

LAWYERS FOR JUSTICE^{PC}

December 23, 2025

BY ONLINE SUBMISSION

California Labor & Workforce Development Agency
PAGAfiling@dir.ca.gov

Re: LATHROP LOGISTICS LLC

Dear Representative:

We have been retained to represent Niceforo Flores against Lathrop Logistics LLC (including any and all affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, directors, officers, agents, and executive employees) (collectively referred to as “Lathrop”) for violations of California wage-and-hour laws. Mr. Flores seeks penalties for violations of the California Labor Code, which are recoverable under California Labor Code section 2698, et seq., the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”) and all other remedies available under PAGA.

Mr. Flores seeks these remedies on behalf of the State of California and “aggrieved employees,” as defined herein. This letter is sent in compliance with the reporting requirements of California Labor Code section 2699.3.

Lathrop employed Mr. Flores as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee from approximately August 2022 to approximately October 2025, in the State of California.

The “aggrieved employees” that Mr. Flores may seek penalties on behalf of are all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for any of the above-referenced entities within the State of California, including, but not limited to all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for any of the above-referenced entities within the State of California who earned shift differentials/non-discretionary bonuses/non-discretionary performance pay which was not used to calculate the correct regular rate of pay used to calculate the overtime and premium rates.

Based on the following facts and theories, Lathrop has violated and/or continues to violate, among other provisions of the California Labor Code and applicable wage law, California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 245, 246, 510, 512(a), 551, 552, 558, 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800 and 2802, and IWC Wage Orders including *inter alia*, Wage Orders 4-2001, 7-2001, and 9-2001.

Lathrop required Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees to perform work before their scheduled shifts, after their scheduled shifts, during off-the-clock meal breaks, and/or during rest breaks and failed to compensate Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees for this time. Such

450 NORTH BRAND BLVD., SUITE 900 | GLENDALE, CA 91203
(818) 265-1020 | WWW.CALLJUSTICE.COM

work included, but was not limited to, responding to work related communications, going through security checks, waiting in line to clock in, and performing other regular tasks/duties.

California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 require employers to pay time-and-a-half or double time overtime wages, and make it unlawful to work employees for hours longer than eight hours in one day and/or over forty hours in one week without paying the premium overtime rates at one-and-one-half times or double the regular rate of pay, including additional remuneration. During the relevant time period, Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees worked in excess of 8 hours in a day and 40 hours in a week. Therefore, Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive certain wages for overtime compensation, but they were not paid for all overtime hours worked.

California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 require employers to pay an employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate for each meal or rest period that is not provided. During the relevant time period, Lathrop required Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees to work during meal and rest periods and failed to compensate them properly for non-compliant meal and rest periods including, *inter alia*, short, late, interrupted, and missed meal and rest periods. Lathrop also required Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees to stay on work premises during rest periods.

California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting. During the relevant time period, Lathrop failed to pay Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code sections 201 and 202, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code sections 245, et seq., require employers to provide paid sick leave. During the relevant time period, Lathrop did not provide Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees with all paid sick leave that was owed to them. Lathrop failed to provide sick leave at all or improperly calculated sick leave accrual and the sick leave rate of pay owed to Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees by failing to base the accrued sick leave hours on the actual hours worked and by failing to incorporate multiples rates of pay and/or all shift differentials/non-discretionary bonuses/non-discretionary performance pay into the sick leave pay rate calculation. Moreover, Lathrop failed to provide Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees with notice of the paid sick leave available to them or to maintain accurate records of the amount of paid sick leave accrued, as required under California Labor Code sections 245, et seq.

California Labor Code section 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day

of the following month. California Labor Code section 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, Lathrop failed to pay Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code section 204, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code section 226 requires employers to make, keep and provide complete and accurate itemized wage statements to their employees. During the relevant time period, Lathrop did not provide Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees with complete and accurate itemized wage statements. The wage statements they received from Lathrop were in violation of California Labor Code section 226(a). The violations include, but are not limited to, the failure to include the total hours worked by Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees, including time spent working off-the-clock and during meal and rest periods as discussed above.

California Labor Code sections 551 and 552 require that every person employed in any occupation of labor is entitled to one day's rest in a seven-day workweek, that no employer of labor shall cause his employees to work more than six days in a workweek, and that an employer shall pay a civil penalty in the amounts of fifty dollars (\$50) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation and one hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation. During the relevant time period, Mr. Flores and the aggrieved employees were required to regularly and/or consistently work in excess of six (6) days in a workweek. During the relevant time period, Mr. Flores and the aggrieved employees were required to work in excess of thirty (30) hours in a week and/or six (6) hours in any one (1) day thereof, during workweeks in which they were required to work in excess of six (6) days. During the relevant time period, Mr. Flores and the aggrieved employees were required to work in excess of six (6) days in a workweek without accumulating or being provided the opportunity to take at least one (1) day of rest, and when Mr. Flores and the aggrieved employees accumulated days of rest, they were not actually provided the opportunity to take the equivalent of one (1) day's rest in seven (7) during each calendar month.

California Labor Code sections 1174(d) requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, Lathrop failed to keep accurate and complete payroll records showing the actual hours worked daily and the wages earned by Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 provide the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage than the minimum so fixed is unlawful. During the relevant time period, Lathrop did not provide Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees with the minimum wages to which they were entitled for work performed "off-the-clock."

