



JUSTICE FOR WORKERS

3600 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1815, LOS ANGELES, CA 90010

TEL: (323) 922-2000

FAX: (323) 922-2000

www.JusticeForWorkers.com

October 25, 2023

VIA PAGA ONLINE FILING ONLY

California Labor and Workforce Development Agency

Attn: PAGA Administrator

455 Golden Gate Avenue, 9th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94102

<https://dir.tfaforms.net/308>

**Re: *Maria Felipe Suchite v. ABM Aviation, Inc.*
Notice of Private Attorneys General Act Claim**

Dear PAGA Administrator:

This office has been retained by aggrieved employee Maria Felipe Suchite (“Plaintiff”) concerning a representative action pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”) against ABM Aviation, Inc. (including any and all affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, directors, officers, managing agents, and executive employees) (collectively referred to as “Defendants”) for violations of California wage and hour laws. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties for violations of the California Labor Code and all other remedies available under PAGA.

Plaintiff seeks these remedies on behalf of the State of California and “aggrieved employees,” as defined herein. This letter is sent in compliance with the notice requirements of California Labor Code section 2699.3.

Defendants own and operate an aircraft cabin cleaning company in the State of California. Defendants employed Plaintiff as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee from approximately August 3, 2023 to October 10, 2023 to clean aircraft cabin at the San Diego International Airport. The “aggrieved employees” that Plaintiff seeks civil penalties on behalf of are all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for any of the above-referenced entities within the State of California.

Based on the following facts and theories, Defendants violated and/or continue to violate, among other provisions of the California Labor Code and applicable wage law, California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.7, 256, 510, 512, 516, 558, 558.1, 1174, 1194,

1197, 1197.1, 1198, and 2802, and IWC Wage Orders including Wage Order 5-2001 and 9-2001 (the “Wage Orders”).

California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 provide the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage than the minimum so fixed is unlawful. The applicable Wage Order defines “hours worked” as “the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so.” See §2 of all applicable Wage Orders. Therefore, employers are required to pay employees for all time spent subject to the control of the employer and all time the employee is suffered or permitted to work. During the relevant time period, Defendants required Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees to perform work before clocking in, after clocking out, and/or during off-the-clock meal breaks, including time spent going through airport security screenings, and failed to compensate aggrieved employees for this time.

California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 require employers to pay time-and-a-half or double time overtime wages, and make it unlawful to work employees for hours longer than eight hours in one day and/or over forty hours in one week without paying the premium overtime rates at one-and-one-half times or double the regular rate of pay, including additional remuneration. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees worked in excess of 8 hours in a day and 40 hours in a week but were not paid for all hours worked before clocking in, after clocking out, and/or during off-the-clock meal breaks, including time spent going through airport security screenings. On information and belief, Defendants also miscalculated overtime hours worked when employees like Plaintiff worked overnight shifts.

California Labor Code sections 226.7, 512, 516, and the Wage Orders require employers to pay an employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each meal or rest period that is not provided. During the relevant time period, Defendants required Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees to work during meal and rest periods and failed to compensate them properly and at the regular rate of compensation for non-compliant meal and rest periods including, *inter alia*, short, late, interrupted, and missed meal and rest periods.

California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code sections 201 and 202, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code section 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the

1st and the 10th day of the following month. California Labor Code section 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code section 204, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code section 226 requires employers to make, keep and provide complete and accurate itemized wage statements to their employees. During the relevant time period, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees with complete and accurate itemized wage statements. The wage statements they received from Defendants were in violation of California Labor Code section 226(a). The violations include, but are not limited to, the failure to include the total hours worked, including time spent working off the clock and during meal and rest periods, failure to state the correct gross and net wages earned for all time worked, and failure to state all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

California Labor Code section 1174 requires an employer to keep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less than two years. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to keep accurate and complete payroll records showing the actual hours worked daily and the wages earned by Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees, including earned and unpaid minimum, overtime, and premium wages as discussed above.

California Labor Code section 1198 provides: "The employment of any employee ... under conditions of labor prohibited by the [Industrial Wage Orders] is unlawful." The Wage Orders require that for each workday an employee is required to report for work and does report, but is not put to work or is furnished less than half said employee's usual or scheduled day's work, the employee shall be paid for half the usual or scheduled day's work, but in no event for less than two (2) hours nor more than four (4) hours, at the employee's regular rate of pay. Further, if an employee is required to report for work a second time in any one workday and is furnished less than two (2) hours of work on the second reporting, said employee shall be paid for two (2) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay. On information and belief, during the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay aggrieved employees half the usual or scheduled day's work in an amount no less than two (2) hours nor more than four (4) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay for workdays in which aggrieved employees reported to work and were furnished less than half the usual or scheduled day's work. On information and belief, during the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay aggrieved employees for two (2) hours at the employee's regular rate of pay on days in which aggrieved employees were required to report for work a second time in one workday and were furnished less than two (2) hours of work upon the second reporting.

California Labor Code section 2802 requires an employer to reimburse its employee for all necessary expenditures incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her job duties or in direct consequence of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees incurred necessary business-related expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by Defendants.

Therefore, on behalf of all aggrieved employees, Plaintiff seeks all applicable penalties arising out of the above-referenced wage, hour, and payroll practices, or which could be assessed and collected by the LWDA, for violation of the California Labor Code pursuant to PAGA. Labor Code section 2699.3 requires that a claimant send a certified letter (i.e. this letter) to the employer in question and file an online claim to the LWDA setting forth the claims and the basis for the claims, thereby giving the LWDA and employer an opportunity to investigate the claims and/or take any action they deem appropriate. If the LWDA and Defendants elect not to take any action with respect to any of the foregoing claims, Plaintiff will seek these penalties in a civil action on behalf of all aggrieved employees within one year of the date of this letter as allowed by law.

If you have any questions, please contact me at the phone number or address below:

William C. Sung
JUSTICE FOR WORKERS, P.C.
3600 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 1815
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Office: 323-922-2000
Fax: 323-922-2000
Email: william@justiceforworkers.com

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,



William C. Sung
JUSTICE FOR WORKERS, P.C.

Cc: Via USPS Certified Mail – Return Receipt Requested

ABM Aviation, Inc.
c/o CT Corporation System
Agent for Service of Process
330 N Brand Blvd., Suite 700
Glendale, CA 91203