

LIDMAN LAW, APC

Scott M. Lidman (SBN 199433)

slidman@lidmanlaw.com

Milan Moore (SBN 308095)

mmoore@lidmanlaw.com

Tiara Gose-Hardy (SBN 323823)

tgose@lidmanlaw.com

2155 Campus Drive, Suite 150

El Segundo, California 90245

Tel: (424) 322-4772

Fax: (424) 322-4775

Attorneys for Plaintiff

ANA MARIA MENDOZA-HERNANDEZ

HAINES LAW GROUP, APC

Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226)

phaines@haineslawgroup.com

2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180

El Segundo, California 90245

Tel: (424) 292-2350

Fax: (424) 292-2355

Attorneys for Plaintiff

ANA MARIA MENDOZA-HERNANDEZ

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

ANA MARIA MENDOZA-HERNANDEZ,
as an individual and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PACIFIC SNOW VALLEY RESORT, LLC,
a California limited liability company; and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
Defendants.

Case No.: CIVSB2314151

*[Assigned for all purposes to the
Hon. Joseph T. Ortiz, Dept. S-17]*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: June 12, 2025

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: S-17

1 The Motion of Plaintiff Ana Maria Mendoza-Hernandez (“Plaintiff”) for Preliminary
2 Approval of Class Action Settlement (“Motion”) came on regularly for hearing before this Court
3 on June 12, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. in Department S-17. The Court, having considered the proposed
4 Stipulation of Settlement (the “Settlement”), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Milan
5 Moore filed concurrently with the Motion; having considered Plaintiff’s Motion, Memorandum
6 of Points and Authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good
7 cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

8 1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set
9 forth in the Settlement and finds it terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement
10 that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Fairness Hearing. For purposes
11 of the Settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that
12 there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Settlement
13 Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants
14 conditional certification of the following Settlement Class:

15 All current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant in
16 California during the period of June 21, 2019 to February 24, 2025 or
17 preliminary approval of the settlement, whichever is sooner.

18 2. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court designates named Plaintiff Ana Maria
19 Mendoza-Hernandez as Class Representative, and Scott M. Lidman, Milan Moore, and Tiara
20 Gose-Hardy of Lidman Law, APC and Paul K. Haines of Haines Law Group, APC as Class
21 Counsel.

22 3. The Court designates Phoenix Settlement Administrators (“Phoenix”) as the third-
23 party Settlement Administrator for mailing notices.

24 4. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Pendency of Class
25 Action and Proposed Settlement attached to the Settlement as Exhibit 2.

26 5. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Settlement Class regarding the
27 pendency of the action and of the Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to Settlement Class
28

members, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Settlement Class. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.

6. The Court further approves the procedures for Settlement Class members to opt out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlement.

7. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class members.

8. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlement to all of the Class members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

9. The Class Notice shall provide at least 60 calendar days' notice for Settlement Class members to dispute, opt out of, or object to, the Settlement.

10. The Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled in Department S-17 of this Court, located at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, California 92415 on _____, 2025 at _____ a.m./ p.m.

11. At the Final Fairness Hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for reasonable attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, and service award to Plaintiff.

12. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and

materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, Plaintiff's service award, settlement administration costs, and payment to the LWDA for PAGA penalties prior to the Final Fairness Hearing according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Rules of Court.

13. An implementation schedule is below:

Event	Date
Defendant to provide Class Data to Settlement Administrator	20 calendar days after the Court enters an order granting preliminary approval.
Settlement Administrator to mail Notice Packets to Class Members	10 business days after receiving Class Data from Defendant
Deadline for Class Members to request exclusion from, submit disputes, or object to, the Settlement	60 calendar days after mailing of the Notice by the Settlement Administrator
Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (and to respond to any objections):	16 Court days before the Final Fairness Hearing
Final Fairness Hearing:	_____, 2025

15. Pending the Final Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this Order, are stayed.

16. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2025

Honorable Joseph T. Ortiz
Judge of the Superior Court