

1 John G. Yslas (SBN 187324)
2 john.yslas@wilshirelawfirm.com
3 Jeffrey C. Bils (SBN 301629)
4 jeffrey.bils@wilshirelawfirm.com
5 Emily Borman (SBN 303180)
6 emily.borman@wilshirelawfirm.com
7 **WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC**
8 660 S. Figueroa St., Sky Lobby
9 Los Angeles, California 90017
10 Telephone: (213) 381-9988
11 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff VANESSA HERNANDEZ née
13 GONZALEZ, individually, and
14 on behalf of all others similarly situated

15
16 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
17 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

18 VANESSA HERNANDEZ née GONZALEZ, an
19 individual, on behalf of herself and others similarly
20 situated,

21 *Plaintiff,*

22 v.

23 DERM CARE MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Florida
24 limited liability company; SKIN AND BEAUTY
25 CENTER, INC., a California corporation; and
26 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

27 *Defendants.*

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
06/16/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
By: A. He Deputy

Case No. 22STCV40910
Related Case No. 23STCV16829

Assigned for All Purposes To:
Hon. Theresa M. Traber
Dept. 1

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING:

Date: June 10, 2026
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Dept.: 1

Action Filed: December 29, 2022

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Having reviewed Plaintiff Vanessa Hernandez's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion"), the Declaration of John G. Yslas, Plaintiff's declaration, and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice ("Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendants DermCare Management, LLC ("DermCare") and Skin and Beauty Center, Inc. ("SBC") (collectively "Defendants"), attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as **Exhibit 1**.

2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendants have agreed to create a common fund of \$850,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to Class Members who do not validly opt out; (b) a \$42,500.00 allocation toward civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, 75% of which (\$31,875.00) will be paid to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency and 25% of which (\$10,625.00) will be paid to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service payment of up to \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e., \$283,333.33), and up to \$37,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$8,850.00.

3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further

1 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
2 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
3 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
4 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
5 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as
6 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
7 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
8 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

9 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement,
10 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor &
11 Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the
12 Private Attorneys General Act, and the Class Representative's enhancement awards should be
13 finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set
14 in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

15 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
16 (the "Class"): "all persons who worked for Defendants in California as an hourly-paid or non-
17 exempt employee at any time during the Class Period."

18 6. "Class Period" means the period from July 4, 2018 through the date of
19 preliminary approval or November 30, 2025, whichever date occurs first.

20 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
21 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
22 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
23 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
24 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the
25 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
26 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
27 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

28 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,

Plaintiff. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$10,000.00.

9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, John G. Yslas, Jeffrey C. Bills, Emily Borman of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e., \$283,333.33), and costs not to exceed \$37,000.00.

10. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$8,850.00.

11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

13. Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

EVENT:	DEADLINE:
Defendant to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	June 25, 2026 – 15 days after MPA hearing
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets	July 9, 2026 – 14 days after receipt of Class Data
Class Member Response Deadline	September 8, 2026 – 60 days after mailing Notice to Class

EVENT:	DEADLINE:
Class Member Deadline to Object	September 8, 2026 – 60 days after mailing Notice to Class
Deadline for Administrator to Submit Declaration in Support of Motion for Final Approval	September 1, 2026 – 14 days before the last day to file Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorney’s Fees and Costs, and Service Awards to Plaintiff
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorney’s Fees and Costs, and Service Awards to Plaintiff	September 15, 2026 – 16 court days before the calendared Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	October 8, 2026 at 10:30 a.m. [or October 26, 2026 at 10:30 a.m. at 10:30 a.m.]

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: 06/16/2026



HON. THERESA M. TRABER
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT