

FILED

09/02/2026

K. Bieker
Executive Officer and Clerk

Joan Foster
Joan Foster

John G. Yslas (SBN 187324)
john.yslas@wilshirelawfirm.com
Edward E. Kim (SBN 183022)
edward.kim@wilshirelawfirm.com
Jeffrey C. Bills (SBN 301629)
jeffrey.bills@wilshirelawfirm.com
Diego Aviles (SBN 315533)
diego.aviles@wilshirelawfirm.com
Harry Erganyan (SBN 333091)
harry.erganyan@wilshirelawfirm.com
Mariam Nazaretyan (SBN 334154)
mariam.nazaretyan@wilshirelawfirm.com
Courtney M. Miller (SBN 327850)
courtney.miller@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
660 S. Figueroa St., Sky Lobby
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA**

JOSE CAMPOS, individually, and on behalf of
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

E AND E MURRAY INCORPORATED DBA
PRECISION PAINTING, a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2023CUOE009502

*[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon.
Charmaine H. Buehner, Dept. 44]*

**REVISED ~~[PROPOSED]~~ JUDGMENT
AND ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION AND PAGA
SETTLEMENT**

Final Approval Hearing:

Date: August 5, 2026

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Dept.: 44

Action Filed: May 31, 2023

1 This matter came on for hearing on August 5, 2026, at 1:30 p.m., in Department 44 of
2 the above-referenced Court on the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA
3 Settlement pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769. On November 12, 2025, this Court
4 issued an Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and
5 PAGA Settlement. Plaintiff Jose Campos ("Plaintiff") now seeks an order granting final
6 approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement"), a copy of which
7 is attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary
8 Approval of Class Action Settlement as **Exhibit 1**.

9 Having received and considered the Settlement, the supporting papers filed by the
10 Parties, and the evidence and argument in conjunction with the Motion for Preliminary Approval
11 of Class Action Settlement granted on November 12, 2025, and the instant Motion for Final
12 Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, the Court grants final approval of the
13 Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

14 1. Pursuant to the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class
15 Action and PAGA Settlement, the Class Notice was sent to each Class Member by First Class mail.
16 These papers informed Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive an
17 Individual Settlement Payment, and their right to: (a) comment on or object to the Settlement; (b)
18 request exclusion from the Settlement and pursue their own remedies; (c) dispute the calculation
19 of their Individual Settlement Payment; and (d) appear at the final approval hearing. No Class
20 Member has objected to the proposed Settlement, and no Class Member has requested exclusion.

21 2. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate
22 protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision
23 regarding approval of the Settlement based on the responses of the Class. The Court finds and
24 determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the
25 requirements of law and due process.

26 3. With respect to the Class and for purposes of approving this Settlement only, this
27 Court finds and concludes that: (a) the members of the Class are ascertainable and so numerous
28 that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common the

1 class and a well-defined community of interest among members of the Class with respect to the
2 subject matter of the action; (c) the claims of Class Representative Jose Campos are typical of the
3 claims of the Class Members; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the
4 interests of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient
5 adjudication of this controversy; and (f) counsel of record for the Class Representative are qualified
6 to serve as Class Counsel.

7 4. The Court has certified a Class for settlement purposes only, defined as all non-
8 exempt, hourly individuals that worked for Defendant in California at any time during the Class
9 Period (January 2, 2023, through May 13, 2025). The Court deems this definition sufficient for
10 purposes of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.765(a).

11 5. The Court hereby confirms John G. Yslas, Edward E. Kim, Jeffrey C. Bils, Diego
12 Aviles, Harry Erganyan, Mariam Nazaretyan, and Lisa B. Iturriaga of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as
13 Class Counsel.

14 6. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Jose Campos as the Class Representative.

15 7. The Court finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable,
16 and adequate, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms, having
17 found that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's length
18 negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court finds that the Parties conducted adequate
19 investigation, research, and discovery, and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate
20 their respective positions. The Court also finds that the Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid
21 additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were
22 to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of
23 the Settlement and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class.

24 8. The Court hereby approves that Defendant Precision Construction and Painting, Inc.
25 dba Precision Painting (formerly known as E and E Murray Incorporated ("Defendant")) shall pay
26 a total of \$699,106.32 to resolve this litigation.

27 9. The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Payments to be paid
28 to Settlement Class Members as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court

hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts to be made to the Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.

10. From the Settlement Amount, the Court finds and determines that payment of \$50,000.00 in civil penalties under PAGA is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Labor and Workforce Development Agency will receive 75% (\$37,500.00), and the remaining 25% (\$12,500.00) will be distributed to Aggrieved Employees, defined as all non-exempt, hourly individuals that worked for Defendant in California at any time during the PAGA Period (January 2, 2023, through May 13, 2025). The Court hereby grants final approval to and orders the payment of the amount in accordance with the Settlement.

11. From the Settlement Amount, the Court finds and determines the Class Representative Service Payment of \$7,500.00 to the named Plaintiff is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby grants final approval to and orders the payment of that amount to be paid to the named Plaintiff for her service as a class representative and for her agreement to release claims.

12. From the Settlement Amount, the Court finds and determines that the fees and expenses in administering the Settlement incurred by ILYM Group, Inc. ("ILYM") in the amount of \$8,950.00 are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby grants final approval to and orders the payment of that amount in accordance with the Settlement.

13. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence, and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of \$209,700.00 and litigation costs in the amount of \$19,423.00. The Court hereby grants final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts in accordance with the Settlement.

14. Without affecting the finality of this Order or the entry of judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing jurisdiction of all matters relating to the implementation, interpretation, administration, effectuation and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.

15. Defendant shall not have any further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided for by the Settlement.

16. Neither the making of this Settlement nor the entry into the Settlement constitutes an admission by Defendant, nor is this order a finding of the validity of any claims in this case or

1 of any other wrongdoing. Further, the Settlement is not a concession and shall not be used as an
2 admission of any wrongdoing, fault, or omission of any entity or persons, nor may any action taken
3 to carry out the terms of the Settlement be construed as an admission or concession by or against
4 Defendant.

5 17. The Court sets the hearing for final settlement compliance for February 3, 2027, at
6 1:30 p.m. in Dept. 44 of the above-captioned Court. Plaintiff shall file a declaration concerning
7 settlement compliance at least 10 days in advance of the compliance hearing date.

8 18. The Court hereby enters final judgment in accordance with the terms of the
9 Settlement, the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
10 Settlement, and this Order.

11 19. The Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise
12 provided by this Court's Order awarding Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and litigation costs.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Dated: 08/28/2026
15 _____


16 HONORABLE CHARMAINE H. BUEHNER
17 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28