

John G. Yslas (SBN 187324)
john.yslas@wilshirelawfirm.com
Eugene Zinovyev (SBN 267245)
eugene.zinovyev@wilshirelawfirm.com
John Brown (SBN 233605)
john.brown@wilshirelawfirm.com
Gabriella Solé (SBN 346164)
gabriella.sole@wilshirelawfirm.com
Emily K. Borman (SBN 303180)
emily.borman@wilshirelawfirm.com
Courtney M. Miller (SBN 327850)
courtney.miller@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

DOMINIC DULANEY, individually, and on
behalf of the State of California and other
aggrieved persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

KQED, INC., a California corporation; and
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

DOMINIC DULANEY, individually, and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

KQED, INC., a California corporation; and
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Lead Case No. CGC-24-611614
Consolidated with Case No. CGC-24-612506

*[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Ethan
P. Schulman, Dept. 304]*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Preliminary Approval Hearing:

Date: April 2, 2026

Time: 11:00 a.m.

Dept: 304

Action Filed: January 11, 2024

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 Having reviewed Plaintiff Dominic Dulaney's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary
3 Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion"), the Declaration of John G. Yslas,
4 the Declaration of Dominic Dulaney, and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement
5 and Class Notice ("Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court finds and
6 HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

7 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
8 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
9 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based on the
10 terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant KQED, Inc.
11 ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for
12 Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement as **Exhibit 2**.

13 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
14 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
15 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
16 approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of
17 \$895,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to Class Members who do not validly opt out; (b)
18 a \$50,000.00 allocation toward civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, 75% of
19 which (\$37,500.00) will be paid to California's Labor & Workforce Development Agency and
20 25% of which (\$12,500.00) will be paid to Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative
21 Service Payment of up to \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees not to
22 exceed one third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount (currently estimated to be \$298,333.33)
23 and up to \$28,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e)
24 Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$8,150.00.

25 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
26 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and
27 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair
28 and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further

1 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
2 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
3 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
4 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
5 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as
6 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
7 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
8 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

9 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement,
10 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the California's Labor & Workforce
11 Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private
12 Attorneys General Act, and the Class Representative Service Payment should be finally
13 approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set in
14 accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

15 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
16 (the "Class"): "all non-exempt employees of KQED in California during the Class Period."

17 6. "Class Period" means the period from August 27, 2019, through May 8, 2025.

18 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
19 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
20 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
21 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
22 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the
23 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
24 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
25 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

26 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,
27 Plaintiff Dominic Dulaney. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to
28 request an incentive award of up to \$10,000.00.

9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, John G. Yslas, Eugene Zinovyev, John Brown, Gabriella Solé, Emily K. Borman, and Courtney M. Miller of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount (currently estimated to be \$298,333.33), and litigation costs not to exceed \$28,000.00.

10. The Court appoints ILYM Group Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$8,150.00.

11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

13. Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Event	Timing
Class Data: Last day for Defendant to provide Class Data to the Administrator	15 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement
Class Notice: Last day for Administrator to mail the Class Notice to Class Members	14 days after receipt of the Class Data
Response Deadline: Last day for Class Members to submit written objections, challenges to workweeks and/or pay periods, and requests for exclusion	45 days after Class Notice is mailed out by the Administrator (with an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Class Notice was remailed)

Filing Deadline: Last day to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff	16 court days before the Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	_____, 2026, at _____ a.m./p.m. in Dept. 304 of the above-referenced Court.

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: _____

HON. ETHAN P. SCHULMAN
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT