

FILED

Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

03/04/2025

David W. Stoyan, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. Morales Deputy

LIDMAN LAW, APC

Scott M. Lidman (SBN 199433)

slidman@lidmanlaw.com

Milan Moore (SBN 308095)

mmoore@lidmanlaw.com

Tiara Gose-Hardy (SBN 323823)

tgose@lidmanlaw.com

2155 Campus Drive, Suite 150

El Segundo, California 90245

Tel: (424) 322-4772

Fax: (424) 322-4775

Attorneys for Plaintiff

MATTHEW CRUZ

HAINES LAW GROUP, APC

Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226)

phaines@haineslawgroup.com

2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180

El Segundo, California 90245

Tel: (424) 292-2350

Fax: (424) 292-2355

Attorneys for Plaintiff

MATTHEW CRUZ

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

MATTHEW CRUZ, as an individual and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CAT SPEC, LTD. L.P., a Texas limited
partnership; STRONGHOLD, LTD.
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Texas limited
partnership; and DOES 1 through 100,

Defendants.

Case No. 23STCV06904

*[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Lawrence P.
Riff, Dept. SSC-7]*

**[AMENDED ~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER
GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: February 27, 2025

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept.: SSC-7

1 The Motion of Plaintiff Matthew Cruz (“Plaintiff”) for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
2 Settlement came before this Court on February 27, 2025. The Court, having considered the proposed
3 Amended Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (the “Settlement”), attached
4 as Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of Scott M. Lidman filed concurrently with the Motion,
5 having considered Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement,
6 Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith;
7 and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

8 1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in
9 the Settlement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately
10 could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the Settlement,
11 the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there are a sufficiently well-
12 defined community of interest among the members of the Settlement Class in questions of law and fact.
13 Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following
14 Settlement Class:

15 All individuals whom Defendants employed as non-exempt employees and
16 who worked in California from March 29, 2019 through the date the court
preliminarily approves the Settlement. .

17 2. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court designates named Plaintiff Matthew Cruz as
18 Class Representative, and Scott M. Lidman and Milan Moore of Lidman Law, APC and Paul Haines of
19 Haines Law Group, APC as Class Counsel.

20 3. The Court designates Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as the third-party
21 Settlement Administrator for mailing notices.

22 4. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Amended Notice of Class Action
23 Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Court Approval (“Class Notice”) and is attached to the Amended
24 Settlement Agreement as Exhibit A.

25 5. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Settlement Class regarding the pendency of
26 the action and of the Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Settlement Class,
27 constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient
28

notice to all members of the Settlement Class. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.

6. The Court further approves the procedures for Settlement Class Members to opt out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Class Notice.

7. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class Members.

8. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to the members of the Settlement Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

9. The Class Notice shall provide at least 60 calendar days' notice for members of the Settlement Class to opt out of, or object to, the Settlement.

10. The Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled in Department 1 of this Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California, 90012 on July 21, 2025 at 10:00 a.m./~~p.m.~~.

11. At the Final Fairness Hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for reasonable attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, service payment to Plaintiff, and payment to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") for penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") should be granted.

12. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, Plaintiff's service award, settlement administration costs, and payment to the LWDA for PAGA penalties prior to the Final Fairness Hearing according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil

Procedure and the California Rules of Court.

13. An implementation schedule is below:

Event	Date
Defendant to provide Class Data to Settlement Administrator	20 calendar days after issuance of the preliminary approval order
Settlement Administrator to mail Notice Packets to Class Members	14 calendar days after receiving Class Information from Defendant
Deadline for Class Members to request exclusion from, submit disputes, or object to, the Settlement	60 calendar days after mailing of the Notice by the Settlement Administrator
Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement:	16 court days before Final Fairness Hearing
Final Fairness Hearing:	July 21 , 2025 at 10:00 a.m./p.m.

14. Pending the Final Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this Order, are stayed.

15. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 4
July 21, 2025



Samantha Jessner / Judge

Honorable Lawrence P. Riff
Judge of the Superior Court