

AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC
SAMUEL A. WONG, State Bar No. 217104
KASHIF HAQUE, State Bar No. 218672
JESSICA L. CAMPBELL, State Bar No. 280626
JAMIE M. LOOS, State Bar No. 346492
9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100
Irvine, California 92618
Telephone: (949) 379-6250
Facsimile: (949) 379-6251
Email: jloos@aegislawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff William Sandoval, individually,
and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

[Additional Counsel listed on following page]

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
03/05/2025
David W. Stryker, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
By: E. Muñoz Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

WILLIAM SANDOVAL, individually
and GUILLERMO DOMINGUEZ,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOE'S SWEEPING, INC.; and DOES 2
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 23STCV07799

*Assigned for All Purposes to:
Judge William F. Highberger;
Dept. 10*

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PAGA
SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING
JUDGMENT**

Date:
Time:
Dept.: 10

1 Kane Moon (SBN 249834)
kmoon@moonlawgroup.com
2 H. Scott Leviant (SBN 200834)
hsleviant@moonlawgroup.com
3 Mariam Ghazaryan (SBN 341119)
mghazaryan@moonlawgroup.com
4 **MOON LAW GROUP, PC**
725 S. Figueroa St., Suite 3100
Los Angeles, California 90017
5 Telephone: (213) 232-3128
6 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff GUILLERMO DOMINGUEZ
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 The Court has received and considered the joint stipulation of Plaintiffs William
2 Sandoval and Guillermo Dominguez, as an individual, and on behalf of the people of the State
3 of California and as an “aggrieved employee” acting as a private attorney general under the Labor
4 Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, § 2699, *et seq.* (“PAGA”) and Defendant Joe's
5 Sweeping, Inc in the above-captioned action.

6 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

7 The Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the PAGA Settlement
8 Agreement (the “Settlement”), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Jamie
9 Loos In Support of Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act Representative Action
10 Settlement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the
11 Settlement.

12 The Court hereby approves the Parties’ proposed Settlement and hereby enters FINAL
13 JUDGMENT based on the terms set forth in the Parties’ Settlement in favor of Plaintiff and the
14 Aggrieved Employees in the Gross Settlement Amount of \$228,000.00. Of this, one-third of the
15 Gross Settlement Amount is awarded as attorney fees paid to Plaintiff’s Counsel which is
16 currently estimated to be \$76,000.00, \$18,405.52 is awarded as costs paid to Plaintiff’s Counsel,
17 ~~\$10,000.00~~ ^{\$7,500.00} each is awarded to Plaintiffs William Sandoval and Guillermo Dominguez in
18 exchange for a General Release, and \$3,250.00 is awarded as administrative costs paid to the
19 Settlement Administrator. Of the remaining Net Settlement Amount, 75% shall be paid to the
20 Labor and Workforce Development Agency and 25% shall be paid to the Aggrieved Employees
21 as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

22 The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the notice to be distributed to the
23 PAAGA Employees, attached to the Settlement as Exhibit A. All Individual Settlement Checks
24 will expire 180 days after the initial mailing. Any uncashed funds shall be transmitted to the State
25 Controller’s Office Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of each Aggrieved Employee whose
26 check is voided.

9:00

A **Final Non-Appeal Hearing** is set for **March 23, 2026 at ~~8:30~~ a.m.** before this Court. The administrator is to file a declaration no later than 5 court days in advance to confirm that the distribution of funds is complete, including any distribution to the State Controller per the settlement agreement. If distribution efforts are not complete, counsel shall call the clerk and reschedule.

This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition in its entirety of the above captioned action. Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of the Settlement pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 03/05/2025

Hon. William F. Highberger
Judge of the Superior Court