

Lilit Tunyan (SBN 329351)
ltunyan@tunyanlaw.com
 Artur Tunyan (SBN 349174)
atunyan@tunyanlaw.com
TUNYAN LAW, APC
 535 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 285
 Glendale, California 91203
 Telephone: (323) 410-5050

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Los Angeles
11/25/2024
 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
 By: R. Lindsey Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff Efrain Vivieros,
 as an individual and on behalf of all
 employees similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

EFRAIN VIVEROS, as an individual and on
 behalf of all employees similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

D AND T RESTAURANTS, INC., a California
 Corporation; MCSURF, INC., a California
 Corporation; BLADE ENTERPRISES III, Inc., a
 California Corporation; BLADE ENTERPRISES
 IV, Inc., a California Corporation; BLADE
 ENTERPRISES V, Inc., a California Corporation;
 BLADE ENTERPRISES VI, Inc., a California
 Corporation; BLADE ENTERPRISES VII, Inc., a
 California Corporation; BLADE ENTERPRISES
 VIII, Inc., a California Corporation; and DOES 1
 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 23STCV10019

[Assigned to Hon. Laura Seigle, Dept.17]

**CLASS AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE
 ACTION**

**AMENDED [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER
 GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF
 CLASS AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE
 ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: November 25, 2024
 Time: 9 a.m.
 Courtroom: Dept. 17
 Judge: Hon. Laura Seigle

Action Filed: May 4, 2023
 Trial Date: Not Set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:**

2 Plaintiff EFRAIN VIVEROS (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants D AND T RESTAURANTS, INC.,
3 MCSURF, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES III, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES IV, Inc., BLADE
4 ENTERPRISES V, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES VI, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES VII, Inc., BLADE
5 ENTERPRISES VIII (“Defendants”) have reached terms of settlement for a putative class and PAGA
6 representative action.

7 Plaintiff has filed a motion for final approval of a class and PAGA representative action
8 settlement of the claims asserted against Defendants in this action, memorialized in the CLASS
9 ACTION AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (see Declaration
10 of Lilit Tunyan in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval of Class and PAGA Representative
11 Action Settlement [“Tunyan Decl.”], at Exh. 1). The CLASS ACTION AND PAGA
12 REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT is referred to herein as the “Agreement”
13 or “Settlement.”

14 After reviewing the Agreement, the Notice process, and other related documents, and having
15 heard the argument of Counsel for respective parties, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:**

16 1. The Court finds the settlement was entered into in good faith, that the settlement is fair,
17 reasonable and adequate, and that the settlement satisfies the standards and applicable requirements for
18 final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of
19 California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769.

20 2. The parties’ Settlement is GRANTED final approval. The Court has considered all
21 relevant factors for determining the fairness of the Settlement and has concluded that all such factors
22 weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached
23 following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Class Counsel; that the Settlement is the
24 result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm’s-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the
25 terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.

26 3. The Court hereby GRANTS conditional certification of the Class, in accordance with
27 the Settlement, for purposes of this settlement only. The Settlement Class meets the requirements for
28 conditional certification for settlement purposes only under Code of Civil Procedure § 382.

1 4. The Class Notice provided to the Class complied with the requirements of Code of Civil
2 Procedure § 382, Civil Code § 1781, Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States
3 Constitutions, and any other applicable law, and constituted the best notice practicable under the
4 circumstances, by providing individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through
5 reasonable effort, and by providing due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set
6 forth therein to the other Settlement Class Members. The Class Notice fully satisfied the requirements of
7 due process.

8 5. The following persons are certified as Class Members solely for the purpose of entering
9 a settlement in this matter:

10 All non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendants who worked for Defendants in
11 California during the Class Period (the “Class Period” is May 4, 2019 through June 13,
12 2024.) “Participating Class Members” are those Class Members who do not submit
timely exclusion requests to the Settlement Administrator. (Settlement, ¶ 1.35.)

