

1 **AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC**
2 SAMUEL A. WONG, State Bar No. 217104
3 KASHIF HAQUE, State Bar No. 218672
4 JESSICA L. CAMPBELL, State Bar No. 280626
5 JOSEPH M. SZILAGYI, State Bar No. 317450
6 Email: jcampbell@aegislawfirm.com
7 Email: jszilagyi@aegislawfirm.com
8 9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100
9 Irvine, California 92618
10 Telephone: (949) 379-6250
11 Facsimile: (949) 379-6251

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff Annette Ortega, individually,
13 and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

14 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN**

16 ANNETTE ORTEGA , individually and on
17 behalf of all others similarly situated,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP
21 OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY , INC.;
22 and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

23 Defendants.

Case No.: STK-CV-UOE-2023-0003389

Assigned to All Purposes to:
Hon. Jayne Lee
Dept. 10C

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF
PAGA SETTLEMENT**

7/9
10C
Filed JUL 09 2024
STEPHANIE BONNER, CLERK
By [Signature] DEPUTY

MAY 30 2024

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF PAGA SETTLEMENT

ORIGINAL

FILED

1 The Court has received and considered Plaintiff Annette Ortega's ("Plaintiff")
2 Application for Approval of PAGA Settlement, as an individual, and on behalf of the people of
3 the State of California and as an "aggrieved employee" acting as a private attorney general under
4 the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, § 2699, *et seq.* ("PAGA"). NOW
5 **THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**


6 The Court hereby approves the Parties' proposed settlement and hereby enters FINAL
7 JUDGMENT based on the terms set forth in the Parties' Settlement in favor of Plaintiff and the
8 Aggrieved Employees in the amount of \$\$735,000. Of this, \$257,250.00 is awarded as attorney
9 fees paid to Plaintiff's Counsel; \$17,988.63 is awarded as costs paid to Plaintiff's Counsel; and
10 \$16,000.00 is awarded as administrative costs paid to the Settlement Administrator.

11 This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Parties' Private Attorneys
12 General Act Settlement Agreement And Limited Release ("Settlement"), and all terms defined
13 therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

14 This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition in its entirety of the above captioned
15 action. Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction
16 of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and
17 enforcement of the Settlement pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: 7/9/24


Hon. Jayne Lee
Judge of the Superior Court Jayne C. Lee