

JUSTICE FOR WORKERS, P.C.
 William C. Sung, SB# 280792
 E-Mail: william@justiceforworkers.com
 Tiffany L. Luu SB# 335127
 E-Mail: tluu@justiceforworkers.com
 Joseph C. Ramli, SB# 339491
 E-Mail: jramli@justiceforworkers.com
 Guneez Ibrahim, SB# 367321
 E-Mail: gibrahim@justiceforworkers.com
 9575 Bolsa Avenue
 Westminster, CA 92683
 Tel: 323-922-2000
 Fax: 323-922-2000

Attorneys for Plaintiff URIEL BEN-LEVI

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Los Angeles
09/14/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
 By: S. Drew Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

URIEL BEN-LEVI, an individual and on
 behalf of all others similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

vs.

PARKWEST BICYCLE CASINO LLC, a
 California corporation; and DOES 1 through
 50,

Defendants.

Case No.: 26STCV22267

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS AND
 REPRESENTATIVE ACTION
 COMPLAINT:**

- (1) **MINIMUM WAGE VIOLATIONS**
 (LABOR CODE §§ 1182.12, 1194,
 1194.2, 1197);
- (2) **OVERTIME WAGE VIOLATIONS**
 (LABOR CODE §§ 204, 510, 1194,
 1198);
- (3) **MEAL PERIOD VIOLATIONS**
 (LABOR CODE §§ 226.7, 512, 558);
- (4) **REST PERIOD VIOLATIONS**
 (LABOR CODE §§ 226.7, 516, 558);
- (5) **WAGE STATEMENT PENALTIES**
 (LABOR CODE § 226);
- (6) **WAITING TIME PENALTIES**
 (LABOR CODE §§ 201-203);
- (7) **FAILURE TO REIMBURSE
 NECESSARY BUSINESS EXPENSES**
 (LABOR CODE § 2802);
- (8) **UNFAIR COMPETITION (BUS &
 PROF CODE §§ 17200, *et seq.*); and**
- (9) **CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER THE
 CALIFORNIA PRIVATE**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT
(LABOR CODE §§ 2698, *et seq.*)**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff URIEL BEN-LEVI (“Plaintiff”), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, hereby brings this First Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint (“Complaint”) against Defendants PARKWEST BICYCLE CASINO LLC, a California corporation, and DOES 1 to 50 (collectively “Defendants”), and on information and belief alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, hereby brings this Complaint for recovery of unpaid wages and penalties under California Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*, Labor Code §§ 201-204, 226, 226.7, 246, 432.5, 510, 512, 516, 558, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1198, 2698, *et seq.*, 2802, 2804, and applicable Industrial Welfare Commission (“IWC”) Wage Orders (“Wage Orders”), in addition to seeking injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and restitution. This Complaint is brought pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants’ violations of the Labor Code because the amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000.

2. Venue is proper in this judicial district because Defendants maintain offices, have agents, and/or transact business in the State of California, including Los Angeles County, and some of the acts and omissions complained of herein occurred in Los Angeles County. Furthermore, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within Los Angeles County.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant herein was, an individual over the age of eighteen (18) and is a California resident. Within the statute of limitations periods applicable to each cause of action pled herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a non-exempt employee. Plaintiff was, and is, a victim of Defendants’ policies, practices, and/or customs complained of herein and has been deprived of the rights guaranteed by Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*, Labor Code §§ 201-204, 226, 226.7, 246, 432.5, 510, 512, 516, 558, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2,

1 1197, 1198, 2698, *et seq.*, 2802, 2804, and Wage Orders.

2 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that during the
3 applicable statute of limitations for each cause of action pled herein and continuing to the present,
4 Defendants engaged in, and continue to engage in, business, and employed Plaintiff and other,
5 similarly-situated non-exempt employees within Los Angeles County, and the State of California
6 and, therefore, were (and are) doing business in Los Angeles County and the State of California.

7 5. Plaintiff does not know the true names or capacities, whether individual, partner, or
8 corporate, of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 to 50, inclusive, and for that reason, said
9 defendants are sued under such fictitious names, and Plaintiff will seek leave from this Court to
10 amend this Complaint when such true names and capacities are discovered. Plaintiff is informed and
11 believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of said fictitious defendants, whether individual,
12 partners, or corporate, was responsible in some manner for the acts and omissions alleged herein,
13 and proximately caused Plaintiff and the Classes (as defined below) to be subject to the unlawful
14 employment practices, wrongs, injuries, and damages complained of herein.

15 6. At all times herein mentioned, each of said Defendants participated in the doing of
16 the acts hereinafter alleged to have been done by the named Defendant; and furthermore,
17 Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, and employees of each of the other
18 defendants, as well as the agents of all Defendants, and at all times herein mentioned, were acting
19 within the course and scope of said agency and employment.

20 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at all times
21 material hereto, each of the Defendants named herein was the agent, employee, alter ego and/or
22 joint venturer of, or working in concert with each of the other co-Defendants and was acting within
23 the course and scope of such agency, employment, joint venture, or concerted activity. To the extent
24 said acts, conduct, and omissions were perpetrated by certain Defendants, each of the remaining
25 Defendants confirmed and ratified said acts, conduct, and omissions of the acting Defendants.

26 8. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, were members of, and
27 engaged in, a joint venture, partnership, and common enterprise, and acting within the course and
28 scope of, and in pursuance of, said joint venture, partnership, and common enterprise.

9. At all times herein mentioned, the acts and omissions of various Defendants, and each of them, concurred and contributed to the various acts and omissions of each and all of the other Defendants in proximately causing the injuries and damages as herein alleged.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Defendants own and operate the Parkwest Bicycle Casino in Bell Gardens, California. Defendants employed Plaintiff as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee from approximately July 2025 to approximately June 2026 as a cashier.

11. Throughout Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Defendants' timekeeping and/or payroll policies and practices resulted in Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees not being compensated for all hours actually worked. Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees regularly worked more than eight hours in a workday or 40 hours in a workweek. Upon information and belief, at times, Defendants required Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees to perform work before their scheduled shifts, after their scheduled shifts, and/or during off-the-clock meal breaks, and failed to compensate employees for this time. Upon information and belief, Defendants also failed to incorporate multiple rates of pay and/or all non-discretionary remuneration into the regular rate of pay. As a result, Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees were not compensated for all earned minimum and overtime wages.

12. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees with all legally compliant meal periods due to Defendants' meal period policies/practices, which resulted in late (commencing after the fifth hour of work), short (less than 30 minutes), and/or missed meal periods. Upon information and belief, at times, Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees were not able to take their legally compliant meal breaks due to work demands imposed by Defendants. Upon information and belief, Defendants do not keep accurate records of Plaintiff's and other non-exempt employees' hours worked, including accurate time records showing when employees take their meal periods. On those occasions when Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees were not provided with all legally compliant meal periods to which they were entitled, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees with the required meal period premium(s) for each workday there was a meal period violation as mandated by Labor Code § 226.7. Upon

1 information and belief, Defendants violated Labor Code § 226.7 by failing to pay meal period
2 premiums at the correct regular rate of compensation by incorporating all non-discretionary
3 incentive compensation in the event that a legally compliant meal period was not provided to their
4 non-exempt employees.

5 13. At times, Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees were not authorized and
6 permitted to take all required rest periods due to Defendants' unlawful rest period policies/practices,
7 which failed to authorize and permit a net 10-minute rest period for every four hours worked, or
8 major fraction thereof. On occasion, Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees were not able to take
9 their legally compliant rest breaks due to work demands imposed by Defendants. On those
10 occasions when Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees were not authorized and permitted to
11 take all legally compliant rest periods to which they were entitled, Defendants failed to compensate
12 them with the required rest period premium(s) for each workday there was a rest period violation as
13 mandated by Labor Code § 226.7. Upon information and belief, Defendants maintained no
14 mechanism for the payment of rest period premium payments as required by Labor Code § 226.7, in
15 the event that a legally compliant rest period was not authorized and permitted to their non-exempt
16 employees.

