

Lilit Tunyan (SBN 329351)  
[ltunyan@tunyanlaw.com](mailto:ltunyan@tunyanlaw.com)  
Artur Tunyan (SBN 349174)  
[atunyan@tunyanlaw.com](mailto:atunyan@tunyanlaw.com)  
**TUNYAN LAW, APC**  
535 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 285  
Glendale, California 91203  
Telephone: (323) 410-5050

Attorneys for Plaintiff Elim Bazan,  
as an individual and on behalf of all  
employees similarly situated

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

ELIM BAZAN, as an individual and on behalf  
of all employees similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASA MARTIN, LLC, a Limited Liability  
Company; CASA MARTIN PROMENADE,  
LLC, a Limited Liability Company; and  
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 23STCV04670

[Assigned to Hon. Elihu M. Berle, Dept.6]

**CLASS AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE  
ACTION**

**AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER  
GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL  
OF CLASS AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE  
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: October 21, 2024  
Time: 10 a.m.  
Courtroom: Dept. 6  
Judge: Hon. Elihu M. Berle

Action Filed: March 2, 2023  
Trial Date: Not Set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:**

2 This matter came on for hearing on October 21, 2024, upon the Motion for Preliminary Approval  
3 of the proposed settlement of this action on the terms set forth in the AMENDED CLASS ACTION  
4 AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (the “Agreement”) *see*  
5 Supplemental Declaration of Lilit Tunyan in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Approval of  
6 Class and PAGA Representative Action Settlement [“Tunyan Decl.”], at Exh. 1).

7 After reviewing the Agreement, the Notice process, having reviewed the entire record on this  
8 action, having heard the argument of Counsel for respective Parties, if any, and good cause appearing,  
9 the Court Orders as follows:

10 1. To the extent defined in the Agreement, the terms in this Order shall have the meanings  
11 set forth therein.

12 2. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the proposed class action Settlement are  
13 fair, reasonable, and adequate, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382. In granting  
14 preliminary approval of the class action settlement the Court has considered the factors identified in  
15 *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*, 48 Cal. App. 4th 1794 (1996), as approved in *Wershba v. Apple Computer,*  
16 *Inc.*, 91 Cal. App. 4th 224 (2001) and *In re Microsoft IV Cases*, 135 Cal. App. 4th 706 (2006).

17 3. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and  
18 non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted  
19 thorough investigation and research, and the attorneys for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate  
20 their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional  
21 substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution  
22 of the action. The Court finds that the risks of further prosecution are substantial.

23 4. The Parties’ Settlement is granted preliminary approval as it meets the criteria for  
24 preliminary settlement approval. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears  
25 to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing.  
26 The Class meets the requirements for conditional certification for settlement purposes only under Code  
27 of Civil Procedure § 382. The Court finds that it is appropriate to notify the members of the proposed  
28 settlement Class of the terms of the proposed settlement.

1           5.       The Parties’ proposed notice plan is constitutionally sound because individual notices  
2 will be mailed to all Class Members whose identities are known to the Parties, and such notice is the  
3 best notice practicable. The Parties’ proposed Class Notice, attached to the Settlement as Exhibit A, is  
4 sufficient to inform Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their rights under the settlement,  
5 their rights to object to the Settlement, their right to receive a payment under the settlement or elect not  
6 to participate in the settlement, and the processes for doing so, and the date and location of the final  
7 approval hearing and are therefore approved.

8           6.       The following persons are certified as Class Members solely for the purpose of entering  
9 a settlement in this matter:

10                   All non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendants who worked for Defendants  
11 in California during the Class Period (the “Class Period” is March 2, 2019 through  
12 March 22, 2024 .) “Participating Class Members” are those Class Members who do not  
submit timely exclusion requests to the Settlement Administrator. (Settlement, ¶ 1.35.)

13           7.       The following persons are PAGA Group Employees for the purpose of entering a  
14 settlement in this matter:

15                   All non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendants who worked for Defendants in  
16 California during the PAGA Period (the “PAGA Period” is March 2, 2022 through  
17 March 22, 2024.) Aggrieved Employees cannot opt out of the settlement of the PAGA  
claim. (Settlement, ¶ 7.5.4.)

18           8.       Plaintiff ELIM BAZAN is appointed as the Class Representative. The Court finds  
19 Plaintiff’s counsel are adequate, as they are experienced in wage and hour class action litigation and  
20 have no conflicts of interest with absent Class Members, and that they adequately represented the  
21 interests of absent class members in the Litigation. Tunyan Law, APC, is appointed Class Counsel.

22           9.       The Court appoints ILYM GROUP, Inc. to act as the Settlement Administrator,  
23 pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.

24           10.      Defendants are directed to provide the Settlement Administrator the names and most  
25 recent known mailing addresses of Class Members and any other information required in accordance  
26 with the Agreement (the “Class Database”).

27           11.      The Settlement Administrator is directed to mail the approved Class Notice by first-  
28 class mail to the Class Members in accordance with the Agreement. Before mailing, the Settlement

1 Administrator or Class Counsel shall include the appropriate dates in the Class Notice and insert the  
2 correct time and place for the Final Approval Hearing.

3 12. Class Members will be bound by the Agreement unless they submit a timely and valid  
4 written request to be excluded from the Settlement, postmarked by the response deadline. Any request  
5 for exclusion shall be submitted to the Settlement Administrator rather than filed with the Court. Class  
6 members are not required to send copies of their Exclusion request to counsel. The Settlement  
7 Administrator shall file, or provide to Counsel for filing, a declaration authenticating a copy of every  
8 Exclusion request received by the Administrator.

9 13. To be considered, Class Members must timely file and serve their written objections in  
10 accordance with the Agreement.

11 14. Upon completion of the Notice process, the Settlement Administrator shall provide a  
12 report of the results of that process to Counsel for all Parties.

13 15. A final approval hearing will be held on \_\_\_\_\_, 2024, at  
14 \_\_\_\_\_, in Department 6, to determine whether the settlement should be granted final approval as  
15 fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Class Members. At that time, the Court will hear all evidence  
16 and arguments necessary to evaluate the Settlement. Class Members and their counsel may support or  
17 oppose the Settlement, if they so desire, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Class Notice  
18 and this Order.

19 16. As set forth in the Notice, any Class Member may appear at the final approval hearing  
20 in person or by his or her own attorney or virtually via LACourtConnect <https://www.lacourt.org/lacc/>  
21 and show cause why the Court should not approve the settlement.

22 17. The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the final approval hearing without  
23 further notice to Class Members.

24 18. Class Counsel shall give notice to any objecting party of any continuance of the hearing  
25 of the motion for final approval.

26 19. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or in  
27 connection with the settlement.

28 20. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms

1 of the Agreement, then this Preliminary Approval Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent  
2 provided by and in accordance with the Agreement and shall be vacated, and, in such event, all orders  
3 entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and  
4 in accordance with the Agreement, and each party shall retain his or its rights to proceed with litigation  
5 of the Actions.

6  
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8  
9 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Elihu M. Berle  
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA )  
3 ) ss  
4 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )

5 I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of  
6 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 535 N Brand Blvd. Suite 285,  
7 Glendale, California 91203.

8 On the date indicated below, I served the document described as: **AMENDED [PROPOSED]**  
9 **ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA**  
10 **REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT** on the interested parties in this action by sending a  
11 true copy thereof to interested parties as follows and as stated on the attached service list:

12 Anthony J. Zaller  
13 azallaer@zallerlaw.com  
14 Anne McWilliams  
15 [amcwilliams@zallerlaw.com](mailto:amcwilliams@zallerlaw.com)  
16 Pooja V. Patel  
17 ppatel@zallerlaw.com  
18 **ZALLER LAW GROUP, PC**  
19 999 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 525  
20 El Segundo, California 90245  
21 Telephone: (323) 592-3505

22 *Attorneys for Defendants Casa Martin, LLC and Casa Martin Promenade, LLC*

23 [✓] **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:** Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties  
24 to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the  
25 electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service  
26 **CASEANYWHERE**. I did not receive an error message.

27 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is  
28 true and correct.

Executed on September 11, 2024 at Glendale, California.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lilit Tunyan  
Name



\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature