

1 **BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK**
2 **DE BLOUW LLP**

3 Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)

4 Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)

5 Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)

6 2255 Calle Clara

7 La Jolla, CA 92037

8 Telephone: (858)551-1223

9 Facsimile: (858)551-1232

10 Email: Kyle@bamlawca.com

11 Website: www.bamlawca.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

13 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

14 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

15 ZION ESTRADA, an individual, on behalf of
16 herself and on behalf of all persons similarly
17 situated,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 vs.

20 WILLIAMS LEA LLC, a Limited Liability
21 Company; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

22 Defendants.

CASE NO.: **23STCV29851**

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Hearing Date: July 16, 2026

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Samantha Jessner

Dept: SS-7

Action Filed: May 20, 2024

Trial Date: Not set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT on July 17, 2026, the Court entered the
3 Judgment in the above entitled action. A true and correct copy of the Judgment is attached hereto
4 as Exhibit #1.

5 Respectfully submitted,

6

7 Dated: July 17, 2026

**BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK
DE BLOUW LLP**

8

By: /s/ Kyle Nordrehaug
Kyle R. Nordrehaug
Attorneys for Plaintiff

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

3 I, Kyle Nordrehaug, am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am
4 over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2255 Calle Clara, La
5 Jolla, California 92037.

6 On July 17, 2026, I served the document(s) described as:

7 **1. Notice of Entry of Judgment**

8 X **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE (via electronic service provider):** I caused a copy of the
9 document(s) referenced above to be electronically transmitted to Case Anywhere, an
10 electronic filing/service provider at www.caseanywhere.com pursuant to the Court's Order
11 governing the matter mandating electronic service. The transmission was reported as
12 complete and without error to the following e-mail address(es):

13 FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP
14 Shaun J. Voigt
15 svoigt@fisherphillips.com
16 Lirit A. King
17 E-Mail: lking@fisherphillips.com
18 Justin T. Ruedaflores
19 jruedaflores@fisherphillips.com
20 444 South Flower Street, Suite 1500
21 Los Angeles, California 90071

22 cc: Nora Martinez - nmartinez@fisherphillips.com; Karla Gonzalez -
23 kpgonzalez@fisherphillips.com

24 Attorneys for Defendant WILLIAMS LEA LLC

25 X **ONLINE TO THE LWDA:** I caused the above-described document to be delivered to the
26 Labor Workforce Development Agency via online process at the PAGA Filing website in
27 accordance with the procedure imposed by the LWDA.

28 X (State): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
above is true and correct. Executed on July 17, 2026, at La Jolla, California.

EXHIBIT #1

Electronically Received 07/16/2026 09:35 AM

**BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK
DE BLOUW LLP**

Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)

Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)

Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)

2255 Calle Clara

La Jolla, CA 92037

Telephone: (858) 551-1223

Fax: (858) 551-1232

Website: www.bamlawca.com

Email: kyle@bamlawca.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

07/17/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. Morales Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ZION ESTRADA, an individual, on behalf of
herself and on behalf of all persons similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WILLIAMS LEA LLC, a Limited Liability
Company; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 23STCV29851

**[REVISED ~~PROPOSED~~] FINAL
APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

Hearing Date: July 16, 2026

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Samantha Jessner

Dept: SS-7

Date Filed: December 7, 2023

Trial Date: Not set

1 The unopposed motion of Plaintiff Zion Estrada (“Plaintiff”) for an order finally approving
2 the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) with Defendant Williams Lea
3 LLC (“Defendant”), attorneys’ fees and costs, service payment, and the expenses of the
4 Administrator duly came on for hearing on July 16, 2026 before the Honorable Samantha Jessner.

5 **I.**

6 **FINDINGS**

7 Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the
8 motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 9 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 10 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending before
11 the Superior Court for the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles, and over all
12 Parties to this litigation, including the Class.
- 13 3. Based on a review of the papers submitted by Plaintiffs and a review of the
14 applicable law, the Court finds that the Gross Settlement Amount of Seven Hundred Fifty
15 Thousand Dollars (\$750,000) and the terms set forth in the Agreement are fair, reasonable, and
16 adequate.
- 17 4. The Court further finds that the Settlement was the result of arm’s length
18 negotiations conducted after Class Counsel had adequately investigated the claims and became
19 familiar with the strengths and weaknesses of those claims. In particular, the amount of the
20 Settlement, and the assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process, among other
21 factors, support the Court’s conclusion that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

22 **Preliminary Approval of the Settlement**

- 23 5. On February 10, 2026, the Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement.
24 At this same time, the Court approved conditional certification of the Class for settlement
25 purposes only.

26 **Notice to the Class**

- 27 6. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Court-approved Class
28

1 Notice was mailed by first class mail to members of the Class at their last-known addresses on or
2 about March 26, 2026. This mailing of the Class Notice to their last-known addresses was the best
3 notice practicable under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual
4 notice of the litigation and the proposed settlement to the Class. The Class Notice given to the
5 Class Members fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the
6 proposed Settlement and of their opportunity to object to or comment thereon or to seek exclusion
7 from the Settlement; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied
8 fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other
9 applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided
10 Class Members adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

11 7. The Response Deadline for opting out or submitting written objections to the
12 Settlement was May 26, 2026, which for re-mailings was extended by fourteen (14) days. There
13 was an adequate interval between notice and the deadline to permit Class Members to choose what
14 to do and to act on their decision. A full and fair opportunity has been afforded to the Class
15 Members to participate in this hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be
16 heard have had a full and fair opportunity to be heard. Class Members also have had a full and
17 fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and Class. Accordingly, the
18 Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly submit a request for
19 exclusion are bound by the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

20 **Fairness of the Settlement**

21 8. The Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*
22 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1801 (1996).

23 a. The settlement was reached through arm's-length bargaining between the
24 Parties during an all-day mediation before Tagore Subramaniam, an experienced mediator of wage
25 and hour class actions. There has been no collusion between the Parties in reaching the
26 Settlement.

1 b. Plaintiff and Class Counsel’s investigation and discovery have been
2 sufficient to allow the Court and counsel to act intelligently.

3 c. Counsel for all Parties are experienced in similar employment class action
4 and PAGA litigation. Class Counsel recommended approval of the Agreement.

5 d. The percentage of objectors and requests for exclusion is small. No
6 objections were received. One (1) request for exclusion was received.

7 e. The participation rate was high. 545 Participating Class Members will be
8 mailed a settlement payment, representing 99.82% of the overall Class.

9 9. The consideration to be given to the Class Members under the terms of the
10 Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims
11 asserted in this action and is fair, reasonable and adequate compensation for the release of Class
12 Members’ claims, given the uncertainties and significant risks of the litigation and the delays
13 which would ensue from continued prosecution of the action.

14 10. The Agreement is approved as fair, adequate and reasonable and in the best
15 interests of the Class Members.

16 **Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment**

17 11. An award of \$250,000 for attorneys’ fees, representing one-third of the Gross
18 Settlement Amount, and \$19,975.50 for litigation costs and expenses, is reasonable, in light of the
19 contingent nature of Class Counsel’s fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results
20 achieved by Class Counsel. The requested awards have been supported by Class Counsel’s
21 lodestar and billing statement.

22 **Class Representative Service Payment**

23 12. The Agreement provides for a Class Representative Service Payment of not more
24 than \$15,000 to the Plaintiff, subject to the Court’s approval. The Court finds that the Class
25 Representative Service Payment in the reduced amount of \$7,500 to the Plaintiff is reasonable in
26 light of the risks and burdens undertaken by the Plaintiff in this litigation and for his time and
27 effort in bringing and prosecuting this matter on behalf of the Class.

1 **Administration Expenses Payment**

2 13. The Administrator shall calculate and administer the payment to be made to the
3 Class Members, transmit payment for attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, transmit the
4 Class Representative Service Payment to the Plaintiff, issue all required tax reporting forms,
5 calculate withholdings and perform the other remaining duties set forth in the Agreement. The
6 Administrator has documented \$9,885 in fees and expenses, and this amount is reasonable in light
7 of the work performed by the Administrator.

8 **PAGA Penalties**

9 14. The Agreement provides for PAGA Penalties out of the Gross Settlement Amount
10 of \$20,000, which shall be allocated \$15,000 to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency
11 ("LWDA") as the LWDA's 75% share of the settlement of civil penalties paid under this
12 Agreement pursuant to the PAGA and \$5,000 to be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees and
13 allocated by (a) dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' 25% share of PAGA Penalties
14 (\$5,000) by the total number of PAGA Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during
15 the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Pay
16 Periods. "Aggrieved Employees" are all non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant in the
17 State of California during the PAGA Period (November 3, 2022 through August 21, 2025)).
18 Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, the LWDA was provided notice of the Agreement and these
19 settlement terms and has not indicated any objection thereto. The Court finds the PAGA Penalties
20 to be reasonable.