17 14. Additionally, upon information and belief, Defendants violated Labor Code § 246 by
18 failing to incorporate multiple rates of pay and/or all non-discretionary remuneration into the paid
19 sick leave pay rate.

20 15. Labor Code § 2802 requires an employer to reimburse its employee for all necessary
21 expenditures incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her job
22 duties or in direct consequence of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer. During the
23 relevant time period, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees incurred necessary business-related
24 expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by Defendants, including, but not limited to, use
25 their personal mobile devices to receive text messages for important notices, scheduling updates, or
26 other reemployment-related communications.

27 16. Labor Code § 432.5 prohibits an employer from requiring any employee or applicant
28 for employment to agree, in writing, to any term or condition which is known by such employer to

1 be prohibited by law. Labor Code § 2804 provides that any contract or agreement, express or
2 implied, made by any employee to waive the benefits of Labor Code § 2802 is null and void.
3 During the relevant time period, knowingly had Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees sign a
4 Team Member Consent to Text Messaging form to waive their right to reimbursement of cellphone
5 expenses under Labor Code § 2802 in violation of Labor Code §§ 432 and 2804.

6 17. As a result of Defendants' failure to pay all minimum, overtime, and sick leave
7 wages, failure to pay all meal and rest period premium wages, and unlawful timekeeping and
8 payroll practices as alleged above, Defendants maintained inaccurate payroll records and issued
9 inaccurate wage statements to Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees. As a further result of
10 Defendants' failure to pay all minimum and overtime wages, sick leave wages, and meal and rest
11 period premium wages, Defendants failed to timely pay all final wages to Plaintiff and other former
12 non-exempt employees upon their separation of employment from Defendants.

13 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

14 18. **Class Definitions:** Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and the following
15 Classes pursuant to § 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure:

- 16 a. Minimum Wage Class: All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants
17 in the State of California who were subject to Defendants' timekeeping and payroll
18 policies and/or practices, during the four years immediately preceding the filing of
19 this action through the present.
- 20 b. Overtime Class: All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants in the
21 State of California who worked more than eight hours per day and/or 40 hours per
22 week and were subject to Defendants' timekeeping policies and/or practices, during
23 the four years immediately preceding the filing of this action through the present.
- 24 c. Meal Period Class: All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants in
25 the State of California who: (i) worked at least one shift in excess of 5.0 hours;
26 and/or (ii) worked at least one shift in excess of 10.0 hours, during the four years
27 immediately preceding the filing of this action through the present.

28 ///

- 1 d. Rest Period Class: All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants in
2 the State of California who worked at least one shift of 3.5 or more hours, during the
3 four years immediately preceding the filing of this action through the present.
- 4 e. Wage Statement Class: All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants
5 in the State of California who received a wage statement, during the one year
6 immediately preceding the filing of this action through the present.
- 7 f. Waiting Time Penalty Class: All of Defendants' formerly employed members of the
8 Minimum Wage Class, Overtime Class, Rest Period Class, and/or Meal Period Class
9 during the three years immediately preceding the filing of this action through the
10 present.
- 11 g. Expense Reimbursement Class: All current and former non-exempt employees of
12 Defendants in the State of California who were not reimbursed for all necessary
13 business expenses, during the four years immediately preceding the filing of this
14 action through the present.

15 19. **Numerosity/Ascertainability**: The members of the Classes are so numerous that
16 joinder of all members would be impractical, if not impossible. The membership of the Classes is
17 unknown to Plaintiff at this time; however, it is estimated that the members of the Classes number
18 greater than 50 individuals. The identity of such membership is readily ascertainable via inspection
19 of Defendants' employment records, including payroll records.

