

Tyler Woods (SBN 232464)
tyler.woods@wilshirelawfirm.com
Peter Horton (SBN 227678)
peter.horton@wilshirelawfirm.com
Bradford Smith (SBN 345879)
bradford.smith@wilshirelawfirm.com

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM

3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Electronically Filed
by Superior Court of CA,
County of Santa Clara,
on 4/4/2025 3:58 PM
Reviewed By: T. Phan
Case #23CV415616
Envelope: 18864201

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

RUSSEL NERA SORILLO, individually, and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

PLENUS, INC. dba YAYOI, a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 23CV415616

CLASS ACTION

*[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Theodore
C. Zayner, Dept. 19]*

**NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Complaint filed: April 28, 2023
Trial date: Not Set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that the Court in the above-referenced matter has granted
3 Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. A true and correct
4 copy of the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
5 Settlement is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

6
7 Respectfully submitted,

8 Dated: April 4, 2025

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM

9
10 By:



11 Tyler Woods
12 Peter Horton
13 Bradford Smith

14
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit A

Molly DeSario (SBN 230763)
molly.desario@wilshirelawfirm.com
Arsiné Grigoryan (SBN 319517)
arsine.grigoryan@wilshirelawfirm.com
Bradford Smith (SBN 345879)
bradford.smith@wilshirelawfirm.com

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM

3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

RUSSEL NERA SORILLO, individually, and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

PLENUS, INC. dba YAYOI; and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 23CV415616

CLASS ACTION

*[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Theodore
C. Zayner, Dept. 19]*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING

Date: March 12, 2025

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Dept: 19

Complaint filed: April 28, 2023

Trial date: Not set

1 The Court has before it Plaintiff Russel Nera Sorillo's ("Plaintiff") Motion for
2 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary
3 Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Declaration of Molly DeSario, the Class Action and
4 PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice (which is referred to here as the "Settlement
5 Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

6 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
7 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
8 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon
9 the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff Russel Nera Sorillo and
10 Defendant Plenus, Inc., dba Yayoi ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of Molly DeSario
11 in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibit
12 1.

13 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
14 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
15 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
16 approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendants have agreed to create a common fund
17 of \$295,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to class members who do not validly opt out;
18 (b) a \$29,500.00 payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency
19 for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act,
20 with 75% of which (\$22,125.00) will be paid to the LWDA and 25% (\$7,375.00) will be paid
21 to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service payment of up to \$10,000.00
22 for Plaintiff Russel Nera Sorillo; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 33 1/3% of
23 the Gross Settlement Amount (\$98,333.33), and up to \$15,000.00 in costs for actual litigation
24 expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to
25 \$8,450.00.

26 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
27 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and
28 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair

1 and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further
2 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
3 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
4 that counsel for the parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
5 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
6 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as
7 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
8 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
9 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

10 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement,
11 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor &
12 Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the
13 Private Attorneys General Act, and the class representative's enhancement award should be
14 finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is hereby set in
15 accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

16 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
17 (the "Settlement Class"): "all persons employed by Defendant in California and classified as
18 hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant during the Class Period."

19 6. "Class Period" means the period from April 28, 2019 through the date the Court
20 grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.

21 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
22 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
23 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
24 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
25 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the
26 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
27 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
28 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Russel Nera Sorillo.

9. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request a Service Award of up to \$7,500.00.

10. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Molly DeSario, Arsiné Grigoryan, and Dorota James of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Total Settlement Amount (\$98,333.33), and costs not to exceed \$15,000.00.

11. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$8,450.00.

12. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

13. The parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

14. Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendants to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	15 days after notice of entry of the Court's order granting Motion for Preliminary Approval
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets	14 business days after receipt of the Class List from the Defendant
Response Deadline to Opt Out	60 days after Notice is mailed out by the

	Settlement Administrator
Deadline to Provide Written Objections, if any	60 days after Notice is mailed out by the Settlement Administrator
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiff	16 court days before on the calendared Motion for Final Approval, which is August 25, 2025;
Final Approval Hearing	September 17, 2025 at 1:30 p.m. in Department 19. The hearing may be continued to another date without further notice to the Class Members.

16. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: March 28, 2025


 Hon. Theodore C. Zayner
 Santa Clara County Superior Court

Sorillo v. Plenus, Inc. dba YAYOI
23CV415616

I, Cristina Kennedy, state that I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service address is cristina.kennedy@wilshirelawfirm.com.

On April 4, 2025, I served the foregoing **NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**, on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope by following one of the methods of service as follows:

April S. Glatt (SBN 185708)
april@chauvellaw.com
 Natalia Canas (SBN 328072)
natalia@chauvellaw.com
 Linda T. Taylor
linda@chauvellaw.com
CHAUVEL & GLATT, LLP
 66 Bovet Road, Suite 280
 San Mateo, California 94402
 Telephone: (650) 573-9500
 Facsimile: (650) 573-9689

Attorneys for Defendant

(X) **BY E-MAIL:** I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known email address or e-mail of record in this action.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 4, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.


Cristina Kennedy