

**BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK
DE BLOUW LLP**

Norman B. Blumenthal (SBN #068687)

Kyle R. Nordrehaug (SBN #205975)

Aparajit Bhowmik (SBN #248066)

Nicholas J. De Blouw (SBN #280922)

Sergio J. Puche (SBN# 289437)

2255 Calle Clara

La Jolla, CA 92037

Telephone: (858) 551-1223

Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

THOMAS MAHIER, an individual, and on
behalf of the State of California, as a
private attorney general,

Plaintiff,

v.

THERMO ELECTRON NORTH
AMERICA LLC., a Limited Liability
Company; and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 23CV425825

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Hearing Date: May 14, 2025

Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

Judge: Hon. Theodore C. Zayner

Dept.: 19

Complaint Filed: November 15, 2023

Trial Date: Not Set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT on May 22, 2025, the Court entered the Order and
3 Judgment in the above-entitled action. A true and correct copy of the Order and Judgment is
4 attached hereto as Exhibit #1.

5 Respectfully submitted,

6
7
8 Dated: May 27, 2025

**BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK
DE BLOUW LLP**

9 By: /s/ Kyle Nordrehaug
10 Kyle R. Nordrehaug

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

2 I, Kyle Nordrehaug, am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California.
3 I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a
4 party to the within action. My business address is 2255 Calle Clara, La Jolla, California 92037. On
5 May 27, 2025, I served the document(s) described as:

6 1. **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT**

7 X **(BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE):** I provided the documents referenced above electronically
8 via electronic mail (e-mail) to counsel for Defendant at the following e-mail address(es):

9 Robert S. Blumberg, Esq.
Email: rblumberg@littler.com
Ashley Brick, Esq.
10 Email: ABrick@littler.com
Alexandra Bernstein, Esq.
11 Email: ABernstein@littler.com
Alan Emurian, Esq.
12 Email : aemurian@littler.com
Nora Palencia, Administrative Assistant
13 Email : npalencia@littler.com
Annette Ryan, Administrative Assistant
14 Email : ARyan@littler.com
Laura Aispuro, Administrative Assistant
15 Email : laispuro@littler.com

16 **LITTLER MENDELSON P.C.**
2049 Century Park East, 5th Floor
17 Los Angeles, California 90067

18 Attorneys for Defendant
19 THERMO ELECTRON NORTH AMERICA LLC

20 X **(ONLINE TO THE LWDA):** I caused the above-described document to be delivered to the
21 Labor Workforce Development Agency via online process at the PAGA Filing website in accordance
22 with the procedure imposed by the LWDA.

23 X **(State):** I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
24 above is true and correct.

25 Executed on May 27, 2025, at San Diego, California.


26 
27 Kyle Nordrehaug
28

EXHIBIT #1

**BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK
DE BLOUW LLP**

Norman B. Blumenthal (SBN #068687)
Kyle R. Nordrehaug (SBN #205975)
Aparajit Bhowmik (SBN #248066)
Nicholas J. De Blouw (SBN #280922)
Sergio J. Puche (SBN# 289437)
2255 Calle Clara
La Jolla, CA 92037
Telephone: (858) 551-1223
Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

THOMAS MAHIER, an individual, and on
behalf of the State of California, as a
private attorney general,

Plaintiff,

v.

THERMO ELECTRON NORTH
AMERICA LLC., a Limited Liability
Company; and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Case No.: **23CV425825**

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER AND JUDGMENT
APPROVING PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Hearing Date: May 14, 2025
Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

Judge: Hon. Theodore C. Zayner
Dept.: 19

Action Filed: November 15, 2023
Trial Date: Not Set

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

1. Plaintiff Thomas Mahier (“Plaintiff”) moves for approval of the settlement of Plaintiff’s claims under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”), pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(1), against Defendant Thermo Electron North America LLC, (“Defendant”).

2. Plaintiff exhausted all administrative remedies required to bring the PAGA claims asserted in this action and is authorized to act as private attorney general with respect to the PAGA claims being released under the terms of the PAGA Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”), which is incorporated by reference. The California Labor Workforce Development Agency was provided with notice of the Settlement and the motion for approval of the PAGA settlement via its online process and no objection has been received to the settlement or the motion from the Labor Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”).

