

LAWYERS FOR JUSTICE^{PC}

October 22, 2025

BY ONLINE SUBMISSION

California Labor & Workforce Development Agency
PAGAfiling@dir.ca.gov

Re: SYSCO LOS ANGELES, INC.

Dear Representative:

We have been retained to represent Francisco Orozco against Sysco Los Angeles, Inc. (including any and all affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, directors, officers, agents, and executive employees) (collectively referred to as “Sysco”) for violations of California wage-and-hour laws. Mr. Orozco seeks penalties for violations of the California Labor Code, which are recoverable under California Labor Code section 2698, et seq., the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”) and all other remedies available under PAGA.

Mr. Orozco seeks these remedies on behalf of the State of California and “aggrieved employees,” as defined herein. This letter is sent in compliance with the reporting requirements of California Labor Code section 2699.3.

Sysco employed Mr. Orozco as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee from approximately December 2017 to approximately January 2025, in the State of California.

The “aggrieved employees” that Mr. Orozco may seek penalties on behalf of are all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for any of the above-referenced entities within the State of California, including, but not limited to all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for any of the above-referenced entities within the State of California who earned shift differentials/non-discretionary bonuses/non-discretionary performance pay/incentive pay which was not used to calculate the correct regular rate of pay used to calculate the overtime rate.

Based on the following facts and theories, Sysco has violated and/or continues to violate, among other provisions of the California Labor Code and applicable wage law, California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 245, 246, 510, 512(a), 551, 552, 558, 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800 and 2802, and IWC Wage Orders including *inter alia*, Wage Orders 1-2001, 4-2001, 5-2001, 7-2001, and 9-2001.

Sysco required Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees to perform work before their scheduled shifts, after their scheduled shifts, during off-the-clock meal breaks, and/or during rest breaks and failed to compensate Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees for this time. Such work

included, but was not limited to, waiting for temperature checks, responding to work related communications, answering calls and text messages, and completing other regular tasks/duties.

California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 require employers to pay time-and-a-half or double time overtime wages, and make it unlawful to work employees for hours longer than eight hours in one day and/or over forty hours in one week without paying the premium overtime rates at one-and-one-half times or double the regular rate of pay, including additional remuneration. During the relevant time period, Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees worked in excess of 8 hours in a day and 40 hours in a week. Therefore, Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive certain wages for overtime compensation, but they were not paid for all overtime hours worked.

California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 require employers to pay an employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate for each meal or rest period that is not provided. During the relevant time period, Sysco required Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees to work during meal and rest periods and failed to compensate them properly for non-compliant meal and rest periods including, *inter alia*, short, late, interrupted, and missed meal and rest periods.

California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting. During the relevant time period, Sysco failed to pay Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code sections 201 and 202, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code sections 245, *et seq.*, require employers to provide paid sick leave. During the relevant time period, Sysco did not provide Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees with all paid sick leave that was owed to them. Sysco failed to provide sick leave at all or improperly calculated sick leave accrual and the sick leave rate of pay owed to Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees by failing to base the accrued sick leave hour on the actual hours worked and by failing to incorporate multiple rates of pay and/or all shift differentials/non-discretionary bonuses/non-discretionary performance pay/incentive pay into the sick leave pay rate calculation. Moreover, Sysco failed to provide Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees with notice of the paid sick time available to them, as required under California Labor Code sections 245, *et seq.*

California Labor Code section 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month. California Labor Code section 204 also requires that all wages earned for

labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, Sysco failed to pay Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code section 204, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code section 226 requires employers to make, keep and provide complete and accurate itemized wage statements to their employees. During the relevant time period, Sysco did not provide Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees with complete and accurate itemized wage statements. The wage statements they received from Sysco were in violation of California Labor Code section 226(a). The violations include, but are not limited to, the failure to include the total hours worked by Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees, including time spent working off-the-clock and during meal and rest periods as discussed above.

