



Daniel Ginzburg | Attorney

dan@frontierlawcenter.com
(818) 914-3412
23901 Calabasas Road, Suite 2074
Calabasas, CA 91302



www.frontierlawcenter.com

March 29, 2023

Via Online Filing

<http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html>

Via Certified Mail

Ross Dress For Less, Inc
5130 Hacienda Dr
Dublin, CA 94568

Subject: *Gabriel Padilla v. Ross Dress For Less, Inc*

Dear Representative:

This office represents Gabriel Padilla ("Plaintiff") in connection with Labor Code violation claims related to their employment with Ross Dress For Less, Inc ("Employer"). Employer can be contacted at:

Ross Dress For Less, Inc
5130 Hacienda Dr
Dublin, CA 94568

Plaintiff was subjected to at least one of the Labor Code violations alleged in this letter while employed by Employer. As such, Plaintiff intends to seek PAGA penalties on behalf of themselves and all other non-exempt employees of Employer employed in California and who were subjected to any or all of the Labor Code violations referenced herein during the PAGA Period ("Aggrieved Employees"). The PAGA Period begins one year prior to the date of this letter and, because the violations alleged herein are ongoing, the PAGA Period extends beyond the date of this letter.

This letter is sent pursuant to the requirements of Labor Code section 2699.3. The Labor Code violations being alleged are as follows:

Minimum Wage Violations

Labor Code section 1197 and the applicable Wage Order requires an employer to pay an employee no less than the minimum wage for all hours worked.

Employer failed to pay the Aggrieved Employees the minimum wage for all hours worked because the Aggrieved Employees were required to perform work while off the clock. Specifically Aggrieved Employees had to go through a security check at the beginning of the shift and at the end, both off the clock. This is the time they should have been paid for, but weren't. Security check took approximately 10 minutes in total every shift.

Civil Penalties Applicable to Minimum Wage Violations

As a result of the above minimum wage violations, Employer is subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code sections 1197.1 and 2699.

Derivative Wage Statement Penalties

Labor Code section 226 requires an employer to provide wage statements to its employees which include, among other things, gross and net wages earned, total hours worked, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate. As a result of the minimum wage violations set forth above, Employer failed to provide the Aggrieved Employees with wage statements which accurately listed all minimum wages earned and all hours worked. Employer is therefore subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code sections 226.3 and 2699.

Derivative Waiting Time Penalties

Labor Code sections 201 and 202 require that an employer provide an employee with all wages due and payable either: (a) immediately upon termination, or (b) within 3 days of the employee's resignation. When an employer fails to comply with the above, the employee is entitled to the equivalent of a day's wage at their regular rate of pay for each day the employer is late, for up to 30 days. (Labor Code section 203).

Because Employer did not pay the Aggrieved Employees all minimum wages owed during their employment, it follows that the Aggrieved Employees were also never paid these wages after the conclusion of their employment. Thus, Employer had an obligation to pay waiting time penalties, but intentionally failed to do so, and is therefore subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code section 2699.

Meal Break Violations

Labor Code section 512 and the applicable Wage Order provides that an employer shall not employ an employee for a work period of more than five hours per day without providing the employee with a meal period of not less than 30 minutes, or more than 10 hours per day without providing the employee with a second meal period of not less than 30 minutes. Meal periods must be uninterrupted, and the employee must be relieved of all duty.

Labor Code section 226.7 further provides that an employee is entitled to one hour of pay at their regular rate of pay for each day that a compliant meal period was not provided.

The Aggrieved Employees' who worked 5.5 hours per day never received any meal breaks per company policy, while they should have.

Civil Penalties Applicable to Meal Break Violations

As a result of the above meal break violations, Employer is subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code sections 558 and 2699.

Derivative Wage Statement Penalties

Labor Code section 226 requires an employer to provide wage statements to its employees which include, among other things, gross and net wages earned. As a result of Employers failure to pay all premium pay for missed meal breaks as set forth above, Employer failed to provide the Aggrieved Employees with

wage statements which accurately listed all wages earned. Employer is therefore subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code sections 226.3 and 2699.

Derivative Waiting Time Penalties

Labor Code sections 201 and 202 require that an employer provide an employee with all wages due and payable either: (a) immediately upon termination, or (b) within 3 days of the employee's resignation. When an employer fails to comply with the above, the employee is entitled to the equivalent of a day's wage at their regular rate of pay for each day the employer is late, for up to 30 days. (Labor Code section 203).

Because Employer did not pay the Aggrieved Employees all premium pay owed during their employment, it follows that the Aggrieved Employees were also never paid these wages after the conclusion of their employment. Thus, Employer had an obligation to pay waiting time penalties, but intentionally failed to do so, and is therefore subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code section 2699.

Rest Break Violations

Labor Code section 226.7 and the applicable Wage Order requires employers to authorize and permit its employees to take rest periods based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of 10 minutes net per four hours or major fraction thereof. Employees must be relieved of all duties during their rest breaks.

Labor Code section 226.7 further provides that an employee is entitled to one hour of pay at their regular rate of pay for each day that a compliant rest break was not provided.

An employer generally may not place restrictions on its employees during their rest breaks, such as requiring its employees to remain on the premises and subject to the employer's control. In fact, the California Division of Labor Standards and Enforcement has provided the following guidance on its website:

Q. Can my employer require that I stay on the work premises during my rest period?

A. No, your employer cannot impose any restraints not inherent in the rest period requirement itself. In *Augustus v. ABM Security Services, Inc.*, (2016) 5 Cal.5th 257, 269, the California Supreme Court held that the rest period requirement "obligates employers to permit-and authorizes employees to take-off-duty rest periods. That is, during rest periods employers must relieve employees of all duties and relinquish control over how employees spend their time." (citation omitted) As a practical matter, however, if an employee is provided a ten minute rest period, the employee can only travel five minutes from a work post before heading back to return in time.

Here, since the Aggrieved Employees had to go through a security check every time they left the facility for their rest breaks, which took about 10 minutes, Aggrieved Employees would only have 5 minutes left to actually take a break. For that reason, no one left the premises for rest breaks. Thus, the Aggrieved Employees were not provided with compliant rest breaks.

Civil Penalties Applicable to Rest Break Violations

As a result of the above rest break violations, Employer is subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code section 2699.

Derivative Wage Statement Penalties

Labor Code section 226 requires an employer to provide wage statements to its employees which include, among other things, gross and net wages earned. As a result of Employers failure to pay all premium pay for missed rest breaks as set forth above, Employer failed to provide the Aggrieved Employees with wage statements which accurately listed all wages earned. Employer is therefore subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code sections 226.3 and 2699.

Derivative Waiting Time Penalties

Labor Code sections 201 and 202 require that an employer provide an employee with all wages due and payable either: (a) immediately upon termination, or (b) within 3 days of the employee's resignation. When an employer fails to comply with the above, the employee is entitled to the equivalent of a day's wage at their regular rate of pay for each day the employer is late, for up to 30 days. (Labor Code section 203).

Because Employer did not pay the Aggrieved Employees all premium pay owed during their employment, it follows that the Aggrieved Employees were also never paid these wages after the conclusion of their employment. Thus, Employer had an obligation to pay waiting time penalties, but intentionally failed to do so, and is therefore subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code section 2699.

Wage Statement Violations

Labor Code section 226 requires an employer to provide wage statements to its employees which include, among other things, gross and net wages earned. Employer failed to provide wage statements which accurately reflected this information.

Civil Penalties Applicable to Wage Statement Violations

As a result of the wage statement violations detailed above, Employer is subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code sections 226.3 and 2699.

Waiting Time Penalties

Labor Code sections 201 and 202 require that an employer provide an employee with all wages due and payable either: (a) immediately upon termination, or (b) within 3 days of the employee's resignation. When an employer fails to comply with the above, the employee is entitled to the equivalent of a day's wage at their regular rate of pay for each day the employer is late, for up to 30 days. (Labor Code section 203).

Because Employer did not pay the Aggrieved Employees all wages owed during their employment, it follows that the Aggrieved Employees were also never paid these wages after the conclusion of their employment. Thus, Employer had an obligation to pay waiting time penalties, but intentionally failed to do so.

Civil Penalties Applicable to Waiting Time Penalties

Because of Employer's failure to pay all wages at the conclusion of employment and to pay the resulting waiting time penalties, Employer is subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code section 2699.

Reservation of Rights

The facts and claims contained herein are based on the information available at the time of this writing. Therefore, if through discovery and/or expert review, Plaintiff becomes aware of additional facts or theories of liability, they expressly reserve the right to revise these facts and/or add any new claims by amending and/or supplementing this letter or by adding applicable causes of action to the complaint which will relate back to the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

/s/ Daniel Ginzburg

Daniel Ginzburg