3. The Parties seek approval of the settlement of Plaintiff’s PAGA claims and the PAGA Payment to be paid as part of the settlement as set forth in the Agreement which was filed with the Court. The settlement provides for a Gross PAGA Settlement Amount in the total sum of \$310,000, which includes the PAGA Payment, the Administration Expenses Payment (not to exceed \$3,500) for ILYM Group, Inc., to administer the settlement, PAGA Counsel Fees Payment in the amount of \$103,333 (one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount), a Service Award in the amount of \$10,000 to Plaintiff, and PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment in the amount of \$26,652.01. The PAGA Payment, which is the amount remaining of the Gross PAGA Settlement Amount after deduction of the Administration Expenses Payment, the Service Award and PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, shall be allocated to Labor Code 2699 penalties, including other civil penalties specified in the Labor Code, of which 75% of the payment will be paid to the LWDA (no less than \$118,625.25) and 25% will be paid to the Aggrieved Employees (no less than \$39,541.75).

4. The Court, having reviewed the evidence and papers submitted and argument of counsel, pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(1)(2) hereby GRANTS the Plaintiff’s motion and

1 approves the PAGA settlement as set forth in the Agreement and the PAGA Payment, which shall be
2 allocated and paid out as set forth in the Agreement.

3 5. The “Aggrieved Employees” are defined as all individuals who are or previously were
4 employed by defendant Themo Electron North America LLC as a non-exempt employee at any time
5 during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Period is the period from September 6, 2022, to November 12,
6 2024.

7 6. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross PAGA Settlement
8 Amount, Defendant and the Released Parties (as defined in the Agreement) shall be entitled to a release
9 of the Released PAGA Claims. The “Released PAGA Claims” means all claims for PAGA Penalties
10 that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the Operative
11 Complaint, and the PAGA Notices submitted by Plaintiff to the LWDA, which occurred during the
12 PAGA Period, including claims under California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204 *et seq.*, 210, 218,
13 221, 226(a), 226.7, 227.3, 246 *et seq.*, 510, 512, 558(a)(1)(2), 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2802,
14 California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 11040, Subdivision 5(A)-(B), California Code of
15 Regulations, Title 8, Section 1 1070(14) (Failure to Provide Seating), and the applicable Wage
16 Order(s). The Released PAGA Claims expressly excludes all other claims, including Plaintiff’s
17 individual claims, claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment
18 and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers’ compensation, and
19 PAGA claims outside of the PAGA period.

20 7. This settlement (including this Order) cannot be used, directly or indirectly, to
21 introduce, use, or admit in this case or in any other judicial, arbitral, administrative, investigative or
22 other forum or proceeding, as purported evidence of any violation of any federal, state, or local law,
23 statute, ordinance, regulation, rule or executive order (i.e., evidence of a “first” or “initial” violation),
24 or any liability, obligation, or duty at law or in equity, or for any other purpose. This settlement
25 (including this Order) shall not be construed to be an admission by Defendant of any liability or
26 wrongdoing as to Plaintiff, the Aggrieved Employees, or any other person, and Defendant specifically
27 disclaims any such liability or wrongdoing.
28

1 8. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, subdivision (s), Class Counsel shall submit a
2 copy of this Order and Judgment to the LWDA within 10 days after its entry.

3 9. This claim asserted under PAGA in this action is dismissed with prejudice. After entry
4 of this Order and Judgment, the Court shall, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, retain
5 jurisdiction pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 to construe, interpret, implement,
6 and enforce the Agreement, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement
7 benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution
8 of settlement benefits.

9 10. The court sets a compliance hearing for January 14, 2026 at 2:30 p.m. in Department
10 19. At least ten court days before the hearing, Class Counsel and the Administrator shall submit a
11 compliance report.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED. LET JUDGMENT BE FORTHWITH**
13 **ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.**

14
15 DATED: May 22, 2025

16 
17 _____
18 THE HONORABLE THEODORE C. ZAYNER
19 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28