

1 GRAHAMHOLLIS APC
Hali M. Anderson (SBN 261816)
2 handerson@grahamhollis.com
Alex M. Kuner (SBN 323194)
3 akuner@grahamhollis.com
3555 Fifth Avenue Suite 200
4 San Diego, California 92103
Telephone: 619.692.0800
5 Facsimile: 619.692.0822

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Dorothea Bowens
7

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

9 **COUNTY OF PLACER**

10 GEOVANNI VAZQUEZ, individually, and on
behalf of other members of the general public
11 similarly situated,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 CALCARE, INC., a California corporation;
CARPINTERICA LLC, a California limited
15 liability company; and DOES 1 through 100,
inclusive,

16 Defendants.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: S-CV-0049068

**DECLARATION OF HALI M. ANDERSON IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

Date: September 11, 2025
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept: 3
Judge: Hon. Michael Jones

1 I, Hali M. Anderson, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice before all courts of the State of California. I am
3 a shareholder of the law firm of GrahamHollis, APC in San Diego, California.

4 2. I am thoroughly familiar with, and have personal knowledge of, all the facts set forth
5 herein. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the information set forth below.

6 3. GrahamHollis, APC is counsel of record for Plaintiff Dorothea Bowens (“Plaintiff
7 Bowens”), the putative class members, and the aggrieved employees in the pending wage and hour class
8 action and Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) representative action lawsuit entitled *Dorothea*
9 *Bowens v. Trestles LLC, et al.*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 23CV003757 (“*Bowens*”).
10 Associate attorney Alex Kuner and I are the primary attorneys from GrahamHollis, APC handling the
11 *Bowens* case.

12 4. As part of the settlement addressed herein, Plaintiff Bowens and Plaintiff Geovanni
13 Vazquez (“Plaintiff Vazquez”) from the instant matter will request leave to file a First Amended
14 Complaint in this matter, *Vazquez v. Calcare, Inc., et al.*, Placer County Superior Court Case No. S-CV-
15 0049068 (“*Vazquez*”) to add Plaintiff Bowens and the named defendants from the *Bowens* matter –
16 Defendant Kalesta Healthcare Group (“Kalesta” or “Defendant Kalesta”) and Defendant Trestles LLC dba
17 City Creek Care Center/City Creek Post Acute (“Trestles” or “Defendant Trestles”) – as well as to name
18 the following entities as additional defendants: Hamoa LLC dba Valley Skilled Nursing Center, Napili
19 Bay LLC dba Los Banos Post-Acute, and Coronado Bay LLC dba Harvest Cross Post Acute. The *Vazquez*
20 First Amended Complaint will also add a cause of action for civil penalties pursuant to PAGA. Plaintiff
21 Bowens and Plaintiff Vazquez (collectively, the “Plaintiffs”) will also prepare and send an additional
22 notice letter to the LWDA and to all Defendant entities alleging against each Defendant all of the
23 violations of the California Labor Code that were alleged in *Vazquez* and in *Bowens*.

24 5. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion for Preliminary
25 Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement, which seeks an order: (1) preliminarily approving the
26 proposed Settlement; (2) provisionally certifying the Settlement Class; (3) approving the form and content
27 of the Class Notice; (4) appointing Plaintiffs as Class Representatives and Plaintiffs’ Counsel as Class
28 Counsel; (5) approving Apex Class Action Administration as the Settlement Administrator; and (6)

1 scheduling a Final Approval Hearing.

2 6. Plaintiffs provided a copy of the Settlement Agreement to the State of California, Labor
3 and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) at the same time Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary
4 Approval was filed with the Court. A true and correct copy of the confirmation of submission of the
5 Settlement Agreement to the LWDA is attached herein, for ease of reference, as **Exhibit 1**.

6 **CASE BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

7 7. On May 10, 2023, my office submitted written notice to the California Labor and
8 Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) and to Trestles on behalf of Plaintiff Bowens informing
9 them of Trestles’ alleged violations of the California Labor Code pursuant to the PAGA, including the
10 facts and theories to support each alleged violation.

11 8. On June 27, 2023, Plaintiff Bowens filed her initial class action complaint against Trestles
12 in the Sacramento County Superior Court. On August 22, 2023, Plaintiff Bowens filed her First Amended
13 Complaint, which amended the initial complaint to add a cause of action under PAGA. Plaintiff’s First
14 Amended Complaint asserted the following causes of action against Trestles: (1) Failure to Provide Meal
15 Periods, (2) Failure to Provide Rest Periods, (3) Failure to Pay Minimum and Regular Wages, (4) Failure
16 to Pay Overtime Wages, (5) Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements, (6) Failure to Timely
17 Pay Wages Due During Employment, (7) Failure to Timely Pay All Wages Due and Owing Upon
18 Separation of Employment and/or the Required Waiting Time Penalties, (8) Failure to Indemnify for
19 Necessary Business Expenditures, (9) Failure to Maintain Accurate Records, (10) Violations of
20 California’s Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.*, (11) Violations
21 of the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.*

22 9. In February of 2024, Plaintiff Bowens and Trestles scheduled a mediation date in June of
23 2024 with mediator Jill Sperber. Plaintiff Bowens sent a detailed request for mediation-related documents
24 and information and began preparing for mediation.

25 10. On or around March 1, 2024, Trestles produced some of the documents and information in
26 advance of the mediation. As a result of reviewing those documents, Plaintiff Bowens became aware of
27 Kalesta, an entity believed to be related to Trestles. On April 26, 2024, following meet and confer
28 discussions with counsel for Trestles, Plaintiff Bowens filed an Amendment to Complaint form to name

1 Kalesta as Doe No. 1 in her First Amended Complaint.

2 11. Around April of 2024, Plaintiff Bowens became aware of related litigation, including
3 *Vazquez*, the instant case. Plaintiff Bowens also became aware that *Vazquez* would be attending mediation
4 in May of 2024.

5 12. In May of 2024, following the mediation in the *Vazquez* matter, counsel for Defendants
6 informed Bowens' counsel that it wished to continue the mediation in *Bowens*.

7 13. After mediation in the *Bowens* matter was continued, counsel for Bowens proceeded to
8 pursue formal discovery, completing substantial meet and confer efforts regarding Trestles' responses to
9 Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents, and seeking new discovery
10 on behalf of the additional entity Kalesta. Counsel for Bowens drafted and prepared a draft *Belaire-West*
11 Notice and Protective Order, and met conferred with counsel for Defendants regarding the content of those
12 documents.

13 14. Throughout this time, Plaintiff continued researching and reviewing the status and effect
14 of ongoing related litigation as well, including the *Vazquez* matter. On August 1, 2024, counsel for Bowens
15 informed counsel for *Vazquez* and counsel in another related matter which is not a party to the instant
16 settlement of Bowens' intention to seek an order coordinating the three actions. Plaintiff Bowens filed her
17 Motion for an Order Granting Permission to Submit Petition for Coordination on August 7, 2024.

18 15. Around September of 2024, after counsel for Plaintiff Bowens had filed briefing and
19 prepared for an Informal Discovery Conference with Defendants, counsel for Bowens learned of a
20 settlement in principle in the *Vazquez* matter.

21 16. On November 27, 2024, counsel for Plaintiff Bowens and counsel for *Vazquez* agreed to
22 proceed jointly, and the parties began finalizing the terms to settle the *Vazquez* and *Bowens* matters.

23 17. Throughout the negotiation of the settlement documents in this matter, Bowens' Petition
24 for Coordination remained on calendar. On November 20, 2024, the Chief Justice of California and Chair
25 of the Judicial Council issued an Order assigning a coordination motion judgment in connection with the
26 *Kalesta Healthcare Wage and Hour Cases*, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 5361. On
27 December 19, 2024, the Judicial Council set the coordination hearing for January 28, 2025. The hearing
28 was ultimately continued by the Court to February 4, 2025. On January 14, 2025, Trestles filed its

1 Opposition to Plaintiff Bowens' Petition for Coordination.

2 18. On January 29, 2025, Plaintiff Bowens ultimately withdrew her Petition for Coordination
3 in light of the pending settlement. On February 5, 2025, the Judicial Council granted Plaintiff Bowens'
4 request to vacate the hearing.

5 19. After negotiation of a Memorandum of Understanding and a longform Class Action and
6 PAGA Settlement and Release, the settlement was fully executed by all parties on June 6, 2025.

7 **CLASS COUNSEL'S EXPERIENCE**

8 20. I have been a member of the State Bar of California since 2008. Throughout my entire
9 career, I have practiced employment law exclusively and worked on numerous wage and hour class
10 actions. I have represented employees since September 2018. My involvement in various forms of class
11 action litigation spans approximately sixteen years.

12 21. My firm currently serves as plaintiff's counsel in approximately 100 wage and hour class
13 action and/or PAGA representative action cases pending throughout California. My firm has been
14 appointed as class counsel and has had settlements approved as being fair, reasonable, and adequate in
15 well over 150 wage and hour class action lawsuits with claims similar to those alleged here. An up-to-date
16 list of wage and hour cases that my firm has litigated is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

17 22. In addition to the instant case, I have served as class counsel in a variety of wage and hour
18 class actions. I, along with the attorneys at my firm, have developed extensive litigation experience in
19 complex employment litigation matters, particularly in California class actions representing employees.

20 23. I currently serve as plaintiff's counsel in the following class and representative actions:
21 *Arong v. Integra Beauty*, Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles (litigation ongoing); *Bechere v.*
22 *Ralphs Grocery Company*, Superior Court for the County of Alameda (litigation ongoing); *Blackmon v.*
23 *Franson Family Winery, LLC*, Superior Court for the County of Orange (litigation ongoing); *Brumbaugh*
24 *v. Sunoco*, Superior Court for the County of San Joaquin (litigation ongoing); *Bernardo v. First Element*
25 *Fuel*, Superior Court for the County of Orange (litigation ongoing); *Boucher v. Unstoppable Automotive*
26 *AMV*, Superior Court for the County of Orange (litigation ongoing); *Cabbagestalk v. 29th Street Property*
27 *Management*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Carrillo v. DMSD*
28 *Restaurants*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Colis v. Sweetwater*,

1 Superior Court of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Cullum v. Sharp Healthcare*, Superior Court for the
2 County of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Dochtermann v. HI. Q*, Superior Court for the County of San
3 Diego (litigation ongoing); *Gonzalez v. Rolling Hills*, Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles
4 (litigation ongoing); *Gonzalez v. Community Services and Employment Training, et al.*, Superior Court
5 for the County of Tulare (litigation ongoing); *Hays v. Full Swing Golf Holdings, Inc.*, Superior Court for
6 the County of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Hernandez v. Comercia Bank, Business Information Group*,
7 Superior Court for the County of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Hall v. CVS Health Corporation, et al.*,
8 United States District Court, Eastern District (litigation ongoing); *Jimenez v. Mattress and Furniture*
9 *Express*, Superior Court for the County of Sacramento (litigation ongoing); *Johnson v. GB Auto*, Superior
10 Court for the County of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Ley v. Ace Hardware*, Superior Court for the
11 County of Sacramento (litigation ongoing); *Lopez v. Foley Family Wines*, Superior Court for the County
12 of Sonoma (litigation ongoing); *McGowan v. Synovation Medical Group*, Superior Court for the County
13 of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Martin v. the Imagine Group, LLC*, Superior Court for the County of
14 Los Angeles (litigation ongoing); *Middleton v. Householder Group Estate and Retirement Specialist*,
15 Superior Court for the County of Riverside (litigation ongoing); *Minor v. Essential Staffing*, Superior
16 Court for the County of Sacramento (litigation ongoing); *Minor v. Top Flight Registry*, Superior Court for
17 the County of Sacramento (litigation ongoing); *Minor v. Twomagnets*, Superior Court for the County of
18 Alameda (litigation ongoing); *Men v. Nichols Restaurant*, Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles
19 (litigation ongoing); *Mabalot v. Healthsource*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego (litigation
20 ongoing); *Mittendorff v. Bxng Club*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego (litigation ongoing);
21 *Nesmith v. RCS Safety*, Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles (litigation ongoing); *Orosz, et al. v.*
22 *Briarwood Post Acute, LLC*, Superior Court for the County of Sacramento (litigation ongoing); *Quiroz v.*
23 *Prestige Gunite*, Superior Court for the County of Contra Costa (litigation ongoing); *Richardson v. Sunbelt*
24 *Staffing*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California (litigation ongoing); *Serna v. Arena*
25 *Stuart Rentals*, Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara (litigation ongoing); *Sy v. Pacific Rim*,
26 Superior Court for the County of San Diego (litigation ongoing); *Stewart v. Quest Diagnostics*, United
27 States District Court, Southern District of California (litigation ongoing); *Santiago v. Security Industry*
28

1 *Specialists*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego (litigation ongoing); and *Walker v. Healthsource*,
2 Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara (litigation ongoing)..

3 24. In addition, I have served as class and/or representative counsel in the following cases:
4 *Abella v. GHC of SAC-SNF LLC, et al.*, Superior Court for the County of Sacramento; *Artibee v. Corelogic*
5 *Credco*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego; *Carson v. Downtown San Diego Partnership*,
6 Superior Court for the County of San Diego; *Cooper v. Thomas Cuisine Management*, Superior Court for
7 the County of Fresno; *Dew v. Minegar Contracting, et al.*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego;
8 *Edwards v. Absolute Senior Solutions*, Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara; *Figueroa v. Indigo*
9 *Sky*, Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles; *Fernandez v. Prime Healthcare Services, Inc.*,
10 Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles; *Gray v. Armstrong*, Superior Court for the County of
11 Alameda; *Gnaedig v. Favorite Healthcare Staffing, et al.*, Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles;
12 *Headley, et al. v. Professional Maintenance Systems*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego; *Lee v.*
13 *Sutter Health Foundation*, Superior Court for the County of Alameda; *Moreno v. Providence Group, Inc.*,
14 Superior Court for the County of San Diego; *Muniz v. Sutter Valley Hospitals*, Superior Court for the
15 County of Sacramento; *McGough v. Love's Travel Stop & Country Stores, Inc.*, Superior Court for the
16 County of Kern; *Rodriguez v. Camden Security*, Superior Court for the County of Alameda; *Rodriguez v.*
17 *Atrium Hospitality*, Superior Court of Los Angeles; *Sanabia v. GP Development*, Superior Court for the
18 County of Sacramento; *Saruca v. Palacek Imports, Inc.*, Superior Court for the County of Contra Costa;
19 *Trento v. US Security Associates*, Superior Court for the County of Alameda; *Taylor v. Penske Logistics*,
20 United States District Court for the Central District; *Taylor v. Penske Logistics*, Superior Court for the
21 County of San Bernardino; *Twombly v. Del Frisco's*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego;
22 *Valentine v. Santa Monica Rehabilitation Center, et al.*, Superior Court for the County of San Diego; and
23 *Valentine v. Santa Monica Rehabilitation Center, et al.*, United States District Court for the Central
24 District.

25 25. Mr. Kuner was admitted to the California State Bar in December of 2018, and began
26 practicing employment law in November of 2019. Since that time, Mr. Kuner has represented employees
27 in numerous wage and hour class action cases, PAGA cases, and individual actions throughout the state
28 of California.

26. My colleagues and I have considerable experience handling complex wage and hour class action cases with claims similar to those asserted in this action and have successfully represented tens of thousands of employees in state and federal court cases throughout California.

CLASS COUNSEL'S FEES AND COSTS ARE REASONABLE

27. Counsel for Vazquez and Bowens will collectively request an attorneys' fee award in the amount of 35% of the Total Settlement Amount (i.e., \$525,000.00 if the Total Settlement Amount of \$1,500,000.00 is approved), and reimbursement of actual costs and expenses incurred in an amount not to exceed \$30,000.00. Counsel for Vazquez and Bowens have entered into a written agreement to divide any award of fees and costs. The plaintiffs in each respective action have provided their written consent to the fee-sharing agreement.

28. I believe that the fees and costs are warranted and reasonable because of the substantial work and effort incurred to achieve the Settlement on behalf of the Class Members and the real and significant monetary relief obtained. This work includes preparing and filing a pre-litigation letter with the LWDA; preparing and filing the initial Complaint and First Amended Complaint; propounding written discovery on both the Trestles and Kalesta entities and engaging in substantial meet and confer efforts; exchanging and reviewing substantial documents and information in preparation for mediation; reviewing formal document production in response to written interrogatories and requests for production; reviewing and analyzing the relation between the two cases and the named entities; assisting with drafting the Settlement Agreement; meeting and conferring with co-counsel regarding settlement; meeting and conferring with defense counsel; analyzing policy documents and other relevant payroll documents and information; reviewing and monitoring related litigation; and researching relevant case law, among other things. My office has also advanced all expenses related to the Bowens action.

29. As of the date of this Motion, counsel for Plaintiff Bowens has billed approximately \$229,000 in fees and incurred over \$16,000 in litigation costs. At final approval, the fee request will be supported by an explanation of the qualifications of each timekeeper at my firm and his or her corresponding hourly rate, with the amount of time worked by each timekeeper. Similarly, the costs request will be supported at the time of final approval with an explanation of the amount and nature of the costs incurred.

ENHANCEMENT AWARDS TO CLASS REPRESENTATIVES

30. The Settlement Agreement provides for a Class Representative Enhancement Award to Plaintiff Bowens of up to \$10,000. The Enhancement Award is in recognition of the time and effort Plaintiff Bowens has put into the case as well as to compensate her for the risks incurred in bringing this action. Plaintiff Bowens has also agreed to a general release of claims in exchange for the Enhancement Award.

31. Plaintiff Bowens initiated the action by seeking legal assistance from my office. She assisted with the preparation of the LWDA letter, initial Complaint, First Amended Complaint, drafting of discovery questions, and counsel's preparation for mediation before the mediation was ultimately cancelled. She also regularly communicated with my office, identified potential witnesses, and provided documents and information relevant to the claims at issue. She has worked closely with my office to protect the best interests of the class members and aggrieved employees she sought to represent.

32. Plaintiff Bowens' participation was an essential element that allowed Class Counsel to reach this Settlement. Plaintiff Bowens' participation in this case is further detailed in the Declaration of Plaintiff Dorothea Bowens in Support of Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement, filed concurrently herewith.

33. Plaintiff Bowens has also agreed to a general release of her claims, which is an expansive release that was not required of other Class Members, who will only execute a limited release of claims.

34. I am not aware of any conflicts of interest between Plaintiff Bowens and the Class Members.

35. I believe that the requested Enhancement Award in the amount of \$10,000 to Plaintiff Bowens, as well as \$10,000 to Plaintiff Vazquez (for a combined total of \$20,000), is fair and reasonable.

36. It is my opinion that this Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interest of the Class. The Settlement is a good result by any measure, particularly considering Defendant's formidable arguments against liability and damages. In light of these issues, and the fact that the settlement was the product of protracted, contentious, and arm's-length negotiations between highly experienced counsel with the assistance of a well-respected neutral third-party mediator, I believe that the settlement should be preliminarily approved.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States
2 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 18th day of August, 2025, at San Diego, California


3
4 
5 Hali M. Anderson
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1

Andrea Gorrino

From: no-reply@formassembly.com
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2025 12:27 PM
To: Andrea Gorrino
Subject: Thank you for your Proposed Settlement Submission

08/18/2025 12:27:10 PM

Thank you for your submission to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency.

Item submitted: Proposed Settlement

On 08/18/2025 12:27:10 PM your Proposed Settlement was successfully processed for case number LWDA-CM-954048-23

If you have questions or concerns regarding this submission or your case, please send an email to pagainfo@dir.ca.gov.

DIR PAGA Unit on behalf of
Labor and Workforce Development Agency

Website:

https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Flabor.ca.gov%2FPrivate_Attorneys_General_Act.htm&data=05%7C02%7Cagorrino%40grahamhollis.com%7Cbf43307c2053478582ac08ddde8d46bc%7C07209b308c1c476c8b57a7f516f928a5%7C0%7C0%7C638911420551973479%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJFbXB0eU1hcGkiOnRydWUsIlYiOiIwLjAuMDAwMCIsIlAiOiJXaW4zMmIsIkFOIjoiTWFpbCIsIldUIjoyfQ%3D%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=EVvJRNfXAaK3ICzhtwZ9F5u1M9CixJw02ARPAQI3mqM%3D&reserved=0

EXHIBIT 2

Appointed as Class Counsel¹ for the following wage and hour employment law cases:

United States District Court - Central District:

- *Corral v. Lifecare Solutions*, settled for \$3 million on behalf of approximately 270 class members, and Judge Olguin awarded 30% in fees.
- *Corral v. Staples the Office Super Store, LLC.*, settled for \$800,000.00 on behalf of approximately 2,289 class members, and Judge Scarsi awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Ellis v. Pacific Bell Telephone Co.*, settled for \$3.1 million on behalf of approximately 84 class members, and Judge Carney awarded 33.3% in fees.
- *Green v. Lawrence Service Co.*, settled for \$60,000.00 on behalf of approximately 295 class members, and Judge Kronstadt awarded \$141,659.07 in fees.
- *Madera v. Universal Alloy Corporation*, settled for \$4.75 million on behalf of approximately 744 class members, and Judge Selna awarded 25% in fees.
- *Potter v. Big Tex Trailer Manufacturing, Inc.*, settled for \$972,391.68 on behalf of approximately 104 class members, and Judge Gutierrez awarded 33% in fees.
- *Rodriguez v. Old Dominion Freight Line, Inc.*, settled for \$3.4 million on behalf of approximately 76 class members, and Judge Fischer awarded 27.5% in fees.
- *Valentine v. Rehabilitation Center of Santa Monica Holding Co.*, settled for \$950,000.00 on behalf of approximately 4,560 class members, and Judge Kronstadt awarded 25% in fees.
- *Weaver v. Hallmark Marketing Corp.* settled for \$5.625 million on behalf of approximately 3,846 class members, and Judge Fairbanks awarded 33% in fees.

United States District Court – Eastern District:

- *Milburn v. Petsmart, Inc.*, settled for \$1.35 million on behalf of approximately 2,984 class members, and Judge Drozd awarded 33% in fees.
- *Osegueda v. Northern California Inalliance*, settled for \$225,000.00 on behalf of approximately 271 class members, and Judge Shubb awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Stoddart v. Express Services, Inc.*, settled for \$10 million on behalf of approximately 145,548 class members, and Judge Mueller awarded 25% in fees.

¹ List includes cases filed by Graham Hollis, A.P.C., as well as its predecessor entities. It also includes cases where other law firms were appointed as co-class counsel.

United States District Court – Northern District:

- *Aston v. United Parcel Services, Inc.*, settled for \$490,000.00 on behalf of approximately 2,000 class members, and Judge White awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Delatorre v. Johnson Controls, Inc.*, settled for \$2.8 million on behalf of approximately 1,441 class members, and Judge Grewal awarded 25% in fees.
- *Fong v. Regis Corporation*, settled for \$5.75 million on behalf of approximately 5,573 class members, and Judge Chhabria awarded 33% in fees.
- *Jimenez v. Menzies Aviation*, settled for \$1.25 million on behalf of 5,175 class members, and Judge Orrick awarded 33% in fees.
- *Moore v. Petsmart, Inc.*, settled for \$10 million on behalf of approximately 19,701 class members, and Judge Davila awarded 27% in fees.

United States District Court – Southern District:

- *Birch v. Office Depot, Inc.*, settled for \$14 million on behalf of approximately 16,722 class members, and Judge Sabraw awarded 40% in fees.
- *Ceron v. Flagship Facility Services, Inc.*, settled for \$2 million on behalf of approximately 6,922 class members, and Judge Sammartino awarded 30% in fees.
- *Cook v. Tiffany and Company*, settled for \$4,148,270.00 on behalf of approximately 1,584 class members, and Judge Lorenz awarded 24.8% in fees.
- *Ferguson v. Winston Retail Solutions*, settled for \$750,000.00 on behalf of approximately 660 class members, and Judge Battaglia awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Lopez v. GAT Airline Ground Support, Inc.*, settled for \$600,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1,394 class members, and Judge Battaglia awarded 25% in fees.
- *Pool v. Ameripark, LLC*, settled for \$1.75 million on behalf of approximately 1,024 class members, and Judge Burns awarded 25% in fees.
- *Singer v. Becton Dickinson and Co.*, settled for \$1 million on behalf of approximately 266 class members, and Judge Gonzalez awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Alameda:

- *Coombes, et al. v. HR Support*, settled for \$525,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1,190 class members, and Judge Markman awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Gray v. Moz Designs, Inc.*, settled for \$124,000.00 on behalf of approximately 33 aggrieved employees, and Judge Lee awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Hobdy v. Landmark Event Staffing Services, Inc.*, settled for \$245,100.00 on behalf of approximately 3,671 class members, and Judge Hernandez, Jr., awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Lee v. Sutter Bay Medical Foundation*, settled for \$995,000.00 on behalf of approximately 5,403 class members, and Judge Petrou awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Pena v. American National Insulation, LLC.*, settled for \$883,189.09 on behalf of approximately 711 aggrieved employees, and Judge Brand awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Roberson v. Center for the Elders' Independence*, settled for \$1.15 million on behalf of approximately 527 class members, and Judge Smith awarded 30% in fees.
- *Trento v. US Security Associates*, settled for \$6.5 million on behalf of approximately 14,700 class members, and Judge Seligman awarded 27.69% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Contra Costa:

- *Stevenson v. Falcon Critical Care Transport*, settled for \$233,853.00 on behalf of approximately 46 class members, and Judge Goode awarded 29% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Fresno:

- *Drake v. Midvalley Disposal, LLC* before Judge Snauffer, settled for \$150,000.00 on behalf of approximately 315 class members, and Judge McGuire awarded 28% in fees.
- *Kenner v. Oak Harbor Freight Lines, Inc.*, settled for \$1.85 million on behalf of approximately 216 class members, and Judge Snauffer awarded 27% in fees.
- *Parmelee v. Driveline Retail Merchandising, Inc.*, settled for \$1 million on behalf of approximately 1,261 class members, and Judge Kapetan awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Kern:

- *McGough v. Love's Travel Stops & Country Stores, Inc.*, settled for \$3 million on behalf of approximately 1,638 class members, and Judge Schuett awarded 33.33% in fees.

- *Muniz v. Norman Etchison, Inc.* settled for \$350,000 on behalf of 118 employees, Judge Barmann awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles:

- *Agredano v. Southwest Water Company*, settled for \$1.22 million on behalf of approximately 125 class members, and Judge Nelson awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Arong v. Integra Beauty, Inc.*, settled for \$400,000.00 on behalf of approximately 231 class members, and Judge Kuhl awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Calhoun v. General Petroleum Corp.*, settled for \$950,000.00 on behalf of approximately 300 class members, and Judge Dunn awarded 40% in fees.
- *Cartwright v. Envoy Air, Inc.*, settled for \$2.5 million on behalf of approximately 2,354 class members, and Judge Highberger awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Escalante v. Urth Payroll Services, Inc.*, settled for \$625,000.00 on behalf of approximately 2,115 aggrieved employees, and Judge Traber awarded 40% in fees.
- *Fernandez v. Prime Healthcare Services, Inc.*, settled for \$145,000.00 on behalf of approximately 18 aggrieved employees, and Judge Keeny awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Frugard v. Unified Protective Services, Inc.*, settled for \$1,000,000 on behalf of 244 class members, and Judge Riff awarded 40% in fees.
- *Garcia v. Menzies Aviation, Inc.*, settled for \$1 million on behalf of approximately 1,800 class members, and Judge Rosenblatt awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Gordon v. Accountable Healthcare Staffing, Inc.*, settled for \$900,000.00 on behalf of approximately 560 class members, and Judge Buckley awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Hendrix v. Lucky Seven Dragons, Inc.*, settled for \$225,000.00 on behalf of approximately 336 class members, and Judge Riff awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Iskandaryan v. Casual Male Retail Group, Inc.*, settled for \$950,000.00 on behalf of approximately 716 class members, and Judge Stern awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Jackson v. Sourcecorp BPS Inc.*, settled for \$1.875 million on behalf of approximately 481 class members, and Judge Nelson awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Kirkpatrick v. Petco Animal Supplies Stores, Inc.*, settled for \$9.75 million on behalf of approximately 15,962 class members, and Judge Highberger awarded 33.33% in fees.

- *Lopez v Infosys Limited*, settled for \$2.72 million on behalf of approximately 4,444 class members, and Judge Bowick awarded 33.33 in fees.
- *Lucarini v. Dresser, Inc.*, settled for \$1.024 million on behalf of approximately 78 class members, and Judge Mohr awarded 39.55% in fees.
- *Magana-Mead v. LKQ Corporation*, was settled for \$1.15 million on behalf of 303 class members, and Judge Nelson awarded 33% in fees.
- *Mahoney v AT&T Corp.*, settled for \$13,894,098.62 on behalf of approximately 719 class members, and Judge Elias awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Quinones v. Atria Management Company, LLC*, settled for \$1 million on behalf of approximately 15,027 class members, and Judge Buckley awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Ralda v. Smart & Final Stores, LLC*, settled for \$2 million on behalf of approximately 3,104 class members, and Judge Kuhl awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Rodriguez v. Atrium Hospitality LP*, settled for \$1,170,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1,467 class members, and Judge Seigle awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Solaberrieta v. Baker Hughes Oilfield Operations*, settled for \$3.5 million on behalf of approximately 295 class members, and Judge Wiley awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Taylor v. Cross Country Support Services*, settled for \$582,000.00 on behalf of 581 class members, and Judge Nelson awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Valentine v. Rehabilitation Center of Santa Monica*, settled for \$2.050 million on behalf of 7,072 class members, and Judge Palazuelos awarded 33% in fees.
- *Valles v. Anthony, Inc.*, settled for \$2.858 million on behalf of 658 class members, and Judge Sadvig awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Walker v. Favorite Healthcare Staffing, Inc.*, settled for \$3.65 million on behalf of approximately 7,290 class members, and Judge Iwasaki awarded 33% in fees.
- *Whittington v. Eureka Restaurant Group, LLC*, settled for \$200,000.00 on behalf of 3,883 class members, and Judge Hill awarded 33% in fees.
- *Williams v. One Legacy*, settled for \$1.2 million on behalf of approximately 145 class members, and Judge Johnson awarded 33% in fees.
- *Wright v. AMF Bowling Centers, Inc.*, settled for \$6.35 million on behalf of approximately 4,914 class members, and Judge Duffy-Lewis awarded 28% in fees.

- *Wright v. Menzies Aviation, Inc.*, was certified as a class action by Judge Weintrob and was consolidated with *Jimenez v. Menzies Aviation, Inc.* for settlement purposes.

Superior Court for the County of Orange:

- *Bernardo v. Firstelement Fuel, Inc.*, settled for \$751,000.00 on behalf of approximately 139 aggrieved employees, and Judge Claster awarded 30% in fees.
- *Chima v. Beckman Coulter, Inc.*, settled for \$225,000.00 on behalf of approximately 36 class members, and Judge Wilson awarded 35% in fees.
- *ClubCorp Wage and Hour Cases*, settled for \$6 million on behalf of approximately 3,554 class members, and Judge Claster awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Collins v. The Stephouse Recovery, Inc.*, settled for \$1.2 million on behalf of approximately 150 class members, and Judge Claster awarded 35% in fees.
- *Duarte v. Rainbow Disposal Co., Inc.*, settled for \$1.6 million on behalf of approximately 110 class members, and Judge Andler awarded 40% in fees.
- *Flannery v. AGR Group California*, settled for \$1,324,937.00 on behalf of approximately 5,182 class members, and Judge Andler awarded 33% in fees.
- *Jenkins v. The Mentor Network, Inc.*, settled for \$1.625 million on behalf of approximately 1,050 class members, and Judge Perkins awarded 33% in fees.
- *Payton v. Atlantic Aviation Investors, Inc.*, settled for \$1 million on behalf of approximately 162 class members, and Judge Stock awarded 40% in fees.
- *Parnell v. Hart-Hanks Shoppers, Inc.*, settled for \$600,000.00 on behalf of approximately 169 class members, and Judge Andler awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Sheehan v. Applied Medical Products*, settled for \$2.75 million on behalf of approximately 2,012 class members, and Judge Claster awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Valenzuela v. Enver Solar, Inc.*, settled for \$575,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1,440 class members, and Judge Sanders awarded 35% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Riverside:

- *Becerra v. Moncler USA Retail, LLC.*, settled for \$447,744.15 on behalf of approximately 169 class members, and Judge Riemer awarded 33% in fees.

- *Digiacombo v. Castelli's Ristorante*, settled for \$225,000.00 on behalf of approximately 35 class members, and Judge Waters awarded 33% in fees.
- *Leonard v. ABTTC, Inc.*, settled for \$2.5 million on behalf of approximately 336 class members, and Judge Riemer awarded 33% in fees.
- *Loudon v. DHSE, Inc.*, (Representative Action), settled for \$650,000.00 on behalf of approximately 860 aggrieved employees, and Judge Waters awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Steepe v. Responsible Medical Solutions Corp.*, settled for \$629,147.61 on behalf of approximately 273 class members, and Judge Riemer awarded 30.6% in fees.
- *Vega v. Fondomonte California, LLC*, settled for \$775,000.00 on behalf of approximately 242 class members, and Judge Hopp awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Vest v. Scher Tire, Inc.*, settled for \$3,363,396.00 on behalf of approximately 795 class members, and Judge Waters awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Sacramento:

- *Abella v. GHC OF SAC-SNF LLC*, settled for \$1.35 million on behalf of approximately 5,484 class members, and Judge Mesiwala awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Johnson v. PMC Southwest, LLC*, settled for \$2.25 million on behalf of approximately 377 class members, and Judge Krueger awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Mendez v. SSP America SMF, LLC*, settled for \$650,000.00 on behalf of 173 class members, and Judge Damrell awarded 35% in fees.
- *Muniz/Wine v. Sutter Valley Hospitals*, settled for \$14,250,000.00 on behalf of approximately 25,995 class members, and Judge Damrell awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Orosz v. Briarwood Post Acute, LLC*, settled for \$130,000.00 on behalf of 150 class members, and Judge Damrell awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino:

- *Alvarez v. Core States, Inc.*, settled for \$530,000.00 on behalf of approximately 45 class members, and Judge Garza awarded 40% in fees.
- *Booher v. The Poma Companies*, settled for \$650,000.00 on behalf of approximately 75 class members, and Judge Plotkin awarded 44% in fees.

- *Bracy v. Speedy Cash*, settled for \$237,320.00 on behalf of approximately 222 class members, and Judge Kayashima awarded 22.69% in fees.
- *Christensen v. Heartland Express Inc. of Iowa*, settled for \$10.25 million on behalf of approximately 1,717 class members and 984 members of a FLSA collective, and Judge Cohn awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Jeffries v. Praxair Services, Inc.*, settled for \$373,000.00 on behalf of approximately 23 class members, and Judge Garza awarded 35% in fees.
- *Malone v. Praxair, Inc.*, settled for \$900,000.00 on behalf of approximately 57 class members, and Judge Davis awarded 40% in fees.
- *McCray v. Wireless World, LLC et al.*, settled for \$1million on behalf of approximately 1,300 class members, and Judge Pacheco awarded 40% in fees.
- *Sala v. Personnel Staffing*, settled for \$40,000.00 on behalf of approximately 47 class members, and Judge Cohn awarded 40% in fees.
- *Sala v. Radial South, L.P.*, settled for \$350,000.00 on behalf of 251 class members, and Judge McCarville awarded 40% in fees.
- *Salazar v. PODS Enterprises, LLC.*, settled for \$1,360,632.00 on behalf of approximately 654 class members, and Judge Ortiz awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Scaglione v. M.O. Dion & Sons, Inc.*, settled for \$585,000.00 on behalf of approximately 123 class members, and Judge Garza awarded 30% in fees.
- *Taylor v. Penske Logistics LLC.*, settled for \$1,455,000.00 on behalf of approximately 5,791 class members, and Judge David Cohn awarded 33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of San Diego:

- *Andrew v. Coronado Brewing Company, INC.*, settled for \$650,000.00 on behalf of approximately 635 class members, and Judge Freestone awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Arredondo Jr. v. Pinkerton Consulting & Investigations Inc.*, settled for \$1.35 million on behalf of 238 class members, and Judge Pollack awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Arriaga v. International Coffee & Tea*, settled for \$1.25 million on behalf of 479 class members, and Judge Bacal awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Artibee v. Corelogic Credoco, LLC*, settled for \$694,180.00 on behalf of approximately 241 class members, and Judge Bacal awarded 33.33% in fees.

- *Baker v. Select Comfort Retail Corp.*, settled for \$1.2 million on behalf of approximately 426 class members, and Judge Wohlfeil awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Barron v Green Farms, Inc.*, settled for \$650,000.00 on behalf of approximately 154 class members, and Judge Pollak awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Basile v. Aaron Brothers, Inc.*, settled for \$750,000.00 on behalf of approximately 2,000 and Judge Katz awarded 32.44% in fees.
- *Bergman v. BJS&T Enterprises, Inc.*, settled for \$200,000.00 on behalf of 99 class members, and Judge Frazier awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Berry v. Sizzling Platter, LLC*, settled for \$950,000.00 on behalf of approximately 2,654 class members, and Judge Frazier awarded 33% in fees.
- *Broadnax v. Amazing Courier Express Services, LLC.*, settled for \$1.15 million on behalf of approximately 639 class members, and Judge Mangione awarded 33% in fees.
- *Carson v. Downtown San Diego Partnership Clean and Safe*, settled for \$200,000.00 on behalf of 99 aggrieved employees, and Judge Bacal awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Chavez v. Real Time Staffing Services, Inc.*, settled for \$1.9 million on behalf of approximately 3,120 class members, and Judge Medel awarded 35% in fees.
- *Clancy v. Scripps Health*, settled for \$6.4 million on behalf of approximately 124 class members, and Judge Meyer awarded 33% in fees.
- *Costa v. Altman Specialty Plants, Inc.*, settled for \$2.4 million on behalf of approximately 4,414 class members, and Judge Hayes awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Dadafshar v. KT Hotels, LLC, dba Pendry Hotel*, settled for \$515,000.00 on behalf of 892 class members, and Judge Pollack awarded 33.3% in fees.
- *De La Rosa v. Quten Research Institute, LLC*, settled for \$450,000.00 on behalf of approximately 402 class members, and Judge Meyer awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Demers v. Hotcakes No. 3, Inc.*, settled for \$150,000.00 on behalf of approximately 300 class members, and Judge Nevitt awarded 33% in fees.
- *Dew v. Minegar Contracting, Inc.*, settled for \$525,150.00 on behalf of approximately 270 class members, and the Judge Sturgeon awarded 35% in fees.
- *Downey v. Sears Roebuck and Co. (Representative Action)*, settled for \$875,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1,200 aggrieved employees, and Judge Sturgeon awarded 33.33% in fees.

- *Escoto-Miranda v. Evans Tire & Service Centers, Inc.*, settled for \$800,000.00 on behalf of approximately 450 class members, and Judge Strauss awarded 33% in fees.
- *Falcon v. Flight Suits, Inc.*, settled for \$450,000.00 on behalf of approximately 101 class members, and Judge Denton awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Fay v Cement Cutting, Inc.*, settled for \$720,000.00 on behalf of approximately 376 class members, and Judge Bacal awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Fennessey v. Round Table Pizza, Inc.*, settled for \$2.125 million on behalf of approximately 16,333 class members, and Judge Lewis awarded 28% in fees.
- *Frayre v. United Parcel Services Supply Chain Services, Inc.*, settled for \$494,000.00 on behalf of approximately 55 class members, and Judge Sturgeon awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Garcia v. Task Ventures, LLC*, settled for \$822,856.50 on behalf of approximately 2,700 class members, and Judge Wohlfeil awarded 40% in fees.
- *Geilenfeldt v. EDF Renewable Energy, Inc.*, settled for \$2.5 million on behalf of approximately 144 class members, and Judge Sturgeon awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Gonzalez v. Coronado Yacht Club*, settled for \$330,000.00 on behalf of approximately 193 class members, and Judge Mangione awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Headley v. Professional Maintenance Systems, Inc.*, settled for \$3.15 million on behalf of approximately 3,851 class members, and Judge Frazier awarded 30% in fees.
- *Huerta v. Venture Petroleum Company, Inc.*, settled for \$300,000.00 on behalf of approximately 513 class members, and Judge Wohlfeil awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Irmen v. Raphael's Party Rentals*, settled for \$1 million on behalf of approximately 845 class members, and Judge Lewis awarded 40% in fees.
- *Johnson v. The SoCo Group, Inc.*, settled for \$895,000.00 on behalf of approximately 218 class members, and Judge Lewis awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Julio v. L&M Tire Company, Inc.*, settled for \$1.85 million on behalf of approximately 1,087 class members, and Judge Barton awarded 33% in fees.
- *Koleff v. Starside Security and Investigation, Inc.*, settled for \$525,000.00 on behalf of 307 class members, and Judge Mangione awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Lopez v. Struc Steel, Inc.*, settled for \$55,000.00 on behalf of approximately 30 aggrieved employees, and Judge Joel R. Wohlfeil awarded 35% in fees.

- *Loveless v ASM Affiliates*, settled for \$750,000.00 on behalf of approximately 276 class members, and Judge Styn awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Maasrani v. International Hotel Associates No. 11 LLC*, settled for \$540,000.00 on behalf of approximately 140 class members, and Judge Sturgeon awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Madriz v. North County Ford, Inc.*, settled for \$188,000.00 on behalf of approximately 45 class members, and Judge Wohlfeil awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Malveda v. Providien LLC*, settled for \$5,500,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1099 class members, and Judge McLaughlin awarded 35% in fees.
- *Mandell v. Diversified Communications Services, Inc.* (Representative Action), settled for \$50,000.00 on behalf of approximately 55 aggrieved employees, and Judge Frazier approved 33.33% in fees.
- *Martinez v. San Diego Auto Scrubber, Inc.*, settled for \$500,000.00 on behalf of approximately 148 class members, and Judge Hayes awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Morales v. Sony Electronics, Inc.*, settled for \$450,100.00 on behalf of approximately 4,742 class members, and Judge Styn awarded 65.82% in fees.
- *Moreno v. Providence Group, Inc.*, settled for \$775,000.00 on behalf of approximately 486 class members, and Judge Frazier awarded 30% in fees.
- *Nathan v. OS Restaurant Services, LLC*, settled for \$450,000.00 on behalf of approximately 748 class members and aggrieved employees, and Judge Keri Kats awarded 33% in fees.
- *Parvizi v. Classic Residence Management, LP*, settled for \$1 million on behalf of approximately 1,409 class members, and Judge Wohlfeil awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Pham v. George's at the Cove, Inc. dba George's at the Cove #4032*, settled for \$340,000.00 on behalf of approximately 519 class members, and Judge Bacal awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Pina v. Paradise Wings Enterprise*, settled for \$150,000.00 on behalf of approximately 248 class members, and Judge Taylor awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Robles v. Jetto Holdings, LLC.*, settled for \$4,100,000.00 on behalf of approximately 6,183 class members, and Judge Sturgeon awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Sanchez v. Evans Tire and Service Centers, Inc.*, settled for \$750,000.00 on behalf of approximately 401 class members, and Judge Sturgeon awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Serrano v KSL Corona, L.P.*, settled \$325,000.00 on behalf of approximately 261 aggrieved employees, and Judge Meyer awarded 33.33% in fees.

- *Solis v. Check Agencies of California, Inc.*, settled for \$475,000.00 on behalf of approximately 559 class members, and Judge Taylor awarded 35% in fees.
- *Spriggs v. Ortiz Corporation*, settled for \$300,000.00 on behalf of approximately 198 class members, and Judge Katz awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Thompson v. LawInfo.com, Inc.*, settled for \$150,000.00 on behalf of approximately 115 class members, and Judge Enright awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Twombly v. Del Frisco's*, settled for \$950,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1,800 aggrieved employees, and Judge Sturgeon awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Uriostegui v. Pacific Drive-Ins, LLC.*, settled for \$590,000.00 on behalf of approximately 2,645 class members, and Judge Caietti awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Vega v. Scoobeez*, settled for \$2,512,390.91 on behalf of approximately 5,271 class members, and Judge Whitney awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Well v. Hooters of America, Inc.*, settled for \$1.35 million on behalf of approximately 2,300 class members, and Judge Wohlfeil awarded 35% in fees.
- *Zamorano v. Piperin Corporation*, settled for \$121,887.00 on behalf of approximately 51 class members, and Judge Pollack awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of San Francisco:

- *Casas v. Pacific Bell Telephone Co.*, settled for \$6 million on behalf of approximately 464 class members, and Judge Goldsmith awarded 23% in fees.
- *Reilly v. California Institute of Integral Studies* settled for \$210,000 on behalf of 54 employees, and Judge Schulman awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Simpkins v. GPS Consumer Direct, Inc.*, settled for \$1.6 million on behalf of approximately 3,295 class members, and Judge Cheng awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of San Joaquin:

- *Tunchez/Cooper v. Thomas Cuisine Management, LLC.*, settled for \$3,350,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1,783 class members, and Judge Lee awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of San Luis Obispo:

- *Hernandez v. All Ways Clean* (Representative Action), settled for \$205,000.00 on behalf of approximately 642 aggrieved employees, and Judge Coates granted 35% in fees.

- *Martinez v. Zoo Med Laboratories*, settled for \$600,000.00 on behalf of approximately 330 aggrieved employees, and Judge Coates awarded 33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Santa Barbara:

- *Ramirez v Baker Hughes Oilfield Operations (Baker Hughes Wage and Hour Cases)*, settled for \$2.75 million on behalf of 165 class members, and Judge Anderle awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara:

- *Adami v. Prime Now LLC.*, settled for \$4.3 million on behalf of 44,172 class members, and Judge Zayner awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Allison v. Super Zen, Inc.*, settled for \$235,300.00 on behalf of approximately 26 class members, and Judge Lucas awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Edwards v. Absolute Senior Solutions, et al.*, settled for \$244,000.00 on behalf of approximately 134 aggrieved employees, and Judge Zayner awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Hefner v. Solary, LLC.*, settled for \$750,000.00 on behalf of approximately 67 class members, and Judge Kulkarni awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Ledbetter v. Entrepreneurial Ventures, Inc.*, settled for \$1.8 million on behalf of approximately 754 class members, and Judge Overton awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Letuligasenoa v. International Paper Company*, settled for \$4.5 million on behalf of approximately 3,786 class members, and Judge Errand awarded 33.33% in fees with a 1.47 multiplier.
- *Suarez v. First Alarm Security & Patrol, Inc.*, settled for \$7.25 million on behalf of approximately 3,786 class members, and Judge Walsh awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Subia v. National Securities Industries, Inc.*, settled for \$275,000.00 on behalf of approximately 187 class members, and Judge Lucas awarded 30% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Solano:

- *Apodaca v. Randstad US, LLC.*, settled for \$275,000.00 on behalf of approximately 675 aggrieved employees, and Judge Gizzi awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *O'Neal v. Old Navy, LLC.*, settled for \$2.5 million on behalf of approximately 22,652 class members, and Judge Jones awarded 25% in fees.

- *Roberson v. Ghiringhelli Specialty Foods*, settled for \$375,000.00 on behalf of approximately 1,324 aggrieved employees, and Judge Getty awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Saechao v. Pla-Fit Franchise d.b.a. Planet Fitness*, settled for \$325,000.00 on behalf of approximately 149 class members, and Judge Mattice awarded 25% in fees.
- *Stafford v. Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.*, settled for \$4.6 million on behalf of approximately 7,737 class members, and Judge Beeman awarded 33.33% in fees.
- *Stoddart v. Heavy Metal Iron, Inc.*, settled for \$150,000.00 on behalf of approximately 17 aggrieved employees, and the Judge Carringer awarded 25% in fees.
- *Turnage v. Park Management Corporation*, settled for \$400,000.00 on behalf of approximately 214 class members, and Judge Daniels awarded 33.33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Tulare:

- *Lopez v. Ruiz Food Products, Inc.*, settled for \$547,500.00 on behalf of approximately 2,233 class members, and Judge Hillman awarded 33% in fees.

Superior Court for the County of Ventura:

- *Jackson v. Vulcan Materials Co.*, settled for \$800,000.00 on behalf of approximately 103 class members, and Judge Bysshe awarded 37.5% in fees.
- *Laux v. Van Nuys Skyways, Inc.*, settled for \$800,000.00 on behalf of approximately 70 class members, and Judge Riley awarded 35% in fees.