

David D. Cardone, Esq. (SBN 254954)
Adam J. Yarbrough, Esq. (SBN 247687)
Howard D. Bruno, Esq. (SBN 348304)
DUNN DESANTIS WALT & KENDRICK, LLP
750 B Street, Suite 2620
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 573-4488
Facsimile: (619) 255-4868
dcardone@ddwklaw.com; ayarbrough@ddwklaw.com;
hbruno@ddwklaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SHAUNA STALNAKER

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

SHAUNA STALNAKER, an individual
and on behalf of other aggrieved
employees,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BLUE PACIFIC ENGINEERING AND
CONSTRUCTION, INC., a California
corporation, SHAHRAM ELIHU, an
individual, and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 37-2024-00022124-CU-WT-CTL

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
APPROVAL OF PAGA SETTLEMENT
AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT**

Date: October 16, 2026
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Dept: C-70
Judge: Hon. Carolyn Caietti

Action Filed: May 13, 2024
Trial Date: Not Set

RESERVATION NO.: 101143

1 The Court has received and considered the holographic agreement titled “Stipulation
2 Following Mediator’s Proposal” (the “Holographic Agreement”) between Plaintiff SHAUNA
3 STALNAKER (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants BLUE PACIFIC ENGINEERING AND
4 CONSTRUCTION, INC. and SHAHRAM ELIHU (“Defendants”) in the above-captioned action.
5 The Court finds that the Holographic Agreement is a written stipulation signed by the parties and
6 counsel within the meaning of C.C.P. section 664.6, and that the Holographic Agreement contains
7 all material terms of settlement. The Court further finds that the settlement terms pertaining to
8 Plaintiff’s representative action under Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, § 2699,
9 et seq. (“PAGA”) are fair, reasonable, and adequate.

10 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

11 1. The Court hereby approves settlement of Plaintiff’s individual and representative
12 PAGA claims as set forth in the Holographic Agreement. The Court finds each of its releases,
13 monetary terms, and other terms as fair, just, reasonable, and adequate.

14 2. Plaintiff will effect administration of the PAGA settlement in the manner specified
15 in the memorandum of points and authorities in support of this motion.

16 3. Plaintiff’s PAGA claim is dismissed with prejudice as to Plaintiff and all potentially
17 Aggrieved Employees for the PAGA Period December 28, 2023 through October 28, 2025.

18 4. The Court hereby enters judgment against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff
19 based on the terms specified in the Holographic Agreement and in the amount of \$400,000, \$10,000
20 of which shall be allocated to PAGA penalties and administered in the manner specified herein.

21 5. Plaintiff’s non-PAGA claims are dismissed with prejudice.

22 6. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of enforcing this
23 settlement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: _____

Hon. Carolyn Caietti
Judge of the Superior Court