

LAW OFFICES OF
RAMIN R. YOUNESSI
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
3435 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2200
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010
TELEPHONE: (323) 777-7777
FACSIMILE: (213) 480-6201
EMAIL: RYOUNESSI@YOUNESSILAW.COM

PLEASE NOTE THIS FIRM DOES NOT
ACCEPT SERVICE BY FACSIMILE OR EMAIL

September 15, 2025

Via Electronic Filing Only

Attn: PAGA Administrator
1515 Clay Street, Ste. 801
Oakland, CA 94612
(510) 622-3273

RE: AMOR GALLO v. TOSCANA COUNTRY CLUB, INC.
PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL ACT (Our File No. 23519)

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be informed that TOSCANA COUNTRY CLUB, INC. (referred to as “Respondent”), located at 300 Eagle Dance Circle, Palm Desert, and any other employers or person(s) acting on behalf of Respondent as such phrase is used under Labor Code sections 558 and 558.1, have committed and/or caused to be committed Labor Code violations against our client, AMOR GALLO, as well as other employees working for Respondent within the past four years, including all of Respondent’s other locations within California.

Respondent is subject to the California Labor Code and the Wage Orders issued by the Industrial Welfare Commission (“IWC”). Our client worked for Respondent as housekeeper from March 2024 to on or about March 10, 2025. Respondent failed to pay our client wages when due, failed to pay our client minimum wages for all hours worked, failed to pay our client overtime compensation, failed to provide rest periods to our client, failed to maintain complete and accurate time records, and failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements to our client, and failed to pay our client timely wages.

Our client may represent all other potentially aggrieved employees who were subjected to the same labor code violations as follows:

Respondent violated Labor Code §§ 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, and 1197 because it failed to pay our client and/or other aggrieved employees California’s statutory minimum wage. Our client worked approximately 1.5 hours per week off the clock of which Respondent was on

notice, and she was not paid for these hours at all, not her hourly wage rate or even the California statutory minimum wage.

Respondent violated Labor Code §510 because it failed to pay our client and/or other aggrieved employees overtime, even though they worked more than 8 hours per day and/or 40 hours per week throughout their employment. Our client worked approximately 1.5 overtime hours off the clock, and she was not paid overtime compensation for these hours.

Respondent violated Labor Code § 226.7 because it failed to provide our client and other aggrieved employees 10-minute rest breaks for every four (4) hours of work, or majority portion thereof, throughout their employment. Respondent failed to affirmatively advise our client and other aggrieved employees of the right to take statutory rest breaks, regularly interrupted our client's rest breaks, and/or did not completely relieve our client of all duty during said rest breaks. Respondent did not pay to our client one (1) additional hour of pay at our client's regular rate of compensation for each workday that one or more statutory rest breaks was not provided. Moreover, Respondent did not have adequate policies or practices permitting or authorizing rest periods, did not have adequate policies or practices regarding the timing of rest periods, and did not have adequate policies or practices to verify whether our client and/or other aggrieved employees were taking their required rest periods.

Pursuant to Labor Code § 1174.5, any person, including any entity, employing labor who willfully fails to maintain accurate and complete records required by Labor Code § 1174 is subject to a penalty under § 1174.5. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Order § 7(A)(3), every employer shall keep time records showing when the employee begins and ends each work period. Meal periods and total hours worked daily shall also be recorded. The Respondent did not keep such records. As a result of Respondent's knowing failure to comply with the Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Orders, our client and/or other aggrieved employees have suffered an injury in that they were prevented from knowing, understanding, and disputing the wage payments paid to them.

Respondent violated Labor Code §§ 226(a)(1), (2), (5) and (9), and 1174 because it failed to provide our client and other aggrieved employees with itemized wage and hour statements that fully or accurately stated the (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (5) net wages earned, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. Our client's paystubs failed to include all hours worked and omitted the hourly rate for those excluded hours, rendering both the stated gross and net wages false and inaccurate. Respondent further violated Labor Code § 226(a) because it failed to keep a copy of the required itemized statements on file for at least three (3) years at the place of employment or at a central location within the State of California.

Respondent violated Labor Code §204 because it failed to pay our client and/or other aggrieved employees accrued wages and other compensation on the payday designated by Respondent. These wages refer to, at a minimum, overtime compensation, and rest period compensation that Respondents should have paid within the time restraints of Labor Code §204.

To date, Respondent has failed to pay our client the 1.5 hours she worked off the clock each week.

Respondent may have the right to cure certain violations and/or reduce certain civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.5 et seq. and 2699.3 et seq.

Please take further notice that, based upon the above-mentioned violations, and in addition to the penalties provided by those specific sections themselves, our client also intends to seek penalties under the following Labor Code sections which themselves are inviolable but provide for recovery of penalties for violating one or more of the above-mentioned Labor Code sections: Labor Code §§ 203, 210, 558, 226.3.

Respondent's violations of 8 Code of Regulations § 11050, Industrial Welfare Commission Order No. 5-2001, Labor Code §§ 201-203, 204, 210, 226, 226.7, 5101102.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 2699, and other applicable provisions, as alleged herein (i.e. Respondent failed to pay wages when due, AND/OR has failed to pay minimum wages, AND/OR has failed to pay overtime wages, AND/OR has failed to provide rest breaks, AND/OR has failed to provide timely and accurate itemized wage and hour statements, AND/OR failed to maintain complete and accurate time records) constitute unfair business practices in violation of Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.

Our client is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that she and other employees were subject to the same violations and, therefore, are aggrieved employees within the meaning of California Labor Code § 2699. Thus, our client, as an aggrieved employee, brings an action against Respondent, on behalf of herself and other current and former employees.

Should your agency choose not to pursue this matter, this law office intends to vigorously prosecute the aforementioned Labor Code violations on its behalf, and vindicate the rights of all employees who have been subjected to these same Labor Code violations by Respondent.

Respectfully,

**LAW OFFICES OF RAMIN R. YOUNESSI
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION**

Andreea Bickford
Andreea Bickford
Attorney at Law