industries, Inc.

1380 Poinsettia Ave

Vista, CA 92081

448624

Vista, CA 92081

OFFICIAL USE

\$	16.40
----	-------

96 100

\$10.00

§ 100.00 **§ 100.01** **§ 100.02** **§ 100.03** **§ 100.04** **§ 100.05** **§ 100.06** **§ 100.07** **§ 100.08** **§ 100.09** **§ 100.10** **§ 100.11** **§ 100.12** **§ 100.13** **§ 100.14** **§ 100.15** **§ 100.16** **§ 100.17** **§ 100.18** **§ 100.19** **§ 100.20** **§ 100.21** **§ 100.22** **§ 100.23** **§ 100.24** **§ 100.25** **§ 100.26** **§ 100.27** **§ 100.28** **§ 100.29** **§ 100.30** **§ 100.31** **§ 100.32** **§ 100.33** **§ 100.34** **§ 100.35** **§ 100.36** **§ 100.37** **§ 100.38** **§ 100.39** **§ 100.40** **§ 100.41** **§ 100.42** **§ 100.43** **§ 100.44** **§ 100.45** **§ 100.46** **§ 100.47** **§ 100.48** **§ 100.49** **§ 100.50** **§ 100.51** **§ 100.52** **§ 100.53** **§ 100.54** **§ 100.55** **§ 100.56** **§ 100.57** **§ 100.58** **§ 100.59** **§ 100.60** **§ 100.61** **§ 100.62** **§ 100.63** **§ 100.64** **§ 100.65** **§ 100.66** **§ 100.67** **§ 100.68** **§ 100.69** **§ 100.70** **§ 100.71** **§ 100.72** **§ 100.73** **§ 100.74** **§ 100.75** **§ 100.76** **§ 100.77** **§ 100.78** **§ 100.79** **§ 100.80** **§ 100.81** **§ 100.82** **§ 100.83** **§ 100.84** **§ 100.85** **§ 100.86** **§ 100.87** **§ 100.88** **§ 100.89** **§ 100.90** **§ 100.91** **§ 100.92** **§ 100.93** **§ 100.94** **§ 100.95** **§ 100.96** **§ 100.97** **§ 100.98** **§ 100.99** **§ 100.100** **§ 100.101** **§ 100.102** **§ 100.103** **§ 100.104** **§ 100.105** **§ 100.106** **§ 100.107** **§ 100.108** **§ 100.109** **§ 100.110** **§ 100.111** **§ 100.112** **§ 100.113** **§ 100.114** **§ 100.115** **§ 100.116** **§ 100.117** **§ 100.118** **§ 100.119** **§ 100.120** **§ 100.121** **§ 100.122** **§ 100.123** **§ 100.124** **§ 100.125** **§ 100.126** **§ 100.127** **§ 100.128** **§ 100.129** **§ 100.130** **§ 100.131** **§ 100.132** **§ 100.133** **§ 100.134** **§ 100.135** **§ 100.136** **§ 100.137** **§ 100.138** **§ 100.139** **§ 100.140** **§ 100.141** **§ 100.142** **§ 100.143** **§ 100.144** **§ 100.145** **§ 100.146** **§ 100.147** **§ 100.148** **§ 100.149** **§ 100.150** **§ 100.151** **§ 100.152** **§ 100.153** **§ 100.154** **§ 100.155** **§ 100.156** **§ 100.157** **§ 100.158** **§ 100.159** **§ 100.160** **§ 100.161** **§ 100.162** **§ 100.163** **§ 100.164** **§ 100.165** **§ 100.166** **§ 100.167** **§ 100.168** **§ 100.169** **§ 100.170** **§ 100.171** **§ 100.172** **§ 100.173** **§ 100.174** **§ 100.175** **§ 100.176** **§ 100.177** **§ 100.178** **§ 100.179** **§ 100.180** **§ 100.181** **§ 100.182** **§ 100.183** **§ 100.184** **§ 100.185** **§ 100.186** **§ 100.187** **§ 100.188** **§ 100.189** **§ 100.190** **§ 100.191** **§ 100.192** **§ 100.193** **§ 100.194** **§ 100.195** **§ 100.196** **§ 100.197** **§ 100.198** **§ 100.199** **§ 100.200** **§ 100.201** **§ 100.202** **§ 100.203** **§ 100.204** **§ 100.205** **§ 100.206** **§ 100.207** **§ 100.208** **§ 100.209** **§ 100.210** **§ 100.211** **§ 100.212** **§ 100.213** **§ 100.214** **§ 100.215** **§ 100.216** **§ 100.217** **§ 100.218** **§ 100.219** **§ 100.220** **§ 100.221** **§ 100.222** **§ 100.223** **§ 100.224** **§ 100.225** **§ 100.226** **§ 100.227** **§ 100.228** **§ 100.229** **§ 100.230** **§ 100.231** **§ 100.232** **§ 100.233** **§ 100.234** **§ 100.235** **§ 100.236** **§ 100.237** **§ 100.238** **§ 100.239** **§ 100.240** **§ 100.241** **§ 100.242** **§ 100.243** **§ 100.244** **§ 100.245** **§ 100.246** **§ 100.247** **§ 100.248** **§ 100.249** **§ 100.250** **§ 100.251** **§ 100.252** **§ 100.253** **§ 100.254** **§ 100.255** **§ 100.256** **§ 100.257** **§ 100.258** **§ 100.259** **§ 100.260** **§ 100.261** **§ 100.262** **§ 100.263** **§ 100.264** **§ 100.265** **§ 100.266** **§ 100.267** **§ 100.268** **§ 100.269** **§ 100.270** **§ 100.271** **§ 100.272** **§ 100.273** **§ 100.274** **§ 100.275** **§ 100.276** **§ 100.277** **§ 100.278** **§ 100.2**

\$ 10.00

§ 40.00

\$ _____

\$

10.77

Killion Industries, Inc.

1380 Poinsettia Ave

Vista, CA 92081



296T 9552 1000 0020 6T02