

Fawn F. Bekam (SBN 307312)
fawn@abramsonlabor.com
Jacquelyn Silva (SBN 342302)
jackie.silva@abramsonlabor.com
Desiree Ruiz Alfaro (SBN 351819)
desiree.ruizalfaro@abramsonlabor.com
ABRAMSON LABOR GROUP
1700 W Burbank Blvd
Burbank, CA 91506
Telephone: (213) 493-6300
Facsimile: (213) 336-3704

Attorneys for Plaintiff Robinson Barrientos, individually,
and on behalf of all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

RUBINSON BARRIENTOS, individually, and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MIDPEN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
CORPORATION; and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 25-CIV-08154

*Assigned for all purposes to:
Hon. Michael L. Mau, Dept. 20*

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT:

1. Failure to Pay Minimum, Overtime, and Sick Wages;
2. Failure to Provide Meal Periods;
3. Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements;
4. Failure to Pay All Wages Due During Employment and Upon Separation of Employment;
5. Failure to Indemnify Necessary Expenditures;
6. Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices; and
7. Enforcement of the Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*

1 Plaintiff Robinson Barrientos (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly
2 situated, alleges as follows:

3 INTRODUCTION

4 1. Plaintiff brings this putative class and representative action against defendants
5 MidPen Property Management Corporation and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive (collectively,
6 “Defendants”), on Plaintiff’s own behalf and on behalf of a class of individuals who are and were
7 employed by Defendants as non-exempt or hourly-paid employees throughout California.

8 2. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants engaged in a pattern of wage and hour violations
9 under the California Labor Code and Industrial Welfare Commission (“IWC”) Wage Orders, all of
10 which contribute to Defendants’ deliberate unfair competition.

11 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants have
12 increased their profits by violating state wage and hour laws by, among other things:

- 13 (a) failing to pay all minimum, overtime, and sick wages;
- 14 (b) failing to provide meal periods or pay meal period premiums;
- 15 (c) failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements;
- 16 (d) failing to indemnify necessary expenditures; and
- 17 (e) failing to pay all wages due during employment and upon separation of
18 employment.

19 4. Plaintiff seeks monetary relief against Defendants on behalf of himself and all other
20 similarly situated in California to recover, among other things, unpaid wages, statutory penalties,
21 benefits, interest, attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses, and penalties pursuant to Labor Code §§ 201,
22 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.7, 246, 248.5, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2,
23 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2698, *et seq.*, 2802, and Code of California Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

24 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

25 5. This is a class action brought pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382.

26 6. The monetary damages, restitution, penalties, and other legal and equitable relief
27 sought by Plaintiff exceed the minimal jurisdictional limits of this Court and will be established
28 according to proof at trial.

7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article VI, § 10 of the California Constitution, which grants the superior court “original jurisdiction in all other causes” except those given by statute to other courts. The statutes under which this action is brought do not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

8. This Court has jurisdiction over all Defendants because, upon information and belief, Defendants are citizens of California, have sufficient minimum contacts in California, and/or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the California market so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over them by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

9. Venue is proper in this court because Defendants maintain offices, have agents, employ individuals, and/or transact business in this county.

THE PARTIES

10. Plaintiff is a California citizen and was employed by Defendants in San Jose, California as a non-exempt maintenance technician from approximately February 2025 to approximately August 2025.

11. Defendants were and are subject to the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders as employers whose employees were and are engaged to work throughout this county and the State of California.

12. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names or capacities of the Defendant sued herein under the fictitious names DOES 1 through 50 but will amend the complaint and serve such fictitiously named Defendants once their names and capacities become known.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each and all of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, or are attributable, to each Defendant acting as the agent, employee, alter ego, and/or joint venturer of, or working in concert with, each of the other co-Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency, employment, joint venture, or concerted activity with legal authority to act on the others' behalf.

14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that during the relevant time period, DOES 1 through 50 were the employers of Plaintiff and the other members of the class

1 within the meaning of all applicable state laws and statutes. Plaintiff is informed and believes and
2 thereon alleges that DOES 1 through 50 directly or indirectly controlled or affected the working
3 conditions, working hours, and conditions of employment of Plaintiff and the other members of
4 the class so as to make each Defendant an employer liable under the statutory provision set forth
5 herein.

6 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that DOES 1 through 50 had
7 the authority to hire and terminate Plaintiff and the other members of the class, to set work rules
8 and conditions governing Plaintiff and the other members of the class's employment, and to
9 supervise their daily employment activities.

10 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that DOES 1 through 50
11 exercise sufficient authority over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's and the other members of
12 the class's employment for them to be joint employers of Plaintiff and the other members of the
13 class.

14 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

15 17. Plaintiff brings this action under Code of Civil Procedure § 382 on Plaintiff's own
16 behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were affected by Defendants' Labor Code
17 Business and Professions Code, and IWC Wage Order Violations.

18 18. All claims alleged herein arise under California law for which Plaintiff seeks relief
19 authorized by California law.

20 19. Plaintiff's proposed class consists of and is defined as follows:

21 All individuals currently or formerly employed by Defendants as
22 non-exempt or hourly-paid employees in the State of California at
23 any time between four years prior to the filing of this action and the
date of class certification ("Class").

24 20. Members of the Class described above will be collectively referred to as "Class
25 Members."

26 21. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or re-define the Class, establish subclasses, or
27 modify or re-define any class definition as appropriate based on investigation, discovery, and
28 specific theories of liability.

1 22. There are common questions of law and fact as to the Class Members that
2 predominate over any questions affecting only individual members including, but not limited to
3 the following:

- 4 (a) Whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members all wages
5 (including minimum, overtime, and sick wages) for all hours worked by
6 Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 7 (b) Whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and Class Members with 30-
8 minute, uninterrupted, duty-free meal periods for every 5 hours worked;
- 9 (c) Whether Defendants failed to pay meal period premiums at the regular rate
10 of pay when Plaintiff and Class Members were not provided with timely, 30-
11 minute, uninterrupted, duty-free meal periods for every 5 hours worked;
- 12 (d) Whether Defendants failed to permit Plaintiff and Class Members to take
13 10-minute, uninterrupted, duty-free rest periods for every 4 hours worked or
14 major fraction thereof;
- 15 (e) Whether Defendants failed to pay rest period premiums at the regular rate of
16 pay when Plaintiff and Class Members were not permitted to take 10-minute,
17 uninterrupted, duty-free rest periods for every 4 hours worked or major
18 fraction thereof;
- 19 (f) Whether Defendants made it necessary for Plaintiff and Class Members to
20 use their personal cell phones for work purposes and failed to indemnify
21 Plaintiff and Class Members for such use;
- 22 (g) Whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and Class Members accurate
23 itemized wage statements;
- 24 (h) Whether Defendants failed to pay wages timely to Plaintiff and Class
25 Members during employment;
- 26 (i) Whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members all wages
27 timely upon termination of employment.
- 28 (j) Whether Defendants' conduct was willful, reckless, intentional, and/or

1 knowing; and

- 2 (k) Whether Defendants engaged in unfair business practices in violation of
3 Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

4 23. There is a well-defined community of interest in this litigation and the proposed
5 Class and subclasses are readily ascertainable:

- 6 (a) Numerosity: The Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all
7 members is impractical. Although the members of the Class are unknown to
8 Plaintiff at this time, on information and belief, the Class is estimated to be
9 greater than 200 individuals. The identities of the Class Members are readily
10 ascertainable by inspection of Defendants' employment and payroll records.
- 11 (b) Typicality: Plaintiff's claims (or defenses, if any) are typical of the claims
12 (or defenses, if any) of the Class Members because Defendants' failure to
13 comply with the provisions of California's wage and hour laws entitled each
14 Class Member to similar pay, benefits, and other relief. The injuries
15 sustained by Plaintiff are also typical of the injuries sustained by the Class
16 Members, because they arise out of and are caused by Defendants' common
17 course of conduct as alleged herein.
- 18 (c) Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the
19 interests of all Class Members because it is in Plaintiff's best interest to
20 prosecute the claims alleged herein to obtain full compensation and penalties
21 due. Plaintiff's attorneys, as proposed class counsel, are competent and
22 experienced in litigating large employment class actions and versed in the
23 rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. Plaintiff
24 has incurred and, throughout the duration of this action, will continue to
25 incur attorneys' fees and costs that have been and will be necessarily
26 expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of the
27 Class Members.
- 28 (d) Superiority: The nature of this action makes use of class action adjudication

1 superior to other methods. A class action will achieve economies of time,
2 effort, and expense as compared with separate lawsuits and will avoid
3 inconsistent outcomes because the same issues can be adjudicated in the
4 same manner for the entire Class at the same time. If appropriate, this Court
5 can, and is empowered to, fashion methods to efficiently manage this case
6 as a class action.

7 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 24. During the relevant time period, Defendants employed Plaintiff and Class Members
9 as non-exempt or hourly-paid employees throughout California at Defendants' California business
10 location(s).

11 25. During the relevant time period, Defendants knew that Plaintiff and Class Members
12 were entitled to compensation for all hours worked. However, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff
13 and Class Members at least the minimum wage for all hours worked due to Defendants' policy and
14 practice of requiring Plaintiff and Class Members to report to work without furnishing no less than
15 half of their usual or scheduled 8-hour day's work without payment of no less than 4 hours of pay.
16 Further, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members all overtime and sick wages due to
17 Defendants' policy and practice of failing to include the value of lodging and utilities paid by
18 Defendants to Plaintiff and Class Members as part of wages in the regular rate of pay. As a result,
19 Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members for all minimum, overtime, and sick wages
20 in violation of the Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Order.

21 26. During the relevant time period, Defendants knew that Plaintiff and Class Members
22 were entitled to receive 30-minute, uninterrupted, duty-free meal periods for every 5 hours worked
23 or payment of meal period premiums at their regular rate of pay in lieu thereof. However,
24 Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and Class Members with 30-minute, uninterrupted, duty-free
25 meal periods for every 5 hours worked and failed to pay meal period premiums at their regular rate
26 of pay in lieu thereof in violation of the Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Order.

27 27. During the relevant time period, Defendants knew that Plaintiff and Class Members
28 were entitled to receive 10-minute, duty-free rest periods for every 4 hours worked or major

1 fraction thereof or payment of rest period premiums at their regular rate of pay in lieu thereof.
2 However, Defendants failed to permit Plaintiff and Class Members to take 10-minute,
3 uninterrupted, duty-free rest periods for every 4 hours worked or major fraction thereof and failed
4 to pay rest period premiums at their regular rate of pay in lieu thereof in violation of the Labor
5 Code and applicable IWC Wage Order.

6 28. During the relevant time period, Defendants knew that Plaintiff and Class Members
7 were entitled to indemnification of all necessary expenditures or losses incurred in direct
8 consequence of the discharge of Plaintiff and Class Members' duties. However, Defendants made
9 it necessary for Plaintiff and Class Members to use their personal devices to log their clock in and
10 clock out times via mobile application, and Defendants failed to indemnify for these necessary
11 expenditures in violation of the Labor Code.

12 29. During the relevant time period, Defendants knew that Plaintiff and Class Members
13 were entitled to itemized wage statements that accurately showed, *inter alia*, gross wages earned,
14 total hours worked, net wages earned, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period
15 and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate. However, due to Defendants'
16 failure to record and pay for all time worked and Defendants' failure to provide meal and rest
17 periods or meal and rest period premiums in lieu thereof, Defendants failed to provide accurate
18 itemized wage statements in violation of the Labor Code.

19 30. During the relevant time period, Defendants knew that Plaintiff and Class Members
20 were entitled to timely payment of wages due during employment and upon separation of
21 employment. In violation of the Labor Code, Plaintiff and Class Members did not receive payment
22 of all wages within the required time periods.

23 31. During the relevant time period, Defendants knew they had a duty to compensate
24 Plaintiff and Class Members, and Defendants had the financial ability to pay such compensation
25 but willfully, knowingly, and/or intentionally failed to do so in order to increase Defendants'
26 profits.

27 32. Therefore, Plaintiff brings this lawsuit seeking monetary and injunctive relief
28 against Defendants on Plaintiff's own behalf and on behalf of all Class Members to recover, among

1 other things, unpaid wages (including minimum, overtime, and sick wages), unpaid meal period
2 premium payments, unpaid rest period premium payments, unlawfully deducted wages, interest,
3 attorneys' fees, penalties, costs, and expenses.

4 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM, OVERTIME, AND SICK WAGES**

6 (Violation of Labor Code §§ 246, 510, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, & 1198; Violation of IWC Wage
7 Order §§ 3, 4, and 5)

8 33. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as
9 though fully set forth herein.

10 34. During the relevant time period, Defendants were required to compensate Plaintiff
11 and Class Members for all hours worked pursuant to Labor Code §§ 200, *et seq.*, 510, 1182.12,
12 1194, 1194.2, 1197, and 1198, and the applicable IWC Wage Order.

13 35. During the relevant time period, Defendants were required to compensate Plaintiff
14 and Class Members who used paid sick leave either at their regular rate of pay for the workweek
15 in which they used paid sick leave or by dividing their total compensation for the previous 90 days
16 by the total number of non-overtime hours worked in the previous 90 days.

17 36. Labor Code § 246 provides the employees must be paid for time off work for
18 treatment, diagnosis, or preventative care for themselves, a family member, or a designated person.
19 This time must be compensated either

20 37. Labor Code § 510 provides that employees must be paid overtime compensation at
21 1 ½ times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of 8 hours in a day, 40 hours in a
22 week, and for the first 8 hours worked on the 7th day of work.

23 38. Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 provide that the minimum wage for employees fixed
24 by the IWC is the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage than
25 the minimum so fixed is unlawful

26 39. Labor Code § 1198 and the applicable IWC Wage Order provide that it is unlawful
27 to employ individuals without compensating them at a rate of pay either 1 ½ times or 2 times the
28 ///

individual's regular rate of pay, depending on the number of hours worked by the individual on a daily or weekly basis.

40. Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194, Defendants were required to compensate Plaintiff and Class Members for all overtime hours worked, calculated at 1 ½ times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 8 hours per workday, 40 hours per workweek, and for the first 8 hours on the 7th consecutive workday, and 2 times their regular rate of pay for all hours after 12 in a workday and for all hours over 8 worked on the 7th day of any workweek.

41. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members all wages owed when Defendants did not pay for all hours worked at the correct rate of pay.

42. In violation of the Labor Code, Defendants knowingly and willfully refused to perform their obligations and compensate Plaintiff and Class Members for all wages earned and all hours worked, including work performed in workweeks in which they did not qualify for any overtime compensation.

43. During the relevant time period, Defendants regularly failed to pay all wages to Plaintiff and Class Members for all hours worked in violation of the Labor Code and the applicable IWC Wage Order.

44. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and Class Members overtime and double-time compensation violates Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198.

45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and Class Members the required wages, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of their wages, including minimum, overtime, and sick wages, as well as interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.

46. Pursuant to Labor Code § 1194.2, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages unlawfully unpaid and interest thereon.

///

///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

25
26
27
28

8

Members meal period premiums for missed, late, and/or short meal periods pursuant to Labor Code § 226.7(b) and section 11 of the applicable IWC Wage Order.

55. As a result of Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and Class Members an additional hour of pay for each day a compliant meal period was not provided, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered and continue to suffer a loss of wages and compensation.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PERMIT REST PERIODS

(Violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7; Violation of IWC Wage Order § 12)

56. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

57. Labor Code § 226.7(a) provides that no employer shall require an employee to work during any rest period mandated by the IWC Wage Orders.

58. Section 12 of the applicable IWC Wage Order states "[e]very employer shall authorize and permit all employees to take rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle of each work period[,]" and the "[a]uthorized rest period time shall be based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of 10 minutes net rest time per 4 hours or major fraction thereof[,]" unless the total time worked is less than 3 ½ hours.

59. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and Class Members did not receive a 10-minute rest period for every 4 hours or major fraction thereof worked because they were required to work through their rest periods and/or were not authorized to take their rest periods.

60. Labor Code § 226.7(b) and section 12 of the applicable IWC Wage Order requires an employer to pay an employee an additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each day that a compliant rest period is not provided.

61. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members rest period premiums for missed, late, and/or interrupted rest periods pursuant to Labor Code § 226.7(b) and section 12 of the applicable IWC Wage Order.

///

///

62. As a result of Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and Class Members an additional hour of pay for each day a compliant rest period was not provided, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered and continue to suffer a loss of wages and compensation.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO INDEMNIFY NECESSARY EXPENDITURES

(Violation of Labor Code § 2802)

63. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

64. Labor Code § 2802 requires employers to indemnify their employees for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties.

65. During the relevant time period, Defendants made it necessary for Plaintiff and Class Members to incur expenditures or losses in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties, without indemnifying Plaintiff and Class Members in violation of Labor Code § 2802.

66. In committing the violations alleged herein, Defendants have willfully and intentionally failed to indemnify Plaintiff and Class Members for necessary expenditures or losses. As a direct result, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and continue to suffer substantial losses, including the use and enjoyment of their compensation, expenses, and attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE ITEMIZED WAGE STATEMENTS

(Violation of Labor Code § 226)

67. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

68. Labor Code § 226(a) requires Defendants to provide each employee with an accurate wage statement in writing showing nine pieces of information, including, the following: (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is

1 paid, (7) the name of the employee and the last four digits of his or her social security number or
2 an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address
3 of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay
4 period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

5 69. During the relevant time period, Defendants have knowingly and intentionally failed
6 to comply with Labor Code § 226(a) on wage statements that were provided to Plaintiff and Class
7 Members. The deficiencies include, among other things, the failure to correctly state the gross and
8 net wages earned, total hours worked, all applicable hourly rates in effect, and the number of hours
9 worked at each hourly rate by Plaintiff and Class Members.

10 70. As a result of Defendants' knowing and intentional failure to comply with Labor
11 Code § 226(a), Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered injury and damage to their statutory
12 rights. Plaintiff and Class Members are deemed to suffer an injury pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e)
13 where, as here, Defendants intentionally violated Labor Code § 226(a). Plaintiff and Class
14 Members were denied both their legal right to receive, and their protected interest in receiving,
15 accurate itemized wage statements under Labor Code § 226(a). In addition, because Defendants
16 failed to provide accurate rates of pay on wage statements, Defendants prevented Plaintiff and
17 Class Members from determining if all hours worked were paid at the appropriate rate and the
18 extent of the underpayment, thereby causing Plaintiff to incur expenses and lost time. Plaintiff
19 would not have had to engage in these efforts and incur these costs had Defendants provided the
20 accurate hours worked, wages earned, and rates of pay. This has also delayed Plaintiff's ability to
21 demand and recover underpayment of wages from Defendants.

22 71. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover from Defendants the greater of
23 all actual damages caused by Defendants' failure to comply with Labor Code § 226(a) or \$50.00
24 for the initial pay period in which a violation occurred and \$100.00 per employee for each violation
25 in subsequent pay periods in an amount not exceeding \$4,000.00 per employee, plus attorneys'
26 fees and costs.

27 72. Defendants' violations of California Labor Code § 226(a) prevented Plaintiff and
28 Class Members from knowing, understanding, and disputing the wages paid to them and resulted

1 in a unjustified economic enrichment to Defendants. As a result of Defendants' knowing and
2 intentional failure to comply with California Labor Code § 226(a), Plaintiff and Class Members
3 have suffered an injury, in the exact amount of damages and/or penalties to be shown according to
4 proof at trial.

5 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **FAILURE TO PAY ALL WAGES DUE DURING EMPLOYMENT AND UPON**
7 **SEPARATION OF EMPLOYMENT**

8 (Violation of Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, & 210)

9 73. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as
10 though fully set forth herein.

11 74. Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee,
12 the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and that if
13 an employee voluntarily leaves his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and
14 payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-
15 two (72) hours previous notice of an intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his
16 or her wages at the time of quitting.

17 75. Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay wages in
18 accordance with Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 to any employee who is discharged or quit, the wages
19 of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or
20 until an action therefor is commenced, but not for more than 30 days.

21 76. Labor Code § 204 provides that employees must be paid within a certain number of
22 days of the close of the pay period. Labor Code § 210 provides that any employer who fails to pay
23 in accordance with the deadlines set forth in Labor Code § 204 shall be subject to a penalty in the
24 amount of \$100 for each failure to pay each employee and \$200 plus 25% of the amount unlawfully
25 withheld for each subsequent violation or any willful or intentional violation.

26 77. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to timely pay Plaintiff and Class
27 Members wages earned during the pay period within the required time limits.

28 78. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and

1 Class Members their earned wages upon termination, either at the time of discharge or within
2 seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ.

3 79. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and Class Members all their earned wages within
4 the required time limits during employment and at the time of discharge or within seventy-two (72)
5 hours of their leaving Defendants' employ is in violation of Labor Code §§ 201, 202, and 204.

6 80. Plaintiff and other Class Members are entitled to recover from Defendants the
7 statutory penalties set forth in Labor Code §§ 203 and 210.

8 SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

9 UNFAIR AND UNLAWFUL BUSINESS PRACTICES

10 (Violation of Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*)

11 81. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as
12 though fully set forth herein.

13 82. California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* prohibits acts of unfair
14 competition, which includes any "unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice . . ."

15 83. A violation of California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* may be
16 predicated on a violation of any state or federal law. Defendants' policies and practices violated
17 California law, causing Plaintiff and Class Members to suffer and continue to suffer injuries-in-
18 fact.

19 84. Defendants' policies and practices violated state law in at least the following
20 respects:

- 21 (a) Failing to pay minimum, overtime, and sick wages to Plaintiff and Class
22 Members at the correct rate in violation of Labor Code §§ 246, 510, 1194,
23 1194.2, 1197, & 1198;
- 24 (b) Failing to provide compliant meal periods without paying Plaintiff and Class
25 Members meal period premiums for each day that lawful meal periods were
26 not provided in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512;
- 27 (c) Failing to authorize and permit compliant rest breaks without paying
28 Plaintiff and Class Members rest period premiums for each day that lawful

rest periods were not authorized or permitted in violation of Labor Code § 226.7; and

(d) Failing to indemnify Plaintiff and Class Members for necessary business expenditures or losses incurred in direct discharge of their duties in violation of Labor Code § 2802.

85. As alleged herein, Defendant engaged in unlawful conduct in violation of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, such as failing to pay minimum, overtime, and sick wages, failing to provide meal periods and rest periods or meal and rest period premiums in lieu thereof, and failing to indemnify necessary expenditures, all in order to decrease their costs of doing business and increase their profits.

86. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that during the relevant time period, Defendants were knowledgeable concerning the wage and hour laws of California.

87. During the relevant time period, Defendants intentionally avoided paying Plaintiff and Class Members wages and monies owed, thereby creating an artificially lower cost of doing business in order to undercut Defendants' competitors and gain a greater foothold in the marketplace.

88. By violating the foregoing statutes and regulations as herein alleged, Defendants' acts constitute unfair and unlawful business practices under California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

89. As a result of the unfair and unlawful business practices of Defendants as alleged herein, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief, disgorgement, and restitution in an amount to be shown according to proof at trial.

90. Plaintiff seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public interest within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, has been and continues to be unfair, unlawful, and harmful to Plaintiff, Class Members, and the general public. Based on Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

///

1 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **ENFORCEMENT OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT**

3 (Violation of Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*)

4 91. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as
5 though fully set forth herein.

6 92. Labor Code § 2699(a) provides that notwithstanding any other provision of law, any
7 provision of this code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the Labor
8 and Workforce Development Agency or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards,
9 agencies, or employees, for a violation of this code, may, as an alternative, be recovered through a
10 civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself and other current or
11 former employees against whom a violation of the same code provision was committed pursuant
12 to the procedures specified in Section 2699.3.

13 93. Labor Code § 2699(m) provides that civil penalties recovered by aggrieved
14 employees shall be distributed as follows: 65% to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency
15 for enforcement of labor laws, including the administration of this part, and for education of
16 employers and employees about their rights and responsibilities under this code, to be continuously
17 appropriated to supplement and not supplant the funding to the agency for those purposes; and
18 35% to the aggrieved employees.

19 94. Plaintiff and all other non-exempt employees currently or formerly employed by
20 Defendants in California at any time from October 13, 2024 to the present are “aggrieved
21 employees” as defined in Labor Code § 2699(c).

22 95. Defendants’ conduct, as alleged herein, violates numerous Labor Code sections,
23 including the following:

- 24 (a) Failing to pay minimum, sick, and overtime wages to Plaintiff and other
25 aggrieved employees at the correct rate in violation of Labor Code §§ 246,
26 510, 558, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, & 1198;
27 (b) Failing to provide compliant meal periods without paying Plaintiff and other
28 aggrieved employees meal period premiums for each day that lawful meal

periods were not provided in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512;

(c) Failing to authorize and permit compliant rest breaks without paying Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees rest period premiums for each day that lawful rest periods were not authorized or permitted in violation of Labor Code § 226.7;

(d) Failing to indemnify necessary expenses in violation of Labor Code § 2802;

(e) Failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements in violation of Labor Code § 226;

(f) Failing to timely pay all wages due during Plaintiff's and other aggrieved employees' employment and at the end of their employment in violation of Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, and 210; and

(g) Failing to maintain accurate and complete records showing the hours worked each day by Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees in violation of Labor Code §§ 1174 and 1174.5.

96. Plaintiff personally suffered each of the Labor Code violations alleged in this lawsuit within the one-year period prior to his Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") notice.

97. Plaintiff has exhausted the administrative requirements provided in Labor Code § 2699.3 as to Defendants and is therefore able to pursue a claim for penalties on Plaintiff's own behalf and on behalf of all other aggrieved employees under PAGA.

98. Plaintiff is entitled to recover civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code §§ 210, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, 2699, 2699.3, and 2699.5 for the Labor Code violations alleged herein.

99. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorneys' fees pursuant to Labor Code §§ 210, 218.5, and 2699(g)(1).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

On Plaintiff's own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

1. For certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 of the proposed

1 Class;

2 2. For appointment of Plaintiff as class representative;

3 3. For appointment of Abramson Labor Group as class counsel;

4 4. For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof at trial;

5 5. For an award of damages in the amount of unpaid compensation including, but not
6 limited to, unpaid wages, benefits, and penalties;

7 6. For economic and/or special damages in an amount according to proof at trial;

8 7. For liquidated damages pursuant to § 1194.2;

9 8. For civil penalties pursuant to PAGA;

10 9. For statutory penalties to the extent permitted by law, including those pursuant to
11 the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders;

12 10. For injunctive relief as provided by the California Labor Code and California
13 Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*;

14 11. For restitution as provided by Business and professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*;

15 12. For an order requiring Defendants to restore and disgorge all funds to each employee
16 acquired by means of any act or practice declared by this Court to be unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent
17 and, thereof, constituting unfair competition under Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et*
18 *seq.*;

19 13. For pre-judgment interest;

20 14. For reasonable attorneys' fees, costs of suit, and interest to the extent permitted by
21 law, including, but not limited to, Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Labor Code §§ 210, 218.5,
22 226(e), 1194, 2699(g)(1), and 2802; and

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 15. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

2
3 Dated: December 18, 2025

ABRAMSON LABOR GROUP

4
5 By: _____

6 Fawn F. Bekam
7 Jacquelyn Silva
8 Desiree Ruiz Alfaro
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Robinson Barrientos