20 20. **Common Questions of Law and Fact Predominate/Well-Defined Community of**
21 **Interest**: There are predominant common questions of law and fact as to Plaintiff and members of
22 the Classes, which predominate over questions affecting only individual members including,
23 without limitation to:

- 24 a. Whether Defendants properly paid all overtime wages to members of the Overtime
25 Class;
- 26 b. Whether Defendants paid at least the minimum wage for all hours worked to
27 members of the Minimum Wage Class;

28 ///

- 1 c. Whether Defendants provided all legally compliant meal periods or paid meal period
2 premium wages due to members of the Meal Period Class pursuant to Labor Code §§
3 226.7 and 512;
- 4 d. Whether Defendants authorized and permitted all legally compliant rest periods or
5 paid all rest period premium wages due to members of the Rest Period Class
6 pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 516;
- 7 e. Whether Defendants furnished legally compliant wage statements to members of the
8 Wage Statement Class pursuant to Labor Code § 226; and
- 9 f. Whether Defendants timely paid all final wages to members of the Waiting Time
10 Class at the time of their separation of employment pursuant to Labor Code §§ 201
11 and 202.

12 These common questions of law and fact set forth above are numerous and substantial and
13 stem from Defendants' policies and/or practices applicable to each individual class member. As
14 such, the common questions predominate over individual questions concerning each individual
15 class member's showing as to their eligibility for recovery or as to the amount of their damages.

16 21. **Typicality:** The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Classes because
17 Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a non-exempt employee in California during the statute(s)
18 of limitations period applicable to each cause of action pled in the Complaint. As alleged herein,
19 Plaintiff, like the members of the Classes, was not paid all minimum and overtime wages owed due
20 to Defendants' timekeeping and payroll policies/practices, not provided all required meal periods,
21 not authorized and permitted all rest periods, did not receive premium pay for non-compliant meal
22 and rest periods, not paid all sick leave wages, not paid all final wages upon separation of
23 employment, not reimbursed all necessary business expenses, and not provided with accurate,
24 compliant, itemized wage statements.

25 22. **Adequacy of Representation:** Plaintiff is fully prepared to take all necessary steps
26 to represent fairly and adequately the interests of the members of the Classes. Moreover, Plaintiff's
27 attorneys are ready, willing and able to fully and adequately represent the members of the Classes
28 and Plaintiff. Plaintiff's attorneys have litigated numerous wage-and-hour class actions in state and

1 federal courts in the past and are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of the
2 members of the Classes.

3 23. **Superiority:** The Labor Code is broadly remedial in nature and serves an important
4 public interest in establishing minimum working conditions and standards in California. These laws
5 and labor standards protect the average working employee from exploitation by employers who
6 have the responsibility to follow the laws and who may seek to take advantage of superior economic
7 and bargaining power in setting onerous terms and conditions of employment. The nature of this
8 action and the format of laws available to Plaintiff and members of the Classes make the class
9 action format a particularly efficient and appropriate procedure to redress the violations alleged
10 herein. If each employee were required to file an individual lawsuit, Defendants would necessarily
11 gain an unconscionable advantage since they would be able to exploit and overwhelm the limited
12 resources of each individual plaintiff with their vastly superior financial and legal resources.

13 24. Moreover, requiring each member of the Class(es) to pursue an individual remedy
14 would also discourage the assertion of lawful claims by employees who would be disinclined to file
15 an action against their former and/or current employer for real and justifiable fear of retaliation and
16 permanent damages to their careers at subsequent employment. The claims of the individual
17 members of the Class are not sufficiently large to warrant vigorous individual prosecution
18 considering all of the concomitant costs and expenses attending hereto.

19 25. Furthermore, the prosecution of separate actions by the individual class members,
20 even if possible, would create a substantial risk of inconsistent or varying verdicts or adjudications
21 with respect to the individual class members against Defendants herein; and which would establish
22 potentially incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants; and/or legal determinations with
23 respect to individual class members which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interest
24 of the other class members not parties to adjudications or which would substantially impair or
25 impede the ability of the class members to protect their interests. As such, the Classes identified
26 hereinabove are maintainable as classes under § 382 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

27 ///

28 ///

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
MINIMUM WAGE VIOLATIONS
(Against All Defendants)

26. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

27. Labor Code §§ 1182.12, 1197, and Wage Orders, § 4 establish the right of employees to be paid minimum wages for all hours worked, in amounts set by state or local law. Labor Code §§ 1194(a) and 1194.2(a) provide that an employee who has not been paid the legal minimum wage as required by Labor Code § 1197 may recover the unpaid balance together with attorneys' fees and costs of suit, as well as liquidated damages in an amount equal to the unpaid wages and interest accrued thereon. At all relevant times herein, Defendants failed to conform its pay practices to the requirements of the law by failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the Minimum Wage Class for all hours worked, including, but not limited to, all hours they were subject to the control of Defendants and/or suffered or permitted to work under the Labor Code and Wage Orders.