13 6. The following persons are PAGA Group Employees for the purpose of entering a
14 settlement in this matter:

15 All non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendants who worked for Defendants in
16 California during the PAGA Period (the “PAGA Period” is May 4, 2022 through June
17 13, 2024.) Aggrieved Employees cannot opt out of the settlement of the PAGA claim.
(Settlement, ¶ 7.5.4.)

18 7. Plaintiff EFRAIN VIVEROS is appointed as the Class Representative. The Court finds
19 Plaintiff’s counsel are adequate, as they are experienced in wage and hour class action litigation and
20 have no conflicts of interest with absent Class Members, and that they adequately represented the
21 interests of absent class members in the Litigation. TUNYAN LAW, APC is appointed Class Counsel.

22 8. The Court appoints Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions to act as the
23 Settlement Administrator, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.

24 9. All other Class Members are Settlement Class Members, bound by the Final Approval
25 Order and Judgment in the Action.

26 10. Upon entry of this Final Approval Order and the subsequent Judgment, funding of the
27 Settlement and compensation to the Settlement Class Members and PAGA Employees shall be
28 implemented pursuant to the terms of the Settlement.

1 11. In addition to any recovery that Plaintiff may receive under the Settlement as a
2 Settlement Class Member, and in recognition of the Plaintiff's general release of individual claims and
3 his efforts on behalf of the Settlement Class, the Court hereby approves the payment of an enhancement
4 awards to Plaintiff EFRAIN VIVEROS in the amount of **\$7,500.00**.

5 12. The Court approves the payment of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in the amount of
6 **\$300,000.00** to Tunyan Law, APC. Litigation expenses are approved by the Court in the amount of
7 **\$19,463.54** to Tunyan Law, APC.

8 13. The Court approves and orders payment in the amount of **\$17,000.00** to Phoenix Class
9 Action Administration Solutions for its services as the Settlement Administrator.

10 14. The Court approves and orders payment in the amount of **\$60,000.00** to the Labor and
11 Workforce Development Agency in compromise of claims under the Labor Code Private Attorneys
12 General Act of 2004 (Labor Code § 2698 et seq.).

13 15. Upon completion of administration of the Settlement, the Parties shall file a declaration
14 stating that all amounts payable under the Settlement have been paid and that the terms of the Settlement
15 have been completed.

16 16. The Court sets a non-appearance case review regarding compliance with all fund
17 distribution requirements under the Settlement for **August 25, 2025, at 4:30p.m.**, in Dept. 17 of the
18 above-entitled Court. A Final Report, including any declaration from the Settlement Administrator
19 regarding compliance, shall be filed with the Court no later than **August 15, 2025**.

20 17. Once Defendants fully fund the Settlement by paying the amounts specified in the
21 Settlement, including the Gross Settlement Amount, Plaintiff, the Participating Class Members, and the
22 PAGA Employees, shall have, by operation of this Final Approval Order and the Judgment issued
23 thereon, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Defendants from the Released
24 Claims and released PAGA Claims described in the Settlement.

25 18. In accordance with California Rule of Court 3.771(b), the Parties are ordered to give
26 notice of this final Order and Judgment to all Settlement Class Members by posting the Order and
27 Judgment for 90 days on the Settlement Administrator's website and to the LWDA, pursuant to Labor
28 Code § 2699(1)(3).

1 19. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or in
2 connection with the settlement.

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: 11/25/2024



Laura Seigle

Hon. Laura Seigle
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE
Laura A. Seigle / Judge

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss
 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

On the date indicated below, I served the document described as: **AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT** on the interested parties in this action by sending a true copy thereof to interested parties as follows and as stated on the attached service list:

Attorneys for Defendants D AND T RESTAURANTS, INC., MCSURF, INC., BLADE ENTERPRISES III, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES IV, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES V, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES VI, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES VII, Inc., BLADE ENTERPRISES VIII, Inc.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Signature