California Labor Code sections 551 and 552 require that every person employed in any occupation of labor is entitled to one day's rest in a seven-day workweek, that no employer of labor shall cause his employees to work more than six days in a workweek, and that an employer shall pay a civil penalty in the amounts of fifty dollars (\$50) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation and one hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation. During the relevant time period, Mr. Orozco and the aggrieved employees were required to regularly and/or consistently work in excess of six (6) days in a workweek. During the relevant time period, Mr. Orozco and the aggrieved employees were required to work in excess of thirty (30) hours in a week and/or six (6) hours in any one (1) day thereof, during workweeks in which they were required to work in excess of six (6) days. During the relevant time period, Mr. Orozco and the aggrieved employees were required to work in excess of six (6) days in a workweek without accumulating or being provided the opportunity to take at least one (1) day of rest, and when Mr. Orozco and the aggrieved employees accumulated days of rest, they were not actually provided the opportunity to take the equivalent of one (1) day's rest in seven (7) during each calendar month.

California Labor Code sections 1174(d) requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, Sysco failed to keep accurate and complete payroll records showing the actual hours worked daily and the wages earned by Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 provide the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage than the minimum so fixed is unlawful. During the relevant time period, Sysco did not provide Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees with the minimum wages to which they were entitled for work performed "off-the-clock."

California Labor Code section 1198 provides that "The employment of any employee ... under conditions of labor prohibited by the [Industrial Wage Orders] is unlawful." The applicable

Industrial Wage Orders, including *inter alia*, Wage Orders 1-2001, 4-2001, 5-2001, 7-2001, and 9-2001, require that for each workday an employee is required to report for work and does report, but is not put to work or is furnished less than half said employee's usual or scheduled day's work, the employee shall be paid for half the usual or scheduled day's work, but in no event for less than two (2) hours nor more than four (4) hours, at the employee's regular rate of pay. Further, if an employee is required to report for work a second time in any one workday and is furnished less than two (2) hours of work on the second reporting, said employee shall be paid for two (2) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay. During the relevant time period, Sysco failed to pay Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees half the usual or scheduled day's work in an amount no less than two (2) hours nor more than four (4) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay for workdays in which Mr. Orozco and the other aggrieved employees reported to work and were furnished less than half the usual or scheduled day's work. During the relevant time period, Sysco failed to pay Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees for two (2) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay on days in which Mr. Orozco and the other aggrieved employees were required to report for work a second time in one workday and were furnished less than two (2) hours of work upon the second reporting.

California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 require an employer to reimburse its employee for all necessary expenditures incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her job duties or in direct consequence of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer. During the relevant time period, Mr. Orozco and other aggrieved employees incurred necessary business-related expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by Sysco. These costs include, but are not limited to, the purchasing and maintenance of specialty footwear in compliance with company policies.

Therefore, on behalf of all aggrieved employees, Mr. Orozco seeks all applicable penalties arising out of the above-referenced wage, hour, and payroll practices, or which could be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, for violation of the California Labor Code pursuant to PAGA, including civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 558.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for your attention to this matter and the noble cause you advance each and every day.

With kind regards,



Arman Marukyan, Esq.

Cc: (By U.S. Certified Mail / Return Receipt Requested)

Sysco Los Angeles, Inc.
c/o CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service
Agent for Service of Process
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
Sacramento, CA 95833

LAWYERS FOR JUSTICE

450 N. BRAND BLVD., SUITE 900 / GLENDALE, CA 91203

PITNEY BOWES
\$10.44⁰
US POSTAGE[®]
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002311248
2000152203
ZIP 91203
OCT 22 2025



Sysco Los Angeles, Inc.
c/o CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service
Agent for Service of Process
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
Sacramento, CA 95833

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT OF THE RETURN ADDRESS, FOLD AT DOTTED LINE

CERTIFIED MAIL[®]

9589 0710 5270 0409 9637 43
9589 0710 5270 0409 9637 43

U.S. Postal Service[™]
CERTIFIED MAIL[®] RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com.

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee
\$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)
☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$
☐ Rk
☐ Ct
☐ Ac
☐ Ac

Sysco Los Angeles, Inc.
c/o CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service
Agent for Service of Process
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
Sacramento, CA 95833

Postmark Here

Total \$

PAGA Notice
Francisco Orozco v. Sysco Los Angeles, Inc

PS Form 3800, January 2023 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Sysco Los Angeles, Inc.
c/o CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service
Agent for Service of Process
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
Sacramento, CA 95833

6950 9402 8701 3310 7986 56

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)
9589 0710 5270 0409 9637 43

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON

A. Signature
X

B. Received by (Printed Name)

D. Is delivery address different from
If YES, enter delivery address

3. Service Type
☒ Adult Signature
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
☒ Certified Mail[®]
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053