28. Labor Code § 1198 makes unlawful the employment of an employee under conditions that the IWC prohibits. California Labor Code § 1194(a) and 1194.2(a) provide that an employer who has failed to pay its employees the legal minimum wage is liable to pay those employees the unpaid balance of the unpaid wages as well as liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages due and interest thereon.

29. As a consequence of Defendants' non-payment of minimum wages, Plaintiff and members of the Minimum Wage Class seek recovery of the balance of unpaid wages, including interest thereon, statutory penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit according to Labor Code §§ 218.6, 558, 1194, 1194.2, and 1199, Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5; and Civil Code §§ 3287 and 3289.

///

///

///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
OVERTIME WAGE VIOLATIONS
(Against All Defendants)

30. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

31. This cause of action is brought pursuant to Labor Code §§ 204, 510, 1194, 1198, and Wage Orders, § 3, which provide that non-exempt employees are entitled to all overtime wages and compensation for all overtime hours worked and provide a private right of action for the failure to pay all overtime compensation for overtime work performed.

32. At all times relevant herein, Defendants were required to properly compensate non-exempt employees, including Plaintiff and members of the Overtime Class, for all overtime hours worked pursuant to Labor Code § 1194 and Wage Orders. Wage Orders, § 3 requires an employer to pay an employee one and one-half (1½) times and two times the employee's regular rate of pay for overtime and double time hours work. Defendants caused Plaintiff and members of the Overtime Class to work overtime hours but failed to compensate these employees for all overtime hours actually worked.

33. As a consequence of Defendants' non-payment of overtime wages, Plaintiff and members of the Overtime Class seek recovery of the balance of unpaid overtime wages, including interest thereon, statutory penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs of suit according to Labor Code §§ 204, 210, 216, 218.6, 510, 1194, and 1198; Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5; and Civil Code §§ 3287 and 3289.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
MEAL PERIOD VIOLATIONS
(Against All Defendants)

34. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

35. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants failed in their affirmative obligation to provide all of their non-exempt employees in California, including

1 Plaintiff and members of the Meal Period Class, with all legally compliant meal periods in
2 accordance with the mandates of the Labor Code and Wage Orders, § 11.

3 36. Despite Defendants' violations, Defendants did not pay an additional hour of pay to
4 Plaintiff and members of the Meal Period Class at their respective regular rates of compensation, in
5 accordance with California Labor Code §§ 204, 210, 226.7, and 512.

6 37. As a consequence of Defendants' unlawful meal period practices, Plaintiff and
7 members of the Meal Period Class seek recovery of unpaid premium wages for meal period
8 violations including attorney's fees, interest thereon, statutory penalties, and costs of suit, pursuant
9 to Labor Code §§ 218.5, 226.7, 512, 558, Wage Orders, and Civil Code §§ 3287 and 3289.

10 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

11 **REST PERIOD VIOLATIONS**

12 **(Against All Defendants)**

13 38. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though
14 fully set forth herein.

15 39. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 516, 558, and Wage Orders, § 12 and establish the right of
16 employees to be provided with a rest period of at least 10 minutes for each four-hour period
17 worked, or major fraction thereof. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that
18 despite Defendants' failure to authorize and permit Plaintiff and members of the Rest Period Class
19 to take all legally compliant rest periods as alleged herein, Defendants did not pay these individuals
20 an additional hour of pay at their respective regular rate for the rest periods that they failed to
21 authorize and permit them to take, as required by Labor Code § 226.7.