California Labor Code section 1198 provides that “The employment of any employee ... under conditions of labor prohibited by the [Industrial Wage Orders] is unlawful.” The applicable Industrial Wage Orders, including *inter alia*, Wage Orders 4-2001, 7-2001, and 9-2001, require that for each workday an employee is required to report for work and does report, but is not put to work or is furnished less than half said employee’s usual or scheduled day’s work, the employee shall be paid for half the usual or scheduled day’s work, but in no event for less than two (2) hours nor more than four (4) hours, at the employee’s regular rate of pay. Further, if an employee is required to report for work a second time in any one workday and is furnished less than two (2) hours of work on the second reporting, said employee shall be paid for two (2) hours at the employee’s regular rate of pay. During the relevant time period, Lathrop failed to pay Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees half the usual or scheduled day’s work in an amount no less than two (2) hours nor more than four (4) hours at the employee’s regular rate of pay for workdays in which Mr. Flores and the other aggrieved employees reported to work and were furnished less than half the usual or scheduled day’s work. During the relevant time period, Lathrop failed to pay Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees for two (2) hours at the employee’s regular rate of pay on days in which Mr. Flores and the other aggrieved employees were required to report for work a second time in one workday and were furnished less than two (2) hours of work upon the second reporting.

California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 require an employer to reimburse its employee for all necessary expenditures incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her job duties or in direct consequence of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer. During the relevant time period, Mr. Flores and other aggrieved employees incurred necessary business-related expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by Lathrop. These costs include, but are not limited to, the use of personal phones for business-related purposes, the purchasing and maintenance of clothing in compliance with the uniform/dress code policies, the purchasing and maintenance of specialty footwear in compliance with company policies, and the purchasing of tools, supplies, and/or equipment for business-related purposes.

Therefore, on behalf of all aggrieved employees, Mr. Flores seeks all applicable penalties arising out of the above-referenced wage, hour, and payroll practices, or which could be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, for violation of the California Labor Code pursuant to PAGA, including civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 558.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for your attention to this matter and the noble cause you advance each and every day.

With kind regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Arman Marukyan'.

Arman Marukyan, Esq.

Cc: (By U.S. Certified Mail / Return Receipt Requested)

Lathrop Logistics LLC
c/o C T Corporation System
Agent for Service of Process
330 N Brand Blvd., Suite 700
Glendale, CA 91203

LD

LAWYERS FOR JUSTICE

450 N. BRAND BLVD., SUITE 900 / GLENDALE, CA 91203

CERTIFIED MAIL®



9589 0710 5270 0409 9591 66

9589 0710 5270 0409 9591 66

PITNEY BOWES
\$10.73 0
US POSTAGE[®] IMI
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310853
2000152203
ZIP 91203
DEC 23 2025



U.S. Postal Service[™]
CERTIFIED MAIL[®] RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com.

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee

\$

Lathrop Logistics LLC
c/o C T Corporation System
Agent for Service of Process
330 N Brand Blvd, Suite 700
Glendale, CA 91203

Postmark
Here

Sent To

Paga Notice Niceforo Flores v. Lathrop Logistics LLC

PS Form 3800, January 2023 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

PITNEY BOWES
\$10.73
US POSTAGE[®] INTL
FIRST-CLASS
028W0002310853
2000152203
ZIP 91203
DEC 23 2025



Certified Mail service provides the following benefits:

- A receipt (this portion of the Certified Mail label).
- A unique identifier for your mailpiece.
- Electronic verification of delivery or attempted delivery.
- A record of delivery (including the recipient's signature) that is retained by the Postal Service™ for a specified period.

Important Reminders:

- You may purchase Certified Mail service with First-Class Mail[®], First-Class Package Service[®], or Priority Mail[®] service.
- Certified Mail service is *not* available for international mail.
- Insurance coverage is *not* available for purchase with Certified Mail service. However, the purchase of Certified Mail service does not change the insurance coverage automatically included with certain Priority Mail items.
- For an additional fee, and with a proper endorsement on the mailpiece, you may request the following services:
 - Return receipt service, which provides a record of delivery (including the recipient's signature). You can request a hardcopy return receipt or an electronic version. For a hardcopy return receipt, complete PS Form 3811, *Domestic Return Receipt*, attach PS Form 3811 to your mailpiece.
- To ensure that your Certified Mail receipt is accepted as legal proof of mailing, it should bear a USPS postmark. If you would like a postmark on this Certified Mail receipt, please present your Certified Mail item at a Post Office[™] for postmarking. If you don't need a postmark on this Certified Mail receipt, detach the barcoded portion of this label, affix it to the mailpiece, apply appropriate postage, and deposit the mailpiece.

IMPORTANT: Save this receipt for your records.

PS Form 3800, January 2023 (Reverse) PSN 7530-02-000-9047

Lathrop Logistics LLC
c/o C T Corporation System
Agent for Service of Process
330 N Brand Blvd., Suite 700
Glendale, CA 91203

WYERS FOR JUSTICE
ND BLVD., SUITE 900 / GLENDALE, CA 91203

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

Lathrop Logistics LLC
c/o C T Corporation System
Agent for Service of Process
330 N Brand Blvd., Suite 700
Glendale, CA 91203



9590 9402 8473 3186 0843 10

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

9589 0710 5270 0409 9591 66

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

- ☐ Agent
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☒ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

Certified Mail

- A receipt (this portion)
- A unique identifier for delivery.
- Electronic verification
- A record of delivery (if signature) that is retained for a specified period.

Important Reminder

- You may purchase Certified Mail service with First-Class Mail®, First-Class Mail® service, or Priority Mail® service.
- Certified Mail service is available for international mail.

- Insurance coverage is provided with Certified Mail service.
- Insurance coverage is provided with Certified Mail service.
- Insurance coverage is provided with Certified Mail service.

- For an additional fee, you can request a return receipt service.
- Return receipt service provides proof of delivery (including electronic version). If you request a return receipt, attach PS Form 3800, January 2020.

PS Form 3800, January 2020