

John G. Yslas (SBN 187324)
john.yslas@wilshirelawfirm.com
Samantha A. Smith (SBN 233331)
samantha.smith@wilshirelawfirm.com
Diego Aviles (SBN 315533)
diego.aviles@wilshirelawfirm.com
Harry Erganyan (SBN 333091)
harry.erganyan@wilshirelawfirm.com
Mariam Nazaretyan (SBN 334154)
mariam.nazaretyan@wilshirelawfirm.com

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM
3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY**

KATRICE SCOTT, individually, and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

EDEN HOUSING MANAGEMENT, INC., a
California corporation; EDEN HOUSING, INC.,
a California corporation; and DOES 1 through
10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 24CV000380

*Assigned for all purposes to:
Hon. Carrie M. Panetta
Dept. 14*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Date: May 2, 2025
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: 14

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 Having reviewed Plaintiff Katrice Scott's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval
3 of Class Action Settlement ("Motion"), the Declaration of John G. Yslas, Plaintiff's declaration,
4 and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice ("Settlement
5 Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders as follows:

6 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
7 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
8 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based on the
9 terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant Eden Housing
10 Management, Inc. ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas in Support of
11 Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as **Exhibit 1**.

12 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
13 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
14 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
15 approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of
16 \$1,450,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to Class Members who do not validly opt out;
17 (b) a \$100,000.00 allocation toward civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act,
18 75% of which (\$75,000.00) will be paid to the State of California, Labor & Workforce
19 Development Agency and 25% of which (\$25,000.00) will be paid to eligible Aggrieved
20 Employees; (c) Class Representative Service Payment of up to \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff; (d) Class
21 Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e.,
22 \$483,333.33), and up to \$30,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class
23 Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$11,490.00.

24 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
25 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and
26 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair
27 and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further
28 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)

1 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
2 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
3 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
4 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as
5 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
6 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
7 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

8 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement,
9 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor &
10 Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the
11 Private Attorneys General Act, and the Class Representative's Service Payment should be
12 finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set
13 in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

14 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
15 (the "Class"): means "all persons currently or formerly employed by Defendant Eden Housing
16 Management, Inc. as hourly-paid, non-exempt employees in the State of California during the
17 Class Period."

18 6. "Class Period" means the period from January 30, 2020 through March 6, 2025.

19 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
20 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
21 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
22 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
23 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the
24 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
25 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
26 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

27 ///

28 ///

1 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,
2 Plaintiff. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an enhancement
3 award up to \$10,000.00.

4 9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, John G. Yslas, Samantha A.
5 Smith, Diego Aviles, Harry Erganyan, and Mariam Nazaretyan of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, as
6 Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request
7 attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e., \$483,333.33), and costs
8 not to exceed \$30,000.00.

9 10. The Court appoints APEX Class Action Administration as the Settlement
10 Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$11,490.00.

11 11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the
12 Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the
13 Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable
14 under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled
15 thereto.

16 12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the
17 Settlement Agreement.

18 13. Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the
19 Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

14. The Court orders the following implementation schedule:

EVENT:	DEADLINE:
Defendant to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	15 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets	14 days after receipt of Class Data
Class Member Opt Out, Objection and Workweek and/or Pay Period Dispute Deadline	45 days after mailing Notice to Class
Deadline for Administrator to Submit Declaration in Support of Motion for Final Approval	14 days before the last day to file Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Service Awards to Plaintiff	16 court days before the calendared Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	_____, 2025 at _____ a.m./p.m. (suggested August 29, 2025)

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE:

Hon. Carrie M. Panetta
Monterey County Superior Court