22 40. As a consequence of Defendants' unlawful rest period practices, Plaintiff and
23 members of the Rest Period Class seek recovery of unpaid premium wages for rest period violations
24 including attorney's fees, interest thereon, statutory penalties, and costs of suit, statutory penalties,
25 attorneys' fees, and costs of suit according to Labor Code §§ 218.5, 226.7, 516, 558, Wage Orders,
26 and Civil Code §§ 3287 and 3289.

27 ///

28 ///

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
WAGE STATEMENT PENALTIES
(Against All Defendants)

41. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

42. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants knowingly and intentionally, as a matter of uniform policy and practice, failed to furnish Plaintiff and members of the Wage Statement Class with accurate and complete, itemized wage statements that included, among other requirements, all hours worked, total gross wages earned, all rates of pay and corresponding number of hours worked, total net wages earned, the inclusive dates of the pay period, and/or the correct name and address of the legal entity that is the employer in violation of Labor Code § 226.

43. Defendants' failure to furnish Plaintiff and members of the Wage Statement Class with accurate and complete, itemized wage statements resulted in actual injury, as said failures led to, among other things, the non-payment of minimum and overtime wages, sick leave wages, and meal and rest period premium wages owed and deprived them of the information necessary to identify the discrepancies in Defendants' reported payroll data.

44. As a consequence of Defendants' unlawful wage statement practices, Plaintiff and members of the Wage Statement Class seek damages and/or statutory penalties, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of suit according to California Labor Code § 226.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
WAITING TIME PENALTIES
(Against All Defendants)

45. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

46. This cause of action is brought pursuant to Labor Code §§ 201-203, which require an employer to pay all wages immediately at the time of separation of employment in the event the employer discharges the employee or the employee provides at least 72 hours of notice of their

1 intent to quit. In the event the employee provides less than 72 hours of notice of their intent to quit,
2 said employee's wages become due and payable not later than 72 hours upon said employee's last
3 date of employment.

4 47. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants failed
5 to timely pay Plaintiff and members of the Waiting Time Class all final wages due to them at their
6 separation from employment, including unpaid minimum and overtime wages, sick leave wages, as
7 well as unpaid meal and rest period premium wages.

8 48. Furthermore, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that as a
9 matter of uniform policy and practice, Defendants continue to fail to pay Plaintiff and members of
10 the Waiting Time Class all earned wages at the end of employment in a timely manner pursuant to
11 the requirements of Labor Code §§ 201-202.

12 49. Defendants' failure to pay all final wages was willful within the meaning of Labor
13 Code § 203. Defendants' willful failure to timely pay Plaintiff and the members of the Waiting
14 Time Class their earned wages upon separation from employment results in continued payment of
15 daily wages up to thirty days from the time the wages were due.

16 50. As a consequence of Defendants' unlawful practices, Plaintiff and members of the
17 Waiting Time Class seek statutory penalties, and costs of suit according to California Labor Code §
18 203.

19 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **FAILURE TO REIMBURSE NECESSARY BUSINESS EXPENSES**

21 **(Against All Defendants)**

22 51. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though
23 fully set forth herein.

24 52. At all relevant times herein, Defendants were subject to Labor Code § 2802, which
25 states that "an employer shall indemnify his or her employees for all necessary expenditures or
26 losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his
27 or obedience to the directions of the employer."

28 ///

53. Defendants violated Labor Code § 2802 by failing to reimburse Members of the Expense Reimbursement Class all necessary business expenses they incurred in the performance of their job duties.

54. As a consequence of Defendants' unlawful practices, Members of the class seek recovery of unreimbursed business expenses, including interest thereon, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of suit pursuant to Labor Code § 2802.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

UNFAIR COMPETITION

(Against All Defendants)

55. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

56. Defendants have engaged and continue to engage in unfair and/or unlawful business practices in California in violation of Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*, by:

- a. failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the Minimum Wage Class all minimum wages due;
- b. failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the Overtime Class all overtime wages due;
- c. failing to provide Plaintiff and members of the Meal Period Class with all meal periods to which they are entitled, and failing to pay them all meal period premium wages due;
- d. failing to authorize and permit Plaintiff and members of the Rest Period Class all rest periods to which they are entitled, and failing to pay them all rest period premium wages due;
- e. failing to issue accurate and itemized wage statements to Plaintiff and members of the Wage Statement Class; and
- f. failing to timely pay all final wages to Plaintiff and members of the Waiting Time Penalty Class.

57. Defendants' utilization of these unfair and/or unlawful business practices deprived Plaintiff and continues to deprive members of the Classes of compensation to which they are legally

1 entitled, constitutes unfair and/or unlawful competition, and provides an unfair advantage over
2 Defendants' competitors who have been and/or are currently employing workers and attempting to
3 do so in honest compliance with applicable wage and hour laws.

4 58. Because Plaintiff is a victim of Defendants' unfair and/or unlawful conduct alleged
5 herein, Plaintiff for himself and on behalf of the members of the Classes, seeks full restitution of
6 monies, as necessary and according to proof, to restore any and all monies withheld, acquired
7 and/or converted by Defendants pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§ 17203 and 17208.

8 59. The acts complained of herein occurred within the last four years immediately
9 preceding the filing of the Complaint in this action.

10 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

11 **PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT**

12 **(Against All Defendants)**

13 60. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though
14 fully set forth herein.

15 61. Plaintiff, an "aggrieved employee" within the meaning of Labor Code §§ 2698, *et*
16 *seq.*, acting on behalf of herself and other aggrieved employees (collectively, "Aggrieved
17 Employees"), brings this representative action against Defendants to recover the civil penalties due
18 to Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees, and the State of California according to proof pursuant
19 to Labor Code §§ 558 and 2699(a) and (f) including, but not limited to: (1) \$100.00 for each initial
20 violation for each failure to pay each employee and \$200 for each subsequent violation or willful or
21 intentional violation pursuant to Labor Code §§ 210 and/or 225.5 for each failure to pay each
22 employee, plus 25% of the amount unlawfully withheld; (2) \$50.00 for each initial violation and
23 \$100 for each subsequent violation pursuant to Labor Code § 558 per employee per pay period; (3)
24 \$100 for each initial violation and \$250 for each subsequent violation pursuant to Labor Code §
25 1197.1 per employee per pay period; (4) \$250 for each initial violation and \$1,000 for each
26 subsequent violation pursuant to Labor Code § 226.3 per employee per pay period; (5) pursuant to
27 Labor Code § 256, a civil penalty in an amount not exceeding 30 days per former employee as
28 waiting time under the terms of Labor Code § 203; and/or (6) \$100 for each initial violation and

1 \$200 for each subsequent violation per employee per pay period for those violations of the Labor
2 Code for which no civil penalty is specifically provided, based on the following Labor Code
3 violations:

- 4 a. Failing to pay minimum wages for all hours worked to Aggrieved Employees in
5 violation of Labor Code § 558, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, and 1198;
- 6 b. Failing to pay Aggrieved Employees all earned overtime compensation in violation
7 of Labor Code §§ 204, 510, 558, 1194, and 1198;
- 8 c. Failing to provide all legally required meal periods, and failure to pay meal period
9 premium wages, to Aggrieved Employees at the regular rate of compensation in
10 violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 558, and 1198;
- 11 d. Failing to authorize and permit all legally required rest periods, and failure to pay
12 rest period premium wages, to Aggrieved Employees at the regular rate of
13 compensation in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7, 516, 558, and 1198;
- 14 e. Failing to furnish Aggrieved Employees with complete, accurate, itemized wage
15 statements in violation of Labor Code § 226;
- 16 f. Failing to timely pay all final wages and compensation earned by Aggrieved
17 Employees at the time of separation in violation of Labor Code §§ 201-202;
- 18 g. Failing to pay Aggrieved Employees all paid sick leave wages in violation of Labor
19 Code § 246;
- 20 h. Failing to pay Aggrieved Employees all earned wages at least twice during each
21 calendar month in violation of Labor Code § 204;
- 22 i. Failing to reimburse necessary business expenses in violation of Labor Code § 2802;
- 23 j. Violating Labor Code §§ 432.5 and 2804; and
- 24 k. Failing to maintain accurate records on behalf of Aggrieved Employees in violation
25 of Labor Code §§ 1174 and 1198.

26 62. On or about July 15, 2026, Plaintiff notified Defendants via certified mail, and
27 notified the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) via its website, of
28 Defendants’ violations of the Labor Code and Plaintiff’s intent to bring a claim for civil penalties

1 under Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.* with respect to the violations of the Labor Code identified in the
2 preceding paragraph. Now that 33 days and 65 days have passed from Plaintiff notifying
3 Defendants and the LWDA of these violations, Defendants have not cured any curable violations,
4 and the LWDA has not provided notice that it intends to investigate the violations, Plaintiff has
5 exhausted administrative requirements for bringing a claim under the Private Attorneys General Act
6 with respect to these violations.

7 63. Plaintiff has incurred attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiff is entitled to receive
8 under California Labor Code § 2699(g).

9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment for herself and for all others on whose behalf
11 this suit is brought against Defendants, as follows:

- 12 1. For an order certifying the proposed Classes;
- 13 2. For an order appointing Plaintiff as representative of the Classes;
- 14 3. For an order appointing Counsel for Plaintiff as Counsel for the Classes;
- 15 4. Upon the First Cause of Action, for payment of minimum wages, liquidated
16 damages, and penalties according to proof pursuant to Labor Code §§ 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, and
17 1197;
- 18 5. Upon the Second Cause of Action, for payment of overtime wages and penalties
19 according to proof pursuant to Labor Code §§ 204, 510, 558, 1194, and 1198;
- 20 6. Upon the Third Cause of Action, for meal period premium wages according to proof
21 pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, and 558;
- 22 7. Upon the Fourth Cause of Action, for rest period premium wages according to proof
23 pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7, 516, and 558;
- 24 8. Upon the Fifth Cause of Action, for statutory penalties pursuant to Labor Code §
25 226;
- 26 9. Upon the Sixth Cause of Action, for statutory penalties pursuant to Labor Code §
27 203;

28 ///

1 10. Upon the Seventh Cause of Action, for unreimbursed business expenses according to
2 proof pursuant to Labor Code § 2802;

3 11. Upon the Eighth Cause of Action, for restitution to Plaintiff and members of the
4 Classes of all money and/or property unlawfully acquired by Defendants by means of any acts or
5 practices declared by this Court to be in violation of Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et*
6 *seq.*;

7 12. Upon the Ninth Cause of Action, for civil penalties due to Plaintiff, other Aggrieved
8 Employees, and the State of California according to proof pursuant to Labor Code §§ 558 and
9 2699(a) and (f) including, but not limited to: (1) \$100.00 for each initial violation for each failure to
10 pay each employee and \$200 for each subsequent violation or willful or intentional violation
11 pursuant to Labor Code §§ 210 and/or 225.5 for each failure to pay each employee, plus 25% of the
12 amount unlawfully withheld; (2) \$50.00 for each initial violation and \$100 for each subsequent
13 violation pursuant to Labor Code § 558 per employee per pay period; (3) \$100.00 for each initial
14 violation and \$250.00 for each subsequent violation pursuant to Labor Code § 1197.1 per employee
15 per pay period; (4) \$250.00 for each initial violation and \$1,000.00 for each subsequent violation
16 pursuant to Labor Code § 226.3 per employee per pay period; (5) pursuant to Labor Code § 256, a
17 civil penalty in an amount not exceeding 30 days per former employee as waiting time under the
18 terms of Labor Code § 203; and/or (6) \$100.00 for each initial violation and \$200 for each
19 subsequent violation per employee per pay period for those violations of the Labor Code for which
20 no civil penalty is specifically provided;

21 13. Prejudgment interest on all due and unpaid wages pursuant to Labor Code § 218.6
22 and Civil Code §§ 3287 and 3289;

23 14. On all causes of action, for attorneys' fees and costs as provided by Labor Code §§
24 218.5, 226, 1194, 2699(g), and Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5; and

25 15. For such other and further relief, the Court may deem just and proper.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28