

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF PLACER

Date: February 4, 2025
Judge: Trisha Hirashima
Reporter:

Time: 8:25 AM
Dept.: Department 42
Clerk:

Garcia, Carmen vs. Tarin, Phillip et al

_____ ☐ Present

_____ ☐ Present

☐ And related Cross Action(s)

Case # S-CV-0050365

Law and Motion Minutes

Proceedings RE: Motion Hearing - _____

☐ Dropped. ☐ Continued to _____ ☐ by Plaintiff ☐ by Defendant
☐ by Stipulation ☐ by Court

☐ Matter argued and submitted.

☐ Submitted on points and authorities without ☐ argument ☐ appearance.

☐ Motion/Petition granted. ☐ Motion/Petition denied.

☐ Demurrer ☐ sustained ☐ overruled ☐ without ☐ with leave to ☐ amend ☐ answer.

☐ Counsel appointed for:

☐ Taken under submission.

☐ Debtor is sworn and retired with counsel for examination.

☐ Stipulation to ☐ Judge Pro Tem ☐ Commissioner executed in open court.

☐ Counsel for _____ to prepare the written order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval as to content and form.

☐ Other _____.

☒ The tentative ruling is adopted as the ruling of the court, to wit:

Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement

The unopposed motion is granted. The court reviews the moving papers along with the entirety of the court file to determine that the settlement is genuine, meaningful, and consistent with the underlying purposes of the PAGA-related statute. (Lab. Code § 2699, subd. (1);



O'Connor v. Uber Technologies, Inc. (N.D. Cal. 2016) 201 F.Supp.3d 1110.) The court must also determine whether the PAGA settlement appears fundamentally fair, reasonable, and adequate. (*O'Connor, supra*, 201 F.Supp.3d at p. 1120.) The court has carefully reviewed and considered the PAGA settlement and plaintiff's moving papers filed in connection with the motion. The court determines a sufficient showing has been made that the settlement is fair, reasonable, genuine, meaningful, and consistent with the purpose of PAGA. The court incorporates by reference the findings and orders outlined in the proposed order.