

Joseph Lavi, Esq. (SBN 209776)
jlavi@lelawfirm.com
Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. (SBN 276483)
vgranberry@lelawfirm.com
William Tran, Esq. (SBN 335908)
wtran@lelawfirm.com
Arthur Adamian, Esq. (SBN 348428)
aadamian@lelawfirm.com
LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200
Beverly Hills, California 90211
Telephone: (310) 432-0000
Facsimile: (310) 432-0001

Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIANA M. CHAVIRA ARMENTA,
on behalf of herself and current and former aggrieved employees

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE – CIVIL COMPLEX

ADRIANA M. CHAVIRA ARMENTA, on
behalf of herself and current and former
aggrieved employees

Plaintiff,

vs.

COLLECTORS UNIVERSE, INC.; and DOES 1
to 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 30-2023-01336673-CU-OE-CXC

*[Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. Melissa R.
McCormick, Dept. CX104]*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR APPROVAL
OF A PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL
ACT SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT
THEREON**

*[Filed concurrently with the Notice of Motion
and Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys
General Act Settlement; Declaration of William
Tran in Support Thereof]*

Hearing Information:

Date: May 23, 2024

Time: 2:00 PM

Dept.: CX104

Reservation Number: 74217299

1 The Court, having reviewed and considered Plaintiff Adriana M. Chavira Armenta's
2 ("Plaintiff") Motion for Approval of the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") Settlement and all
3 documents submitted in support of the motion, **HEREBY ORDERS AND ENTERS JUDGMENT**
4 **AS FOLLOWS:**

5 1. The All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement
6 Agreement attached to the Declaration of William Tran as **Exhibit 1**.

7 2. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds
8 that the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable and directs the Parties
9 to effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms. The Court finds that the Settlement
10 Agreement has been reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations.
11 The Court further finds that the Parties were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions.
12 The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation
13 costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has
14 reviewed the monetary recovery being provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the
15 significant value accorded to the Aggrieved Employees.

16 3. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendants or by any other of the
17 Released Parties, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing
18 by any Defendants or any other Released Parties. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor
19 any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be
20 construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or
21 liability whatsoever by or against Defendants or any of the other Released Parties.

22 4. The entire Net Settlement Amount (the remainder of the Settlement Amount after
23 deducting Plaintiff's counsel's fees (\$333,333.00) and costs (\$13,069.50) and the costs of
24 administration (not to exceed \$11,000) from the \$1,000,000 Settlement Sum) shall be allocated for
25 payment of civil penalties and shall be distributed 75% to the LWDA and 25% to the Aggrieved
26 Employees.

27 5. The Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed to Aggrieved Employees pro rata
28 based on the number of pay periods worked by each Aggrieved Employee for Defendant during the

1 Settlement Period. “Aggrieved Employees” means all persons within the State of California who are
2 or were employed by Defendant as hourly, non-exempt employees in the State of California at any
3 time within the PAGA Period. “PAGA Period” means the time period from May 8, 2022 to
4 _____ (date of the Court’s Order).

5 6. Defendant shall pay the Aggrieved Employees pursuant to the procedure described in
6 the Settlement Agreement.

7 7. The Court hereby confirms Lavi & Ebrahimian, LLP as PAGA Counsel.

8 8. The Court hereby confirms CPT Group as the Settlement Administrator and confirms
9 that costs in the amount of no more than \$11,000 for administration of the settlement are reasonable.

10 9. The Court hereby awards attorneys’ fees in the amount of 33 1/3% of the Settlement
11 Amount, which is \$333,333.00 in attorneys’ fees and \$13,069.50 in attorneys’ costs. The payment of
12 fees to Plaintiff’s Counsel shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

13 10. The Court approves the Notice of PAGA Settlement attached as **Exhibit A** to the
14 Settlement Agreement.

15 11. All settlement checks will remain valid for 180 days after which the checks shall
16 become null and void, and any monies remaining in the distribution account shall be transmitted to
17 the California State Controller for deposit in the Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the PAGA
18 Group member to whom the uncashed Net Settlement Payment was drafted.

19 12. The Court further orders, adjudges and decrees that upon Approval by the Court of
20 this Settlement and Defendant’s full funding of the Settlement Amount, Plaintiff, as a representative
21 of the State of California, the PAGA Group, and the State of California will release any and all claims
22 and causes of action, known or unknown, contingent or accrued, against Defendant, Defendant’s
23 parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, their insurers, attorneys, shareholders, executives, managers, and all
24 agents thereof (collectively “Released Parties”), arising out of the facts and claims asserted in the
25 Action for civil penalties under the Private Attorney General Act of 2004 Cal. Lab. Code § 2698
26 based upon the facts alleged, for violations of the California Labor Code governing: meal and rest
27 breaks; unpaid wages, including minimum wages, regular wages, overtime, and double time wages,
28 and all theories for or related to nonpayment of such wages; wage statement violations; separation

1 pay violations; and any other penalties which could be asserted based on the allegations alleged
2 against Defendant in Plaintiff's LWDA letter and the Action.

3 13. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters related to the
4 administration and consummation of the settlement, and any and all claims, asserted in, arising out
5 of, or related to the subject matter of the lawsuit, including but not limited to all matters related to the
6 settlement and the determination of all controversies relating thereto.

7
8 Dated: _____

9 Hon. Melissa R. McCormick
10 Orange County Superior Court Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28