

1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on *(date)*: April 18, 2025
2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

Date: April 22, 2025

Gregory Mauro, Esq.			
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF	☒	ATTORNEY	☐ PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)


(SIGNATURE)

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Jonnathan A. Robinson
 DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Akash Management, LLC, dba Pieology Pizzeria

CASE NUMBER:
 23STCV09374

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL
 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER**

(NOTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order if you are a party in the action. The person who served the notice must complete this proof of service.)

1. I am at least 18 years old and **not a party to this action**. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place, and my residence or business address is (*specify*):

2. I served a copy of the *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid and (*check one*):

- a. ☐ deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service.
 b. ☐ placed the sealed envelope for collection and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

3. The *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* was mailed:

- a. on (*date*):
 b. from (*city and state*):

4. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:

a. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

b. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

c. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

d. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

☐ Names and addresses of additional persons served are attached. (*You may use form POS-030(P).*)

5. Number of pages attached:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)

 (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court

APR 18 2025

By: V. Contreras, Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

NATALY GOMEZ, *et al.*

Plaintiff,

vs.

PIE VENTURE, LLC, *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2022-00002648-CU-OE-CTL

Hon. Gregory W. Pollack
Dept. C-71

CLASS ACTION

**[Proposed] Order Granting Final Approval of
Class Action Settlement and Attorneys' Fees
and Costs and Entering Judgment**

Motion for Final Approval/Attorneys' Fees:

Date: April 18, 2025

Time: 9:30 a.m.

[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion and
Motion for Final Approval of Class Action
Settlement, Memorandum of Points and
Authorities, Declaration of Nicholas J. Ferraro,
Declaration of James Hawkins, Declaration of
Settlement Administrator, Declaration of Plaintiff
Isabel Dominguez, Declaration of Plaintiff
Jonnathan Robinson, and Declaration of Nataly
Gomez]

Action Filed: January 21, 2022

**[Proposed] Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement
and Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Entering Judgment**

1 This matter came on for hearing on April 18, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. in Department C-71 of the
2 above-captioned Court, the Honorable Gregory W. Pollack presiding, on (1) Plaintiffs' Motion for
3 Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and (2) Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

4 Having received and considered the motions and supporting papers, including the Settlement
5 Agreement and Stipulation to Resolve Class Action and PAGA Claims ("Settlement"), the evidence
6 and documents received by the Court in connection with the Motions for Final Approval and Attorneys'
7 Fees and Costs, and the previously decided Motion for Preliminary Approval, the Court GRANTS
8 FINAL APPROVAL of the Settlement and ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING
9 DETERMINATIONS:

10 1. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement and the Order Granting Preliminary Approval,
11 and the Settlement, a notice was sent to each class member by first-class U.S. mail. The notice
12 informed the class of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive a settlement payment without
13 any required action, their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement, and their right to appear
14 in person or by counsel at the Final Approval Hearing and to be heard regarding approval of the
15 Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided for each of these procedures.

16 2. Zero class members returned a written objection to the proposed Settlement as part of
17 the notice process or stated an intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing and there were no
18 dissenting appearances from class members at the hearing. No class members requested exclusion
19 from the Settlement.

20 3. The Court finds and determines the notice procedure afforded adequate protections to
21 the class and provides the basis for the Court's informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement
22 based on the response. The Court finds and determines the notice provided was the best notice
23 practicable, satisfying the requirements of law and due process.

24 4. For purposes of approving this Settlement only, this Court finds and concludes: (a) the
25 proposed class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the class is
26 impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the proposed class, and there is a well-
27 defined community of interest among members of the class with respect to the subject matter of the
28 claims; (c) the claims of the representatives are typical of the claims of the class; (d) the class

1 representatives have and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class; (e) a class action
2 is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy in the context of
3 settlement; and (f) the law firms of Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc. and James Hawkins
4 APLC are qualified and adequate to serve as Class Counsel in this action.

5 5. The Court confirms certification, for settlement purposes only, of the class as defined in
6 the Settlement and approved at the preliminary approval stage.

7 6. The Court finds and determines the terms set forth in the Settlement are fair, reasonable,
8 and adequate and, having found the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive
9 arms'-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral and experienced mediator, directs the Parties to
10 effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The Court further finds the Parties conducted
11 extensive investigation, research, and informal discovery, and that their attorneys were able to
12 reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that Settlement will enable the
13 Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the
14 Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery and
15 recognizes the significant value provided to the Class. Therefore, the Court approves the Settlement
16 and incorporates the terms of the Settlement in full into this Final Approval Order as though fully set
17 forth herein.

18 7. The Court finds and determines the fees and expenses in administering the Settlement
19 incurred by the Settlement Administrator of \$8,500.00 are fair and reasonable. The Court orders these
20 administration costs be paid in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

21 8. The Court finds and determines Service Awards of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff Gomez,
22 Plaintiff Dominguez, and Plaintiff Robinson as fair and reasonable. The Court orders the service
23 awards be paid in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

24 9. The Court finds and determines payment to the California Labor and Workforce
25 Development Agency of \$37,500.00, as its 75% share of the civil penalties under the Private Attorneys
26 General Act is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court orders that amount be paid in accordance
27 with the terms of the Settlement and approves the settlement of claims under the Private Attorneys
28 General Act pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(s)(2).

1 10. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement and the statutory provisions authorizing
2 attorneys' fees under the California Labor Code and Code of Civil Procedure, as set forth in the Motion
3 for Attorneys' Fees, the Court awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees of \$249,975.00 and litigation costs
4 of \$30,395.49. Class Counsel has sufficiently explained the basis for the fee award based on a
5 percentage of the fund. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court orders the
6 Settlement Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the Settlement.

7 11. Without affecting the finality of this Order or the entry of judgment in any way, the
8 Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation,
9 and enforcement of this Order and the Settlement.

10 12. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under
11 the Settlement or under this Order, including the requirement that Defendant make payments to Class
12 Members in accordance with the Settlement.

13 13. The Court hereby ENTERS FINAL JUDGMENT in accordance with the terms of the
14 Settlement, in accordance with this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

15 14. The Parties shall comply with Cal. Rules of Court Rule 3.771(b), by filing a Notice of
16 Entry of Judgment with the Court.

17
18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19
20 Date: _____



The Honorable Gregory W. Pollack
Judge of the Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am a resident of the State of California, County of Orange. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 9880 Research Drive., Suite 200, Irvine, California 92618.

On April 22, 2025, I served on the interested parties in this action the following document(s) entitled:

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER

[XX] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court Order or an agreement by the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent from the email address Eddie@jameshawkinsaplc.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.


[XX] BY CASEANYWHERE SERVICE: Via electronic filing service provider- electronically transmitting the documents listed above to CaseAnywhere, an electronic filing service provider, at www.caseanywhere.com pursuant to the Court's Order Authorizing Electronic Service. The transmission(s) was reported as complete and without error to the addresses as stated on the service list below.

SERVICE LIST

(Service List Attached)

[XX] **STATE:** I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 22, 2025, at Irvine, California


Eddie Magana

1 **Via LWDA Website Only**

2 Labor and Workforce Development Agency
3 Attn: PAGA Administrator
4 1515 Clay Street, Ste 801
5 Oakland, CA 94612
6 <http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act>

7 Thalia Rofos, Esq.
8 **O'HAGAN MEYER**
9 4695 MacArthur Ct, Suite 900
10 Newport Beach, CA 92660
11 Tel: 949-942-8537

12 Counsel for Defendant
13 AKASH MANAGEMENT, LLC.

14 **Via Electronic Service**

15 Lauren N. Vega (State Bar No. 306525)
16 Nicholas J. Ferraro (State Bar No. 306528)
17 Elida M. Espinoza (State Bar. No. 314001)
18 **FERRARO VEGA EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS, INC.**
19 3160 Camino del Rio South, Suite 308
20 San Diego, California 92108
21 (619) 693-7727 / (619) 350-6855 facsimile
22 nick@ferrarovega.com
23 lauren@ferrarovega.com
24 lianna@ferrarovega.com
25 elyssa@ferrarovega.com
26 e_serve@ferrarovega.com

27 Counsel for Plaintiffs
28 Nataly Gomez & Isabel Dominguez