

Aaron A. Bartz (SBN 198722)
BARTZ LAW GROUP, APC
5151 California Ave., Suite 100
Irvine, California 92617
Tel: (949) 504-4413 / Fax: (949) 656-7760
Email: aaron@bartzlawgroup.com

Walter L. Haines, Esq. (SBN 71075)
UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP, PC
8605 Santa Monica Blvd., #63354
West Hollywood, CA 90069
Tel.: (562) 256-1047 / Fax: (562) 256-1006
Email: walter@uelglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs ROSEMARY IGLESIAS, EVET RICO, and MARIA RICO, and all
others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ROSEMARY IGLESIAS, an individual;
EVET RICO, an individual; MARIA RICO, an
individual; on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated and the general
public,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

LAUNDRY PLANET, LLC, a California
limited liability company; SANTA FE
LAVANDERIA, LLC, a California limited
liability company; YOUR LAUNDRY
BUTLERS, LLC, a California limited liability
company; ART JAEGER, an individual; and
DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 23STCV28632

[Assigned for All Purposes to:
The Hon. William F. Highberger, Dept. SS10]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FOR
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS**

Date: January 21, 2026
Time: 11:00 a.m.
Dept: 10

1 The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (“Motion”) came before this
2 Court on January 21, 2026, at 11:00 a.m., in in Department 10 of the above-entitled court,
3 located at the Los Angeles Superior Court, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California
4 90012. Plaintiffs Rosemary Iglesias, Evet Rico, and Maria Rico (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) appeared through their attorneys of record. Defendants Santa Fe Lavanderia LLC dba Santa
5 Clarita Laundry, Your Laundry Butlers, LLC, dba Laundry Butler for You, Laundry Planet
6 LLC, dba The Oaks Laundry, Valencia Laundry (a California S-Corp), dba Valencia Express
7 Laundry Center, Simi Valley Laundry LLC, and Art Jaeger (collectively, “Defendants”) appeared through their attorneys of record. (Plaintiffs and Defendants are collectively referred
8 to herein as the “Parties”).

10 The Court, having considered the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and
11 Amendment (hereafter, “Settlement Agreement”), attached collectively as Exhibit 1 to the
12 Declaration of Aaron Bartz in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval of Class Action
13 Settlement and having considered the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement
14 (“Motion”), and having considered the respective points and authorities and declarations
15 submitted by the parties in support of the Motions; and good cause appearing, HEREBY
16 ORDERS, DECREES AND ADJUDGES AS FOLLOWS:

17 1. This Judgment Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement
18 incorporates by reference the definitions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and all terms
19 used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

20 2. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and
21 finds that the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and in
22 the best interests of the Class, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement Agreement
23 according to its terms. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding
24 and over all Parties to this proceeding, including Class Members.

1 3. This Court certifies a Settlement Class under California Code of Civil
2 Procedure section 382 for purposes of settlement only based on the reasons set forth in this
3 Judgment, and defined as follows:

4 All current and former non-exempt employees who worked in the state
5 of California for Defendants and did not sign an arbitration agreement at
6 any time during the period beginning from November 21, 2019 through
7 November 21, 2024.

8 4. Each member of the Settlement Class will release Defendants and the other
9 Released Parties pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs also release the
10 Released Parties pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

11 5. The distribution of the Court Approved Notice of Class Action Settlement and
12 Hearing Date for Final Court Approval (“Class Notice”) to the Class as set forth in the
13 Settlement Agreement has been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order.
14 The Class Notice provided adequate notice of the proceedings and about the case, including the
15 proposed settlement terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Class Notice fully
16 satisfied due process requirements. The Class Notice was sent via U.S. Mail to all persons
17 entitled to such notice and to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable
18 effort. As executed, the Class Notice was the best notice practicable under the circumstances.
19 Class Members were afforded the opportunity to exclude themselves or object to the settlement.
20 No Class Member objected to the settlement, and no class members excluded themselves from
21 the settlement.

22 6. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement has been reached as a result of
23 informed and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. Consummation of the settlement in
24 accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement is therefore approved.

25 7. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendants, nor is this
26 Judgment a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendants. The
27 Settlement Agreement and/or the fact of settlement, shall not be construed as an admission or
28 concession by Defendants of any violations or failure to comply with applicable law. Except

as necessary in a proceeding to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement and its terms and provisions shall not be offered or received as evidence in any action or proceeding to establish any liability or admission on the part of Defendants or to establish the existence of any condition constituting a violation of, or a non-compliance with federal, state, local or other applicable law. Neither this Judgment, the Settlement Agreement, or any document referred to herein, or any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, shall be construed or deemed to be an admission of liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing on the part of Defendant.

8. The Gross Settlement Amount is \$140,000. The Gross Settlement Amount shall represent the total consideration to be paid by Defendants in connection with this settlement, except that Defendants shall also pay the employer's share of payroll taxes pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. Defendants shall have no further liability for costs, expenses, interest, taxes, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

9. The Court hereby confirms that named plaintiffs Rosemary Iglesias, Evet Rico, and Maria Rico are approved as Class Representatives, and awards them each an incentive payment of \$5,000, for a total of 15,000. The payment of the Class Representative enhancement awards shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

10. The Court hereby approves the following as Class Counsel: Aaron Bartz of Bartz Law Group APC, and Walter Haines of United Employees Law Group PC. The Court further awards Class Counsel the amount of \$46,666 in attorneys' fees, and \$5,000 in costs. The attorneys' fees and costs authorized by this paragraph are reasonable in light of the benefit obtained for the class, and shall be paid in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

11. The Court further approves the payment of \$6,500 to Xpand Legal for the fees and costs of administering the settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The payment authorized by this paragraph shall be paid in accordance with the terms of the Settlement

Agreement.

12. The Administrator shall promptly calculate and mail the checks to those Class Members who have not opted out and cause to be paid attorneys' fees, taxes, costs, and enhancement as approved by the Court and in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The envelope transmitting the settlement distribution to a class member shall bear the notation, "YOUR CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT CHECK IS ENCLOSED." A Class Member must cash his or her Settlement Share check within 180 calendar days after it is mailed to him or her. If the check remains uncashed by the expiration of the 180-day period, the Administrator shall send those funds to the California State Controller Unclaimed Property Fund, to be held in the class member's name until claimed. As such, no "unpaid residue" under California Code of Civil Procedure section 384 will result from the Settlement.

13. Pursuant to PAGA, the LWDA has been given notice of the Settlement and Plaintiff submitted to the LWDA a notice of the Settlement enclosing a copy of the Settlement. The court finds and determines that the notice of the Settlement complied with the statutory requirements of PAGA. Plaintiff shall file and serve formal Notice of Entry of Judgment including Notice to the LWDA. The Court hereby grants approval of the portion of the Settlement Agreement resolving Plaintiffs' PAGA Claims, including the settlement and release of the PAGA Claims from March 18, 2023 through June 24, 2025, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, and the payment of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) from the Gross Settlement Amount to resolve the PAGA claims ("PAGA Penalties"), of which Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$3,750) (75% of the PAGA Penalties) will be distributed to the Labor Workforce and Development Agency (LWDA), with the remaining portion – One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,250) (25% of the PAGA Penalties) – going to Aggrieved Employees. Aggrieved Employees shall be paid their portion of the One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,250) on a pro rata basis, based on their proportionate pay periods worked for Defendant from August 1, 2022 through November 21, 2024. The payment to each

Aggrieved Employee shall be made in the form of a check to be mailed to each of them pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The payment to the LWDA shall be made in the form of a check to be mailed to the LWDA pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The State of California and all Aggrieved Employees, including Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees, are deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, compromised, relinquished, and discharged the Released Parties from the claims for civil penalties for the violations of the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 that were alleged, or could have been alleged, based on the facts alleged in the Operative Complaint and/or the LWDA Letter during the PAGA Period.

14. The Parties agree that, upon final approval of the settlement, the Court shall enter Judgment on the terms set forth herein. The Court shall have continuing jurisdiction over the construction, interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement according to its terms, over the administration and distribution of the settlement proceeds, and other post-Judgment matters as are permitted by law.

15. The Administrator shall provide a notice to the Settlement Class of the judgment pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.771(b). The Administrator will provide this notice along with the mailing of the checks in a statement that provides: "Pursuant to California Rules of Court 3.771, notice is hereby given that judgment has been entered in the case *Iglesias et al. v. Laundry Planet, LLC et al.*, Case No. 23STCV28632, Los Angeles Superior Court."

16. This Judgment shall be entered pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and is intended to effectuate the settlement as more fully described in the Settlement Agreement. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and shall be vacated.

1 17. A Non-Appearence Case Review RE Filing of the Final Report by the Settlement
2 Administrator is set for _____, in Department 10 of the above-
3 referenced Court, and no later than _____, the parties shall file and serve a
4 compliance report.

5
6 **IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.**

7
8 Dated: _____

Hon. William F. Highberger
Judge of the Superior Court