21 **II.**

22 **ORDERS**

23 Based on the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

24 15. The Class is certified for the purposes of settlement only. The Class is defined as
25 follows:

26 All individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant who were
27 classified as non-exempt in the State of California during the Class Period (March
28 17, 2022 through August 21, 2025).

1 16. All persons who meet the foregoing definition are members of the Class, except for
2 those individuals who filed a valid request for exclusion (“opt out”) from the Class. The one
3 individual who requested exclusion was: Jan Villegas.

4 17. The Agreement is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the
5 best interest of the Class. Defendant shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount, and also fund
6 the amounts necessary to fully pay Defendant’s share of payroll taxes, by transmitting the funds to
7 the Administrator no later than 30 days after the Effective Date.

8 18. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys’ fees in the amount of \$250,000 and costs in
9 the amount of \$19,975.50. Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or
10 reimbursement from Defendant, Plaintiff or members of the Class.

11 19. The payment of the Class Representative Service Payment in the amount of \$7,500
12 to the Plaintiff is approved.

13 20. The payment of \$9,885 to the Administrator for its fees and expenses is approved.

14 21. The PAGA Penalties amount of \$20,000 is approved and is to be distributed in
15 accordance with the Agreement.

16 22. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, Class Counsel shall submit a copy of this
17 Final Approval Order and Judgment to the LWDA within 10 days after its entry.

18 23. Neither the Agreement nor this Settlement is an admission by Defendant, nor is this
19 Final Approval Order and Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any
20 wrongdoing by Defendant or that this Action is appropriate for class or representative treatment
21 (other than for settlement purposes). Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the
22 Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement
23 is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault,
24 wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any
25 negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be
26 evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant.
27 Notwithstanding these restrictions, Defendant may file in the Action or in any other proceeding
28

1 this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in
2 the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel,
3 release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Class
4 Claims and/or Released PAGA Claims.

5 24. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to all
6 Parties by Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Class Members. The Final Approval Order
7 and Judgment shall be posted on the website as set forth in the Class Notice to the Class. It shall
8 not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to individual
9 Class Members.

10 25. If the Agreement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms
11 of the Agreement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all orders entered in
12 connection herewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall
13 revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement, and expressly reserve
14 their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available
15 defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the Action could not be
16 certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action.

17 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED THAT:**

18 26. Except as set forth in the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment,
19 Plaintiffs, and all members of the Class, shall take nothing in the Action.

20 27. All Parties shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs, except as otherwise
21 provided in the Agreement and in this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

22 28. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement
23 Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class
24 Payments, Plaintiff, Participating Class Members, Aggrieved Employees and the LWDA will
25 release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

26 (a) All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their
27 respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors,
28

1 and assigns, release Released Parties from the Released Class Claims. The “Released Class
2 Claims” are claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the facts
3 stated in the Operative Complaint which occurred during the Class Period during employment in a
4 non-exempt position in California and expressly excluding all other claims including claims for
5 vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act,
6 unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers’ compensation, California class
7 claims outside of the Class Period.

8 (b) All Aggrieved Employees and the LWDA are deemed to release, on behalf
9 of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs,
10 administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims.
11 The “Released PAGA Claims” are all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably
12 could have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the Operative Complaint and the PAGA
13 Notices, which occurred during the PAGA Period during employment in a nonexempt position in
14 California, and expressly excluding all other claims including claims for vested benefits, wrongful
15 termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance,
16 disability, social security, workers’ compensation, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.

17 (c) Plaintiff and his or her respective former and present spouses,
18 representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release
19 and discharge Released Parties from the Plaintiff’s Release, as fully set forth in the Agreement.

20 29. For any Class Member or Aggrieved Employee whose Individual Class Payment
21 check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the
22 Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's
23 Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the individual who failed to cash their check.

24 30. The Court hereby enters judgment in the entire Action as of the filing date of this
25 Order and Judgment, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement. Without affecting the
26 finality of this Order and Judgment in any way, the Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction
27
28

1 over the interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement and all orders entered
2 in connection therewith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

3 31. The Court orders class counsel to file a final report summarizing all distributions
4 made pursuant to the approved settlement, supported by declaration. The Court sets a non-
5 appearance date for submission of a final report for July 16, 2027, at 9:00 am.

6 **LET JUDGMENT BE FORTHWITH ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7
8 Dated: 07/17/2026



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Samantha Jessner", is written over the seal.

Samantha Jessner / Judge

HON. SAMANTHA JESSNER
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA